

Hermosa Beach Storm Drain Master Plan







June, 2018

Schaaf & Wheeler CONSULTING CIVIL ENGINEERS

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	ES-1
Study Objectives	ES-1
Background	ES-1
Work Products	ES-1
System Evaluation	ES-2
Capital Improvement Program	ES-2
Future Development	ES-2
Conclusion	ES-2
Chapter 1 - Data	1-1
Land Use Data and Runoff Characteristics	1-1
Topography and Aerial Imagery	1-1
Land Use	1-1
Future Land Use	1-4
Percent Impervious Surface	1-4
Soil Classification	1-4
Data Sources	1-7
GIS Data	1-7
Field Measurements and Record Drawings	1-8
Catchments	1-8
Modeled Data Assumptions	1-8
Chapter 2 -Master Planning Methodology	2-1
Overview	2-1
Model Parameters and Evaluation Criteria	2-1
Modeling Software	2-1
Operation	2-4
Input and Output	2-5
Hydrologic Calculations	2-7
County Methodology	2-7
Modified Methodology	2-10
Modified Rainfall Depth and Pattern	2-10
Infiltration Rate	2-12
Basin Lag	2-12
Model Hydraulic Calculations	2-13
Links	2-13



Junction Losses	2-13
Outlet Boundary Conditions	2-13
Chapter 3 - Evaluation of Storm Drain Systems	3-1
Overview	3-1
Evaluation of Storm Drain Capacity	3-1
Design Criteria	3-1
Prioritizing Deficiencies and Needed Capital Improvements	3-1
Hermosa Beach System Evaluation	3-3
Evaluation of 2-D Flooding	3-3
Hermosa Beach Systems	3-3
Chapter 4 - Capital Improvement Plan	4-1
Overview	4-1
Capital Improvement Priorities	4-1
Cost Basis for Improvements	4-2
Open Trench Improvements	4-3
Trenchless Improvements	4-4
Capital Improvement Program	4-4
Storm Drain Improvement CIP	4-4
System Maintenance	4-12
Funding and County Coordination	4-12
Chapter 5 – Climate Change	5-1
Introduction	5-1
Climate Data	5-1
Model Methodology	5-1
Climate Change Impacts	5-2
Results	5-4
Climate Change Improvement Projects	5-6
Conclusion	5-11
Chapter 6 - Regulation and Permitting	6-1
Applicability of Trash Capture, Green Infrastructure & Regional Multi-Benefit Projec	ts to SDMP CIP .6-1
Trash Capture	6-1
Green Infrastructure	6-4
Integrated and Multi-Benefit Project Opportunities	6-4
Regulatory Permitting as Applies to the CIP Projects	6-8
City Policy and Guidance Manual Review and Recommendations	6-10
Review and Recommendations for Drainage Design Standards	6-11



Table of Figures

Figure 1.1: Hermosa Beach Current Land Use Categories	1-2
Figure 1.2: Hermosa Beach Current Zoning Designations	1-3
Figure 1.3: NRCS Soil Classification in Study Area and Immediate Vicinity	1-6
Figure 1.4: Storm Main Missing Diameters	1-9
Figure 1.5: Distribution of Pipe Size	1-11
Figure 1.6: Storm Main Diameter Distribution	1-12
Figure 2.1: Mike Urban Model Features	2-3
Figure 2.2: Flow into SD System from Surface (L) and Surcharging Flow to Surface from SD (I	R)2-4
Figure 2.3: Hermosa Beach Storm Drain Model Catchments	2-6
Figure 2.4: Isohyet Map for Hermosa Beach Area (Values Shown are in Inches)	2-8
Figure 2.5: LA County Methodology 10-year, 24-hour Node Flood Results	2-9
Figure 2.6: County, NOAA and Modified 10-year Rainfall Statistics	2-11
Figure 2.7: Modified 10-year Design Storm	2-12
Figure 2.8: 100-Year Tidal Elevation	2-14
Figure 3.1: 2-D Overland Flooding During a 10-year Return Period Event	3-5
Figure 3.2: Existing Storm Drain Conditions During a 10-year Return Period Event	3-6
Figure 3.3: CIP Upsized Pipes	3-7
Figure 3.4: Observed Flooding in Hermosa Beach	3-9
Figure 4.1: Hermosa Beach Storm Drain CIP Summary Chart	4-2
Figure 4.2: Hermosa Beach Storm Drain CIP Priority Summary	4-6
Figure 4.3: Hermosa Beach County Owned CIP Projects	4-7
Figure 4.4: Hermosa Beach City Owned CIP Projects	4-8
Figure 4.5: Hermosa Beach Observed Problem Spot CIP Projects	4-10
Figure 4.6: Hermosa Beach Upsized Diameter Distribution	4-11
Figure 5.1: CoSMoS Projected Water Depth with 3.28 feet of SLR	5-3
Figure 5.2: Storm Drain Conditions During a 10-year Return Period Event with Climate Chang Applied to the Upsized System	e Scenarios 5-5
Figure 5.3: Climate Change CIP Locations	5-8
Figure 5.4: Climate Change CIP Details	5-9
Figure 5.5: Node Flooding with Climate Change CIP Projects Implemented	5-10
Figure 6.1: Large Scale Full Trash Capture Opportunity Locations	6-3
Figure 6.2: Beach Drive Green Alley Pilot Project Map	6-6



Table of Tables

Table ES.1: Summary of Prioritized SDMP CIP - Project CostsES-2
Table 1.1: Land Use Summary1-1
Table 1.2: Percent Impervious Surface Model Values1-4
Table 1.3: Soil Type Distribution1-5
Table 1.4: Storm Main Ownership Breakdown1-7
Table 1.5: Node Summary 1-7
Table 1.6: Pipe Summary1-10
Table 2.1: 10-year Rainfall Statistics 2-10
Table 3.1: Project Prioritization Summary3-2
Table 3.2: Highest Priority Projects for the Hermosa Beach Drainage Area
Table 3.3: High, Moderate, and Low Priority Projects for the Hermosa Beach Drainage Area
Table 3.4: Observed Flooding Area Description
Table 4.1: Summary of CIP Costs Based on Priority Level (total project cost)4-1
Table 4.2: Storm Drain Replacement Unit Costs for 3 feet of pipe cover4-3
Table 4.3: Summary of Prioritized SDMP CIP - Project Costs
Table 4.4: Prioritized City Owned Storm Drain System CIP 4-5
Table 4.5: Prioritized County Owned Storm Drain System CIP4-5
Table 4.6: Observed Flooding CIP Summary4-9
Table 4.7: Annual Cleaning and CCTV Coverage Cost4-12
Table 5.1: Climate Change CIP Pipe Upsizing Costs5-6
Table 5.2: Climate Change CIP Flap Gate Installation Costs
Table 5.3: Pipes Present in both Existing System CIP and Climate Change CIP Projects
Table 6.1: CIP Trash Capture Applicability Matrix6-1
Table 6.2: Large Scale Trash Capture Opportunities6-2
Table 6.3: Beach Drive Green Alley Prioritized Pilot Projects 6-5
Table 6.4: Observed Flooding Green Infrastructure Opportunities
Table 6.5: Model CIP Green Infrastructure Opportunities6-7

Appendices

Appendix A - CIP Cost Estimate Appendix B - CCTV Report Appendix C - FEMA Flood Hazard Map

Executive Summary

This storm drain master plan (SDMP) establishes a prioritized capital improvements program to reduce the risk of flooding and storm drain issues within the City of Hermosa Beach (City). The identified storm drain system capital improvement projects prolong the life of existing infrastructure and provide a 10-year (10% annual exceedance) storm capacity throughout the City.

