



**CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
March 3, 2015 Special Municipal Election**

ARGUMENT AND REBUTTAL FORM – TO BE SIGNED BY ALL AUTHORS

Arguments shall be submitted on this form (see "Word Count Standards"), along with the printed name and signature of each author (EC 9283 and 9285), in the order in which they are to appear in the voter pamphlet. Each argument must be accompanied by a "Statement To Be Filed By Authors of Arguments" (EC 9600).

- Argument in Favor of Measure O
- Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure
- Argument Against Measure
- Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure

Your decision on Measure O should be based on reason and facts, NOT rumors and opinions.

Undisputed facts:

The City's own independent Health Impact Analysis concluded that Measure O has no significant health impacts on our community.

Our schools will receive tens of millions of dollars for everything from art and music classes to more teachers and facilities.

Every economic study concluded that Hermosa Beach would get hundreds of millions of dollars of new revenue to hire more firefighters and police; clean our beaches; upgrade our sewers; fix potholes; create new parking and more.

The independent EIR states that many of the impacts are short term; seeing a covered drilling rig or slightly increased truck traffic are temporary occurrences. There are also more safety measures than required by law.

E&B saved our City from bankruptcy by funding Hermosa Beach's \$17,500,000.00 payment to settle the Macpherson lawsuit. If Measure O fails, we must repay that loan, with interest. That could mean our inability to replace the firefighters who were laid off or to increase salaries for our existing first responders unless we substantially raise our taxes. What happens when they leave for cities that can pay them fairly?

Newport Beach, Huntington Beach, Beverly Hills and other upscale communities have oil recovery facilities at the beach, in the middle of residential neighborhoods and even on a high school campus. Property values have continued to rise, and there have been no documented health problems. More than 3,500,000 Los Angeles County residents live within 1 mile of an oil well.

There will be **no fracking; no offshore drilling platforms; no grasshoppers; and no permanent equipment visible above the walls at the currently contaminated City maintenance yard.**

These are the facts from Hermosa Beach's own studies.

Vote Yes on Measure O to balance our environmental and economic future.

www.YesonO-Hermosa.com

Thank You.

DAN WSKIEP
[Signature]
11-25-2014

CINDY LEE SMET
[Signature]
FORMER HOEF BOARD MEMBER
12-1-14

Tiffany M. Rudek
[Signature]
Former Hermosa Valley Principal/Parent
11-30-14

Kathleen P. Warren RN
[Signature]
NOV, 24, 2014

PARENT
[Signature]
KARL KURZ
11-26-2014



CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH

STATEMENT TO BE FILED BY AUTHORS OF PRIMARY AND REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS

All arguments filed pursuant to Division 9, Chapter 3 (§ 9200 et seq) of the California Elections Code shall be accompanied by this statement to be signed by each proponent, and by each author, if different, of the argument:

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the primary rebuttal argument in favor of against the oil project ballot measure 0 at the Special Municipal Election of the City of Hermosa Beach to be held Tuesday, March 3, 2015, hereby state that the argument is true and correct to the best of (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.

Print Name <u>Kathleen P. Harren</u> Title <u>Registered Nurse MSN, MHA, NEA-C</u> (If applicable): Submitted on behalf of: (name of organization)	Signature <u>Kathleen P. Harren</u> Date <u>11-24-2014</u>
Print Name <u>Karl Kurb</u> Title <u>Mica Costa Science Teacher/Parent</u> (If applicable): Submitted on behalf of: (name of organization)	Signature <u>[Signature]</u> Date <u>11-26-2014</u>
Print Name <u>DAN INSKEEP</u> Title <u>ENVIRONMENTALIST/PARENT/22 YR RESIDENT</u> (If applicable): Submitted on behalf of: (name of organization)	Signature <u>[Signature]</u> Date <u>11-25-2014</u>
Print Name <u>Tiffany M. Rudek</u> Title <u>Former Hermosa Valley Principal/Parent</u> (If applicable): Submitted on behalf of: (name of organization)	Signature <u>Tiffany M. Rudek</u> Date <u>11-30-2014</u>
Print Name <u>Cindy Lee Smet</u> Title <u>FORMER HBEF BOARD MEMBER/PARENT</u> (If applicable): Submitted on behalf of: (name of organization)	Signature <u>Cindy Lee Smet</u> Date <u>12-9-14</u>

All authors must print his/her name and sign this form (EC 9600)
AND
Print his/her name and sign the Primary Argument itself (EC 9283)
AND
Print his/her name and sign the Rebuttal Argument itself (EC 9285)

Pursuant to Election Code § 9282, printed arguments submitted to the voters shall be titled either "Argument In Favor Of Measure ___" or "Argument Against Measure ___." (Measure "letter" designation not yet established)

Likewise, printed rebuttal arguments submitted pursuant to Election Code § 9285 shall be titled either "Rebuttal To Argument in Favor of Measure ___" or "Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure ___."