Study Objectives

The basic objective of this master plan document is to provide an examination of the flood risks within the City limits and recommend actions necessary to accomplish appropriate levels of service for storm drain systems so as to appropriately manage flood risks. Several tasks have been undertaken and completed as part of this study:

- Review of existing storm drain data including archives, record drawings, and geographic information systems (GIS) data
- Collection of field data to build an existing conditions model of the storm drainage network
- Establishment of updated geographic information system (GIS) data which reflects the City's current storm drainage network
- Assessment of the performance of existing storm drainage systems
- Identification of capital improvements to reduce flood risk
- Prioritization of capital improvements for risk reduction and cost benefit
- Establishment of a prioritized Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for storm drainage
- Estimation of project costs for the prioritized CIP based on current ENR indices
- Review of current stormwater regulatory requirements
- Analysis of potential climate change vulnerability throughout the City

Background

The City's storm drainage system consists of City and County owned storm drain pipes with outfalls to the ocean. The majority of the City's system does not have capacity for the 10-year storm in the pipes, although most flooding is confined to the streets. Some known, recurring problem areas have been identified by City staff and are incorporated in this SDMP. In general, Hermosa Beach drains from east to west. Surface runoff collects in the storm drainage system by inlets and eventually discharges into sandy outfalls along the beach adjacent to the Pacific Ocean.

Work Products

This master plan is intended to function as a multipurpose storm drain system resource guide for the City's staff and residents. City engineers responsible for the storm drain capital improvements should find sufficient background information and data in this document to serve as the basis for storm drainage Capital Improvement Program (CIP) implementation and/or modification. Improvement descriptions, maps, project costs, and other modeling data have been included in the appendices of this report.



System Evaluation

A rainfall-runoff model has been developed for the City using the hydraulic modeling software MIKE URBAN. Detailed review, field investigations, analysis, and modeling of the area's storm drainage system lead to several conclusions. These conclusions have been utilized to recommend improvements to the system intended to reduce flood risk within the City. The recommended improvements are preliminary in nature and are based on currently available information. Detailed project designs will ultimately require more data, including utility locations, which remain to be obtained. One and two dimensional model results have been used to analyze the extent of flooding within the City. Flooding greater than a foot in depth, as measured from the ground surface, is regarded as problematic regardless of whether such flooding results in significant property damage.

Capital Improvement Program

A Capital Improvement Program, consisting of projects with four priority levels, has been developed based on model results and suggested improvements. The \$19.5 million in improvements recommended by this master plan are based on the capacity of the existing system and the need to correct identified deficiencies. Improvements are broken down into four priority levels shown in Table ES.1. Recommended improvements are intended for public rights-of-way and other City-owned property, not private facilities or private property.

Priority	City Owned CIP Cost	County Owned CIP Cost
Highest Priority Capital Improvements	\$1,200,000	\$2,600,000
High Priority Capital Improvements	\$380,000	\$8,700,000
Moderate Priority Capital Improvements	—	5,600,000
Low Priority Capital Improvements	\$2,700,000	—
Total Priority Capital Improvements	\$2,500,000	\$17,000,000

Table ES.1: Summary of Prioritized SDMP CIP - Project Costs

Future Development

The CIP does not include the cost of new facilities related solely to new development (e.g., pipeline extensions to serve areas that are currently undeveloped). These new facilities would be constructed as part of the new developments, and are not included in the CIP. The CIP discussed within this report does not account for future land use changes as it is anticipated that any future development will not significantly impact the City's storm drainage system.

Conclusion

This Master Plan provides a tool for citizens and officials of the City to use in their efforts to reduce both nuisance flooding, and the likelihood of more serious storm water related hazards to private and/or public property. This study and proposed CIP is merely the conceptual starting point. It is anticipated that City staff and/or their consultants will perform more detailed studies and alternatives analyses to identify the most affordable and effective improvement projects with information gathered as part of the design process, including detailed topography, utility conflicts, available easements and rights-of-way, construction impacts, and long-term operation and maintenance.

Chapter 1 - Data

Schaaf & Wheeler reviewed and utilized readily available land use, topographic, geological, geographical, and storm drain system data within the Hermosa Beach Storm Drain Master Plan Area (study area). Efforts have been made to improve and add to the collective data where data were missing or incorrect. Where necessary, assumptions and engineering judgment were used to complete remaining data gaps. This chapter summarizes the findings and data acquired as part of the Hermosa Beach Storm Drain Master Plan (HBSDMP). Data limitations, assumptions, and impacts are also summarized herein.

Land Use Data and Runoff Characteristics

Topography and Aerial Imagery

All project data and results are in vertical datum NAVD88 (feet) and the State Plane (California Zone V) coordinate system. The City of Hermosa Beach's 2006 2-foot contour maps, derived from light detection and ranging (LiDAR) point data, have been obtained from the City's GIS database. LiDAR is a remote sensing method used to measure distance with a pulsed laser light and sensor. LiDAR data is often collected from an aircraft, measuring the distance to the surface of Earth. This high resolution aerial data provides topographic information with an accuracy of 0.6 feet (plus or minus 0.6 feet) for ground returns where no water ponding occurs. To perform hydrologic and hydraulic analyses, a terrain model of the City and surrounding area has been built from these LiDAR-based datasets. In addition, 2006 high-resolution aerial imagery from the USGS library and Google satellite imagery have been used.

Land Use

Models have been built to represent current land use conditions. Current land use categories and zoning designations are delineated in the City's land use GIS dataset as shown in Figure 1.1 and 1.2. Existing land use in the Hermosa Beach model area is summarized in Table 1.1.

Land Use Designation	Area (Acre)	Percent
Beach Parks	63.8	6.96
Communication Facilities	3.1	0.33
Developed Local Parks and Recreation	49.6	5.41
Elementary Schools	16.2	1.77
Fire Stations	0.4	0.04
Government Offices	3.4	0.37
High-Density Single Family Residential	384.6	41.97
Hotels and Motels	2.9	0.32
Low- and Medium-Rise Major Office Use	1.4	0.15
Low-Rise Apartments and Condominiums	28.2	3.07
Manufacturing, Assembly, and Industrial	7.3	0.80
Medium-Rise Apartments and Condominiums	9.8	1.07
Mixed Residential	217.1	23.69
Modern Strip Development	63.4	6.92
Older Strip Development	30.6	3.34
Other Public Facilities	6.0	0.66
Police and Sheriff Stations	1.1	0.12
Religious Facilities	3.3	0.37
Retail Centers	17.0	1.86
Mobile Home Courts	4.2	0.46
Water Storage Facilities	2.9	0.32

Table 1.1: Land Use Summary



Figure 1.1: Hermosa Beach Current Land Use Categories





Figure 1.2: Hermosa Beach Current Zoning Designations



Future Land Use

The City is currently close to build-out with only 33 vacant lots. The majority of future development will involve the redevelopment of sites, which will have minimal effect on impervious percent or surface runoff. It is not anticipated that future development will significantly impact the City's storm drainage system.

Percent Impervious Surface

The percent impervious surface is the percentage of the area covered by materials that are impenetrable by water including roads, parking lots, rooftops, driveways, sidewalks, and compacted soils. The percent impervious for the current land use categories were estimated using aerial imagery. Representative samples were taken from each of the City's 21 land use categories and the percent impervious for each category was approximated using aerial imagery to identify impervious surfaces. Percent impervious values for each land use type are summarized in Table 1.2.

Land Use Type	Percent Impervious (%)
Beach Parks	9
Communication Facilities	98
Elementary Schools	80
Fire Stations	95
Government Offices	95
High-Density Single Family Residential	80
Hotels and Motels	91
Local Parks and Recreation	42
Low- and Medium-Rise Office Use	93
Low-Rise Apartments and Condominiums	91
Industrial Services	96
Mid-Rise Apartments and Condominiums	84
Mixed Residential	66
Modern Strip Development	99
Older Strip Development	98
Other Public Facilities	79
Police and Sheriff Stations	90
Religious Facilities	88
Retail Centers	96
Mobile Home Courts	93
Water Storage Facilities	37

Table 1.2: Percent Impervious Surface Model Values

Soil Classification

The NRCS has classified soils into four hydrologic soil groups (A, B, C, and D) according to their infiltration rates. Group A soils have low runoff potential when thoroughly wet and typically consist of sand or gravel type soils. Group B soils are moderately well draining when thoroughly wet and consist of loamy sand or sandy loam textures. Group C soils have moderately high runoff potential when thoroughly wet and consist of loam, silt loam, sandy clay loam, clay loam, and silty clay loam textures. Group D soils have high runoff potential when thoroughly wet and consist of Hermosa



Beach soils are classified as either Class A or Class B soils. Figure 1.3 and Table 1.3 show the distribution of soil types within the City.

Hydrologic Soil Group	Area (acres)	Percentage of Total Area
А	495.84	53%
В	434.62	47%

Table 1.3: Soil Type Distribution





Figure 1.3: NRCS Soil Classification in Study Area and Immediate Vicinity



Data Sources

GIS Data

The most current City system data was provided to Schaaf & Wheeler in a GIS shapefile format. The City's storm drain data includes: storm drain mains, storm drain inlets, and storm drain outfalls. All inlets and outfalls were combined to create a node shapefile. These layers have an attribute describing ownership, but are lacking structure properties such as size, material, and node invert and rim elevations. Los Angeles County storm drain GIS data was obtained in order to supplement missing data within the City's dataset. The County's storm drain data includes: storm drain mains, storm drain manholes and inlets, and storm drain outfalls. These layers have attributes describing pipe shape, size, and material.

The City's storm mains are owned in part by both Hermosa Beach and Los Angeles County. The distribution of ownership is presented in Table 1.4.

Storm Main Owner	Number of Pipes	Percent of Total
City of Hermosa Beach	97	20%
County of Los Angeles	385	80%

Table 1.4: Storm Main Ownership Breakdown

Table 1.5 summarizes the total number manholes, inlets, and outfalls contained within the nodes shapefile. The manhole, inlet, and outfall shapefiles did not contain invert depth or invert elevation attributes.

Node Type	Total
Manhole	124
Inlet	312
Outfall	11
Total	447

Table 1.5: Node Summary

The City's 2005 land use dataset was used to estimate the imperviousness of each land use type in the City, a process described in detail in Land Use Data and Runoff Characteristics section below. USDA-NRCS Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) data was obtained from the National Cooperative Soil Survey (NRCS) and used to categorize the City's soils by hydrologic soil group. Other GIS data used for this master plan include city boundaries, parcels, and land use zoning.

Schaaf & Wheeler identified missing attributes in the data provided by the City and County, as well as items in need of verification. Information needed to create an accurate model of the system included:

- Missing pipe diameters
- Inlet and manhole depths
- Inlet, manhole, and outfall elevations
- Verification of some pipe diameters



Measures have been taken to obtain or approximate data necessary to complete a master plan level analysis. These steps include and estimation techniques described in the Field Measurements and Record Drawings Section below.

Field Measurements and Record Drawings

Schaaf & Wheeler examined system attributes and identified irregularities in the modeled system data (e.g. potentially incorrect pipe diameters). City and County record drawings were reviewed to verify data and fill data gaps. Record drawings are assumed to be accurate and up-to-date. Where record drawings are not sufficient to complete system verification, field measurements of pipe sizes, layout, and invert depth have been taken. A survey of the storm drain network was conducted by Kier & Wright Civil Engineers and Surveyors, Inc. to measure manhole and catch basin rim elevations. Field information including node depth, pipe diameter, and network layout was collected by Schaaf & Wheeler. Corrections were entered into the storm drain network GIS files with data sources noted. Interpolation was used to determine missing information not available from GIS data, survey, or record drawings.

Catchments

Catchments were delineated in GIS using the City's 2-foot contour elevation data, ArcGIS basemap imagery, and the City's storm drain database. A catchment is an area where runoff drains into a common storm drain inlet. Including all pipes and nodes in the model with a higher level of detail better reflects the way drainage physically enters the storm drain network and preserves the full capacity of the system. Delineation of the catchments relies on elevations and grades from the terrain model, aerial imagery, street and pipe network layouts, and the location of catch basins. The MIKE URBAN storm drain model contains 212 catchments, averaging an area of 3.8 acres.

Modeled Data Assumptions

There is some inconsistency in the quality and accuracy of the available GIS data. The City's GIS data is inconsistent in its spatial accuracy, and is lacking the attribute data required to accurately model the storm drain system. The County's GIS data is spatially accurate but is missing some attribute data.

There are 124 manholes, 312 inlets, 11 outfalls, and 482 pipes in the study area. A nodes shapefile containing all manholes, inlets and outfalls in the study area was used in the hydraulic model. The GIS database does not contain node invert depth or elevation attributes. The number of pipes is based on the number of individual pipe segments within the provided shapefile, which may include multiple pipe segments between two manholes. The provided pipe data includes 81% of pipe diameters; pipes with known and unknown diameters are illustrated in Figure 1.4. Table 1.6 summarizes the pipes found in the City's database, and the distribution of various pipe sizes in the City's database may be seen in Figure 1.5 and 1.6. The upstream and downstream pipe inverts are not provided in the City's GIS database.

In order to create an accurate ground surface for hydraulic modeling, invert elevations at all system nodes have been calculated using rim elevations and depths gathered from field studies. The node depth was subtracted from the rim elevation to obtain the invert elevation. Where node depths were not available, two methods were used to estimate invert elevations. Elevations were linearly interpolated between upstream and downstream nodes with assigned invert elevations using the interpolated tool in the MIKE URBAN model. In areas where this data was not available, node inverts were assigned assuming a pipe cover of three feet. Inverts and ground elevations have been manually checked for irregularity (e.g. ground elevations below the top of pipes, negative pipe slopes, and incorrect pipe diameters), and corrected as necessary. Record drawings were reviewed for node invert elevations where possible.





Figure 1.4: Storm Main Missing Diameters



Pipe Diameter	Length (miles)	Number of Pipes
4"	0.04	4
6"	0.03	5
8"	0.04	4
10"	0.29	9
12"	0.36	27
15"	0.25	16
18"	1.65	211
21"	0.23	25
24"	2.40	68
27"	0.26	10
30"	0.79	17
33"	0.11	2
36"	0.69	19
39"	0.58	13
42"	0.27	10
45"	0.11	3
48"	0.51	16
51"	0.12	2
54"	0.08	3
60"	0.48	8
63"	0.07	2
72"	0.26	2
108"	0.05	1
132"	0.17	2
134"	0.06	3
Total	9.9	482



Figure 1.5: Distribution of Pipe Size





Figure 1.6: Storm Main Diameter Distribution

Chapter 2 -Master Planning Methodology

Overview

The criteria used to evaluate storm drain system performance must be technically sound yet simple to understand and apply. Ideally, the same methodology used to analyze system performance for this report will continue to be used for future infrastructure design. Schaaf & Wheeler initially applied the Los Angeles County (County) modified rational method using the HydroCalc Calculator as detailed in the 2006 County Hydrology Manual. After careful analysis of model results, it was determined that this method did not accurately model watershed properties. This will be discussed in further detail in Modified Design Storm section. In order to address these inaccuracies, a modified method was applied to the watershed. This method is being used alongside MIKE URBAN by DHI and the US EPA SWMM5 engine storm drain modeling software to evaluate system performance, identify deficiencies and recommend necessary improvements. Physical parameters used in the model are based on the City and County GIS data and other information as detailed in Chapter 1 - Data.

Model Parameters and Evaluation Criteria

Horton's Method was used to estimate storm water infiltration and runoff in the Hermosa Beach model. Horton's Method uses soil infiltration rates to estimate the amount of rainfall that will infiltrate into pervious catchment areas in response to a given design storm.

The storm duration used for rainfall simulation in the City's model is 24 hours, and a design storm was created to match local rainfall statistics. Using this design storm, rainfall-runoff and one dimensional (1-D) hydraulic models have been created for the 10-year event. The 10-year level-of-service is consistent with the City's design standard for general storm drain system conveyance.

This master plan effort includes modeling the hydrology (rainfall-runoff) for the 10-year storm event, which is used as the design event for the storm water drainage conveyance system. For the purposes of this report, improvements are recommended that reduce the hydraulic grade line to no higher than 0.5 feet above the gutter elevation at any node such that the maximum hydraulic grade is the top of curb elevation. This will minimize the flood damage risk to private property and maximize public safety.

Modeling Software

The Danish Hydraulic Institute (DHI) MIKE-URBAN (MU) software was selected to model the Hermosa Beach storm drain system because it is tested and reliable software with a GIS interface. MU is a package of software programs designed by DHI for the analysis, design and management of urban drainage systems, including storm water sewers and sanitary sewers. The MU model works within the ArcMap GIS interface and can simulate runoff, open channel flow, pipe flow, water quality, sediment transport, and two dimensional surface flow. The US EPA's Storm Water Management Model (SWMM version 5.1.009) engine is imbedded in MU. SWMM5 is a dynamic hydrologic-hydraulic water quality simulation model that is used for the planning, analysis, and design of storm water runoff in urban areas.



The City's modeling package consists of two interrelated products:

- 1. The one dimensional (1-D) computation was run using MU/SWMM5. MU provides a graphical user interface for data input and editing and serves as a bridge between ArcMap GIS and the SWMM5 modeling program. MU can be used to import and export model data, present results including plan, longitudinal, cross-section views, animation of results, and node flooding. SWMM5 uses sub-catchment areas that receive precipitation and generates runoff which is routed through a network of pipes, channels, storage/treatment devices, pumps, and regulators. SWMM5 tracks the amount of runoff within each catchment, as well as the flow rate, flow depth, and quality of water in each pipe.
- 2. Two dimensional (2-D) computations were run using MIKE FLOOD. MIKE FLOOD (MIKE 21) is a 2-D modeling module which simulates flows, waves, sediments, and overland flows. It computes two dimensional flows over a given surface using an implicit finite difference solver method with elevation and manning's roughness valued defined on a rectangular grid. This module can be run in conjunction with the MU/SWMM5 model results. For Hermosa Beach this model was run as a check on results from the 1-D computation and to help prioritize improvements.

The City's storm drain network is modeled as one area, containing 212 catchments, 450 nodes, and 517 links seen below in Figure 2.1.





Figure 2.1: Mike Urban Model Features



Operation

Two separate calculations are performed by the SWMM5 portion of the MU/SWMM5 model for the City model. First, a runoff calculation estimates the amount of water entering the storm drain system during a design rainfall event. Second, a network flow calculation replicates how the storm drain system will convey flows to outlet locations. Flows resulting from the runoff calculation are used as inflows for the subsequent network flow calculation.



Figure 2.2: Flow into SD System from Surface (L) and Surcharging Flow to Surface from SD (R)

SWMM5 gives the option of four infiltration methods: Horton, Green Ampt, NRCS curve number, and Modified Horton. The Hermosa Beach storm drain models use the Horton loss method to calculate surface runoff. A simulation can be started at any point during the chosen design storm to assess surface runoff for any period of the design storm, with computations made based on a user-specified time step.

The MU/SWMM5 network flow model also offers a choice of three flow description approximations distinguished by the set of forces each takes into account: Steady State, Dynamic Wave, and Kinematic Wave. The Hermosa Beach storm drain model uses the most comprehensive flow description, Dynamic Wave, which incorporates the effects of gravitational, friction, pressure gradient and inertial forces. Because it accounts for all major forces affecting flow conditions, this equation allows the model to more accurately simulate fast transients and backwater profiles. For a one-dimensional pipe flow simulation, flooding at a node is accommodated by the insertion of an artificial "basin" above the node which will store water when the water level rises above the ground level. The surface area of the "basin" is user defined; surface area can vary depending on the ground slope, node proximity, and other physical barriers. The rising water levels at the node replicate the effects of flooding.

Water stored in the "basin" begins to reenter the system when the outflow from the node becomes greater than the inflow. The pipe flow simulation can be executed using either a constant or variable time step, and can be run for any portion of the time interval specified by the input rainfall time series and corresponding calculated runoff hydrograph.



Input and Output

MU/SWMM5 surface runoff calculations requires two types of input data: boundary data and urban catchment data. Boundary data for the run-off computation consists of an input rainfall time series representing the design storm event for the model and water surface elevation time series at the outlet nodes representing the 100-year tidal curves. Urban catchment data includes the pipe network and boundaries of each drainage catchment, along with relevant physical and hydrologic parameters including surface area, basin width, flow length, slope, and percent impervious. Drainage catchments for the study area are shown in Figure 2.3.

MU/SWMM5 network flow calculations require two types of inputs: network element data (links and nodes), and boundary data (rainfall and tidal water surface elevations). Network elements consist of nodes (including manholes, catch basins, and outfalls) and links (pipes, culverts, and open channels). Attributes required to describe links include the name of upstream and downstream nodes, shape and dimensions, material or roughness, and upstream and downstream node invert elevation. Geometry and data corresponding to network elements are imported from GIS shapefiles. Connections to urban catchments are defined within the MU/SWMM5 interface as node elements where catchment runoff enters the network. Boundary data can include direct results of runoff calculations based on rainfall input, external loadings, inflow discharges, or external water levels at interaction points with receiving waters (outfalls), or pump performance curves. Currently there are no storm drain pump stations in Hermosa Beach, only a small stormwater diversion pump near the pier that is not modeled.

Output from the pipe flow computation includes the calculated water level at each node, discharges, water level in network branches, discharge in network branches, velocity in network branches, water volume in the system, and time step data. Output is viewed using GIS, MU/SWMM5, or the MIKE-VIEW program. Results may be displayed in plan-view or as a profile for a selected network section, and may be viewed as a temporal animation or at maximum or minimum values. Additional outputs which can be derived from MU/SWMM5 pipe flow results using GIS and include: water depth, flooding level, pressure in closed conduits, percentage pipe filling, and the flow calculated for each link.





Figure 2.3: Hermosa Beach Storm Drain Model Catchments



Hydrologic Calculations

Methods used in this master plan to estimate peak storm water flow rates and volumes require the input of precipitation data. Since it is impossible to anticipate the impact of every conceivable storm, precipitation frequency analyses are often used to design facilities that control storm runoff. A common practice is to construct a design storm, which is a rainfall pattern used in hydrologic models to estimate surface runoff. A design storm is used in lieu of a single historic storm event to ensure that local rainfall statistics (i.e. depth, duration and frequency) are preserved. When combined with regional specific data for land use and loss rates, the rainfall-runoff model should produce runoff estimates that are consistent with frequency analyses of gauged stream-flow in the Hermosa Beach area. In other words, the 10-year design storm pattern used for MU/SWMM5 modeling creates results consistent with a 10-year storm runoff event.

Precipitation frequency analyses are based on concepts of probability and statistics. Engineers generally assume that the frequency (probability) of a rainfall event is coincident with frequency of direct storm water runoff. However, runoff is determined by a number of factors (particularly land use conditions in the basin and antecedent rainfall) in addition to the precipitation event.

County Methodology

Los Angeles County's modified rational method was initially used to model Hermosa Beach's storm drain network. This method uses the County's HydroCalc Calculator to determine the runoff resulting from a given design storm in each catchment. Along with catchment area and a unique catchment name, the HydroCalc Calculator requires the following catchment parameters:

Longest Flow Path

The longest flow path represents the longest distance water must travel within a catchment until it reaches its outlet. The flow path is estimated using aerial imagery and elevation data. HydroCalc requires input of the longest flow path length and slope.

24-hour, 50-year Rainfall Depth

The 24-hour rainfall depth for a 50-year design storm frequency required by HydroCalc is determined using isohyet maps provided by the County of Los Angeles. The isohyet map for the Hermosa Beach area is shown in Figure 2.4.

Impervious Percent

The percent impervious surface is the percentage of the area within a catchment covered by materials that are impenetrable by water. The percent impervious is based on the land use type in the catchment and is estimated using aerial imagery. Hermosa Beach contains a large amount of highly impervious surface.

Soil Type

The HydroCalc Calculator requires the input of one of the 179 undeveloped runoff coefficient curves corresponding to different soil types within the County of Los Angeles. A weighted average soil type is assigned to each catchment using soil data provided by LA County.

Design Storm Frequency

Various design storm frequencies are available to choose from in the calculator. For the purpose of this master plan, the 10-year design storm was used.





Figure 2.4: Isohyet Map for Hermosa Beach Area (Values Shown are in Inches)

Using these inputs, HydroCalc calculates the intensity, time of concentration, discharge, runoff volume, and a 24-hour runoff hydrograph for each catchment. These hydrographs are imported into MU/SWMM5 and used to simulate runoff within each catchment. MU/SWMM5 uses the flows resulting from the runoff calculation as inflows for a network calculation which routes the flow through the storm drain network. Using the Node Flood tool in MU/SWMM5, the height of water above the ground surface elevation at each node can be seen. Figure 2.5 shows node flood levels resulting from a 10-year, 24-hour storm using this methodology. This methodology results in minimal flooding throughout the city.





Figure 2.5: LA County Methodology 10-year, 24-hour Node Flood Results



Modified Methodology

The intensities at short durations produced using Los Angeles County's modified rational method do not match statistical data gathered from NOAA in the Hermosa Beach area, resulting in low flows entering the storm drain system. An alternate design storm was created to more accurately simulate the effects of a 10-year storm on the study area. The modified design storm is discussed in more detail in the following section. The modified design storm more closely matches statistics gathered from NOAA, and results in a greater amount of storm runoff entering the storm drain system.

Modified Rainfall Depth and Pattern

The rainfall intensities at short durations using the HydroCalc are too low. A comparison to NOAA Atlas 14 values is shown in Table 2.1. Schaaf & Wheeler modified the design storm utilized in HydroCalc to better match regional statistics. The resulting storm pattern is shown in Figure 2.6 and the comparison of the statistics is shown in Figure 2.7.

Duration	County Method (inches)	NOAA Atlas 14 (inches)	Difference (%)
5 minute	0.17	0.26	-36%
10 minute	0.26	0.37	-30%
15 minute	0.32	0.45	-28%
30 minute	0.47	0.61	-24%
60 minute	0.68	0.87	-22%
2 hour	0.98	1.25	-22%
3 hour	1.21	1.52	-20%
6 hour	1.75	2.13	-18%
12 hour	2.52	2.74	-8%
24 hour	3.63	3.59	1%

Table 2.1: 10-year Rainfall Statistics





Figure 2.6: County, NOAA and Modified 10-year Rainfall Statistics



Figure 2.7: Modified 10-year Design Storm

Infiltration Rate

Soil infiltration rates developed for using the County method are applied directly to this modified approach.

Basin Lag

Basin lag, or lag time, is defined as the time elapsed between rain fall occurring within a basin and runoff occurring at an outlet point. MU/SWMM5 uses basin slope (S), Manning's roughness coefficient (N), and basin width (W) to determine lag time. Slope is expressed in percent, roughness values for pervious (N-pervious) and impervious (N-impervious) are dimensionless, and width (W) is expressed in feet. SWMM does not provide detailed documentation of how width is calculated. The SWMM User's Manual defines it as:

The characteristic width of the overland flow path for sheet flow runoff (feet or meters). Adjustments should be made to the width parameter to produce good fits to measured runoff hydrographs.¹

The basin width can initially be assumed to be the total catchment area divided by the average maximum overland flow length. The maximum overland flow length is the length of the flow path from the furthest drainage point of the subcatchment before the flow becomes channelized. A width parameter equal to two times the maximum overland flow length was used for this master plan and is consistent with previous

¹ Storm Water Management Model User's Manual Version 5.1, US Environmental Protection Agency, September 2015



studies in the City and the SWMM Hydrology Manual². The 10-year storm generated using the LA County Methodology was applied to the subcatchments, and the resulting peak runoff values were compared to HydroCalc results. The margin of error between the two sets of results was small, and it was determined that this width parameter produces runoff hydrographs that match basin characteristics.

Model Hydraulic Calculations

MU/SWMM5 pipe flow calculations require network data, operational data, and boundary data as input. Network data consists of the pipe network elements including nodes (manholes, outlets, and storage nodes) and links (pipes, culverts, and open channels).

Detailed analyses of peak storm water discharge are performed by the MU/SWMM5 program, which also determines the flow condition in each drainage system element. The MU and SWMM technical manuals may be referenced for a more detailed description.

Links

Parameters required to describe model links include the unique identifiers of stream and downstream nodes, pipe shape and dimensions, material or roughness, and upstream and downstream inverts. Boundary data for the pipe flow computation can include any external loading, inflow discharges, water levels at interaction points with receiving waters, as well as the results of a run-off calculation.

Pipes are modeled as one-dimensional closed conduit links which connect two nodes in the models. The conduit link is described by a constant cross-section along its length, constant bottom slope, and straight alignment. Unsteady flow in closed conduits is calculated using conservation of continuity and momentum equations, distinguishing between pipes flowing partially full (free surface flow), and those flowing full (pressurized flow). Most pipes within the Hermosa Beach model are modeled as reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) with a Manning's 'n' of 0.013 or corrugated metal pipe (CMP) with an 'n' of 0.024.

Junction Losses

Parameters required to describe nodes include *x* and *y* coordinates of the node, a unique identifiers, node type (junction, outfall, storage basins), depth and invert levels, and water levels at outlets. Hydraulic losses at junctions (manholes, inlets, intersections) can be significant in pressurized drainage systems. Losses can vary due to construction methods, condition, and shape. An entry and exit loss coefficient of 0.1 was used at most junctions for this master plan study.

Outlet Boundary Conditions

Pipe network outlets can be modeled with either a free outfall or a water surface elevation (fixed or variable with time) which captures backwater effects due to receiving water levels. The modeled system contains 11 outfalls. All outlets are tidally influenced and are modelled using the 100-year tidal elevation curve, as shown in Figure 2.8. Tidal statistics for the Santa Monica tide station, located approximately twelve miles north of the SDMP study area, were used in the model. Tidal statistics were obtained from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). The modeled diurnal tidal cycle was developed such that the low-high tidal peak occurs coincident to the rainfall peak



² Storm Water Management Model Reference Manual Volume I – Hydrology, US Environmental Protection Agency, January 2016



Figure 2.8: 100-Year Tidal Elevation

Chapter 3 - Evaluation of Storm Drain Systems

Overview

A performance analysis of Hermosa Beach's storm drain system is the primary focus of the storm drain master plan. This chapter describes in detail Hermosa Beach's storm drainage facilities and known drainage system issues within Hermosa Beach. Flooding depths predicted by the model are presented for 10-year events assuming the existing land use condition. Improvement projects that are required to alleviate or minimize flooding based on the 10-year performance standards are identified and prioritized herein.

Evaluation of Storm Drain Capacity

Hermosa Beach's storm drain system has been analyzed with current land use conditions to determine its performance during the 10-year design storm. Areas of significant flooding based on past occurrences and results of the MIKE URBAN (MU) models are discussed herein, and improvement projects are recommended based on required additional flow capacity.

Additional flow capacity requirements are determined by upsizing existing pipes in the MU/SWMM5 model until the hydraulic grade is reduced to no higher than 0.5 feet above the gutter elevation at any node. It is impractical to entirely remove predicted flooding throughout the project area, either due to local topography (for example, at minor, localized 'bathtub' areas) or infeasibility of improvements, but the majority of model predicted flooding can be mitigated with the capital improvements proposed herein. To determine the depth of flooding at any particular node in the MU model, the maximum hydraulic grade line (HGL) and ground elevation were utilized, as shown in the following equation:

Depth of flooding = Max HGL – Ground Elevation

For example, if the ground elevation is 7.5 feet at a node and MU computes a max hydraulic grade of 8.3 feet, the depth at flooding at this node would be 0.8 feet. Water is allowed to pond at the node, until there is there capacity in pipe system to accommodate the flow.

Design Criteria

The City of Hermosa Beach's published drainage design criteria states that storm drain pipe systems shall be designed to convey the 10-year event flow. Initial city-wide models were developed to analyze the 10-year events for existing conditions. These models revealed that the majority of the City's storm drain system does not meet the published criteria in all areas. A CIP that limits node flooding to 0.5 feet above the gutter elevation will be developed.

Prioritizing Deficiencies and Needed Capital Improvements

The Hermosa Beach storm drain system was modeled in a single hydraulic model. Storm drain systems in Hermosa Beach (both City-owned systems and those owned by others) convey the majority of storm water runoff toward the outlets through storm drain systems consisting of gutters, catch basins, and pipes. It should be noted that site-specific drainage characteristics (i.e. on the scale of individual parcels) have not been analyzed as that level of detail is not necessary to determine improvements at the master-



planning level. These models can be refined in the future to more precisely account for these site-specific drainage characteristics during the development of detailed drainage studies.

Recommended master plan improvements are described in Chapters 4 and in Appendix A. In some locations, the hydraulic grade line (HGL) predicted by the one-dimensional (1D) model at individual nodes in the system may be greater than actual water surface elevation during a storm event. This is due to limitations and assumptions inherent in the 1D modeling software. In order to 'ground truth' predictive model results, Schaaf & Wheeler compared model results to areas of observed flooding provided by the City. Locations for recommended system improvements are based on the results of this complete process, not solely on model results. As such, some locations predicted to have flooding surcharge based on model results alone are not recommended for improvements. For example, flooding may be observed the top of drainage system where water from a catchment is added to the storm drain network but in reality water enters that point through pipes smaller than 15-inches, resulting in little to no actual flooding. The recommended improvements were then prioritized based on the results of the above process, combined with the severity of flooding at each location and the benefit/cost relationship of proposed improvements. The following color code, as shown in Table 3.1, is used to highlight project prioritization within each drainage sub-area.

Priority	Description	
Highest Priority	The projects under this category play a crucial role in the operation of the existing storm drain system. Completion of these projects is either required prior to completing high priority improvements, or are required in order to reduce flooding in an especially flood prone area.	
High Priority	Projects under this category have a large area of flooding where the 10-year maximum flood depth is greater than 12-inches. These projects improve locations with the deepest and longest flooding situations. They may also be located at the downstream end of many projects, as they would logically be constructed first. Areas of significant historical flooding fall into this category.	
Moderate Priority	This category has conditions similar to high priority, but has a smaller area affected by flooding. The length and depth of flooding is less than that of a high priority improvement.	
Low Priority	Low priority improvements are generally smaller projects that generally address nuisance flooding. The area of flooding is much smaller and/or briefer in duration than that of moderate and high priority projects.	

Table 3.1: Project Prioritization Summary

This chapter summarizes improvements needed to achieve a level of service characterized by alleviating or minimizing predicted flooding within the City of Hermosa Beach. Improvements have been grouped together to reflect projects that could feasibly be undertaken simultaneously. Project naming conventions use major street names where possible. Project names and unique numerical IDs assigned to each project identify improvements in maps and tables.



A complete set of CIP tables including existing pipe size, recommended pipe size, and improvement cost breakdowns for all priorities are provided in Appendix A.

Hermosa Beach System Evaluation

The modeled drainage area is approximately 1.4 square miles. The modeled collection system within the Hermosa Beach City limits consists of 482 pipe segments, 436 nodes, and 11 outlets. The project area has a total of 46,000 linear feet (9 miles) of modeled storm drain pipe equal to or greater than 15 inches in diameter. Each collection system has been analyzed for the existing land use condition to determine its performance during the design 10-year storm. Areas of significant flooding are recognized herein and recommended improvements to establish system performance in accordance with criteria outlined in Chapter 2 - Methodologies, are summarized.

Some projects will also affect surrounding areas. As a result, "highest" and "high" priority projects in one drainage area may reduce the amount of flooding in other drainage areas where no "highest" or "high" priority are recommended. In some cases, the number of flooded parcels in an area does not decrease because localized low points have filled where there is a private catch basin that has not been included in the models as there are a large number of private systems with little information available. Projects in these areas are still beneficial in decreasing depth and duration of flooding surrounding localized low points.

Evaluation of 2-D Flooding

Schaaf & Wheeler analyzed the two dimensional (2-D) overland flows using the 10-year design storm. Spills from the 1-D SWMM models were utilized in DHI's MIKE-21 to trace spills. It was determined that there is no significant flooding due to 2-D surface flow. Results are shown in Figure 3.1. The depth of most spills are less than 0.5 feet and should be mainly contained within the City streets. However, there is some small potential for spills to pond in localized low areas (bowls) and reach depths greater than 0.5 feet.

Hermosa Beach Systems

MU/SWMM5 flooding results for existing conditions during the 10-year return period events are presented in Figure 3.2. The 10-yr flooding extents in this figure include the storm drain system with tidal influence.

Identified Deficiencies

There is significant flooding along Beach Drive between 18th and 20th Street during the 10-year rainfall event. The flooding occurs due to undersized pipes and lack of storm drain infrastructure in the area.

Significant flooding also occurs upstream of the 16th Street outfall. The flooding occurs due to undersized pipes.

Known Problem Areas

The City documented a number of locations throughout the City which experiences flooding, as shown in Figure 3.4. The MU flooding results were compared to these locations to determine if the model is in agreement. Each known problem area is described in Table 3.4.

Prioritized Improvements

Four highest priority projects summarized in Table 3.2 are recommended for the City to reduce significant modeled 10-year flooding combined with observed flooding.


Nine high, moderate, and low priority projects summarized in Table 3.3 are recommended to alleviate modeled 10-year flooding. The City may need to progressively reprioritize high and moderate priority projects based on funding, utility improvements, land use changes, and condition assessments.

Figure 3.3 shows the location of each CIP project.





Figure 3.1: 2-D Overland Flooding During a 10-year Return Period Event





Figure 3.2: Existing Storm Drain Conditions During a 10-year Return Period Event





Figure 3.3: CIP Upsized Pipes



Project No.	Project Name	Priority	Description
1	18th St. Outfall	Highest	Significant modeled and observed flooding occurs in the 18 th Street system due to undersized pipes and lack of storm drain infrastructure. Upsizing these pipes and potentially connecting them to a larger system is recommended to help eliminate 10-year flooding.
2	19th St. Outfall	Highest	Significant modeled and observed flooding occurs in the 19 th Street system due to undersized pipes and lack of storm drain infrastructure. Upsizing these pipes and potentially connecting them to a larger system is recommended to help eliminate 10-year flooding.
3	20th St. Outfall	Highest	Significant modeled flooding occurs in the 20 th Street system due to undersized pipes and lack of storm drain infrastructure. Upsizing these pipes and potentially connecting them to a larger system is recommended to help eliminate 10-year flooding.
4	16 th Street	Highest	Significant modeled and observed 10-yr flooding occurs at and upstream of 16 th Street between Beach Dr. and Loma Dr. Upsizing these pipes is recommended to alleviate 10-year flooding in this area, as well as in the upstream system.

Table 3.2: Highest Priority Projects for the Hermosa Beach Drainage Area

Table 3.3: High, Moderate, and Low Priority Projects for the Hermosa Beach Drainage Area

Project No.	Project Name	Priority	Description
5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	High	Modeled and observed 10-year flooding occurs upstream of Valley Dr. between 2 nd and 8 th St due to undersized pipes. Upsizing these pipes is recommended.
6	22nd St. Outfall	High	Moderate modeled 10-year flooding occurs upstream of the 22 nd St. outfall due to undersized pipes. Upsizing these pipes is recommended.
7	Hermosa Ave. at 27 th St.	High	Moderate modeled 10-year flooding occurs upstream of the 26 th St. outfall due to undersized pipes. Upsizing these pipes is recommended.
8	Valley Park Ave.	High	Moderate modeled 10-year flooding occurs along Valley Park Ave. and in the upstream system due to undersized pipes and downstream flooding in the 16 th St. system. Upsizing these pipes is recommended.
9	6 th Street	Moderate	Some flooding occurs upstream of the 6 th St. outfall due to undersized pipes. Upsizing these pipes is recommended.
10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	Moderate	Some modeled 10-year flooding occurs along Valley Dr. near Pier Ave. due to undersized pipes and downstream flooding in the 16 th St. system. Upsizing these pipes is recommended.
11	PCH at Pier Ave.	Moderate	Moderate flooding occurs on PCH between Aviate Blvd. and 21 st St. due to undersiazed pipes and downstream flooding. Upsizing these pipes is recommended.
12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	Low	Minimal flooding occurs on Hermosa Ave. at 2 nd St. due to undersized pipes. Upsizing these pipes is recommended.





Figure 3.4: Observed Flooding in Hermosa Beach



Project No.	Priority	Project Name	Description			
OBS1	Low	3316 Hermosa Ave.	Minor flooding occurs in resident's garage due to storm drain in front of house that does not work properly. Connecting this area to an existing system is recommended.			
OBS2	Low	437 28 th St.	Ponding occurs in street due to inadequate storm drain inlets. Similarly, flooding in alleyway occurs behind houses. Connecting this area to an existing system is recommended.			
OBS3	Low	Tennyson Place	Flooding occurs at end of Tennyson Place cul-de-sac due to insufficient drainage at low elevation point. Connecting this area to an existing system is recommended.			
OBS4	Low	The Strand at 19 th St.	Ponding occurs at this location due to insufficient drainage. Connecting this area to an existing system is recommended.			
OBS5	Low	1823 Monterey Blvd.	Ponding occurs at this location due to insufficient drainage. Connecting this area to an existing system is recommended.			
OBS6	Low	1910 Ardmore St.	St. Storm water is consistently flowing out of a curb outlet at the location. Installing a small grate inlet to drain across Ardmore in Hermosa Valley Greenbelt is recommended.			
OBS7	Low	1712 The Strand	Flooding occurs due to clogged outfalls causing water to flow to Beach Dr. where storm water surpasses the storm drains. Water flowing north to south down Beach Drive (from 18th St and northward) is overwhelming the drains on Beach Dr. Increasing the outfall size is recommended.			
OBS8	Low	1426 Bayview Dr.	Ponding occurs at this location due to insufficient drainage. Connecting this area to an existing system is recommended.			
OBS9	Low	Marineland Community	Flooding occurs due to water not being routed to the storm drain. Water from Oak street runs down and is diverted into the Marineland community. Connecting this area to an existing system is recommended.			
OBS10	Low	1045 14 th St.	Ponding in the street occurs at this location. Connecting this area to an existing system is recommended.			
OBS11	Low	Sunset Dr.	Ponding in the street occurs at this location. Connecting this area to an existing system is recommended.			

Chapter 4 - Capital Improvement Plan

Overview

Chapters 2 and 3 discuss Hermosa Beach's storm drain collection system and recommend prioritized capital improvements to address known and modeled deficiencies. This chapter provides a Capital Improvement Program (CIP) that recognizes these priorities. The CIP provides an overall guideline for the City to use as a tool in preparing annual budgets. Exigent circumstances and future in-field experiences may necessitate deviations from the Storm Drain CIP. A master plan is intended to be a tool for planning. Capital improvement priorities are not intended to be hard and fast.

The CIP does not include the cost of new facilities related to new development (e.g., pipeline extensions to serve areas that are currently undeveloped). These new facilities may be constructed as part of the new developments, and are not included in the CIP.

Capital Improvement Priorities

The proposed CIP for storm drainage in Hermosa Beach is broken into four priority levels for the purpose of funding and implementation. The total cost summary for CIP projects is shown for each priority level in Table 4.1 and summarized in Figure 4.1.

The costs summarized in Table 4.1 and Figure 4.1 includes an additional 50% for design, administration, and construction management, and contingency. Project subtotals (cost of pipe demolition and replacement), construction totals (including traffic control, mobilization, demobilization, and contingency), and CIP totals (including design and engineering costs) are detailed in Appendix A.

Priority	City Owned CIP Cost	County Owned CIP Cost
Highest Priority Capital Improvements	\$1,200,000	\$2,600,000
High Priority Capital Improvements	\$380,000	\$8,700,000
Moderate Priority Capital Improvements	_	5,600,000
Low Priority Capital Improvements	\$2,700,000	—
Total Priority Capital Improvements	\$2,500,000	\$17,000,000

Table 4.1: Summary of CIP Costs Based on Priority Level (total project cost)





Figure 4.1: Hermosa Beach Storm Drain CIP Summary Chart

Cost Basis for Improvements

Costs have been estimated using information from other projects, cost estimating guides (2017 Heavy Construction Costs, *RSMeans*) and engineering judgment. The cost per linear foot of improvement used for the pipe cost estimates are given in Table 4.2, and assume replacement pipe is installed using the open trench method (note that these costs <u>do not</u> include the cost of design, administration, and contingency included in all other tables). Costs are likely to vary greatly depending on site specific circumstances and the economic climate at the time of bidding; in some cases it may be more practical to use trenchless methods or a parallel pipe for construction. These cost estimates are also based on larger scaled projects and thus, the replacement of shorter lengths of pipe as individual projects may incur significantly higher costs due to the nature of construction work.

As per our estimates, connection (manhole or catch basin) replacement cost estimates depend on connecting pipe diameters and depth and ranged from \$11,500 (24-inch pipe with three feet of cover) to \$19,400 (96-inch pipe with three feet of cover). New outfall costs are estimated to be \$40,000 per new outfall. It should be noted that wide variations in actual outfall costs are expected due to location of outfall, whether energy dissipation is required, environmental concerns, etc. Since most of these improvement projects are expected to qualify for negative declarations from permitting agencies, these costs do not include permitting or any environmental documentation. Unit costs for three feet of pipe cover are shown in Table 4.2. More detailed unit costs, accounting for greater pipe cover depths are provided in Appendix A.



Diameter	2017 Dollar per	2017 Dollar Per		
(inches)	Linear foot of Pipe	Connection		
12	\$230	\$11,294		
15	\$239	\$11,303		
18	\$257	\$11,386		
21	\$275	\$11,459		
24	\$331	\$11,524		
27	\$358	\$11,597		
30	\$386	\$11,671		
33	\$404	\$11,987		
36	\$450	\$12,111		
42	\$505	\$12,358		
48	\$551	\$12,606		
54	\$643	\$13,641		
66	\$666	\$15,156		
69	\$331	\$15,313		
72	\$689	\$15,469		
78	\$744	\$16,565		
84	\$790	\$17,661		
96	\$826	\$19,415		
Note: These costs <u>do not</u> inclu contingency included in all oth	ude increases for design, ner tables. Unit costs are i	administration, and for based on an average 3		

Table 4.2: Storm Drain Replacement Unit Costs for 3 feet of pipe cover

feet of ground cover over the pipe. Greater cover will raise estimated costs.

Open Trench Improvements

 $D_R =$

Two essential types of projects are traditionally utilized to increase storm drain system capacity: install a new relief storm drain parallel to the system lacking capacity, or replace the overloaded pipe with larger diameter pipe in the same alignment. The CIP has been developed assuming pipe replacement with a larger diameter pipe. The two alternatives can be made equivalent to one another using the following formula, assuming that pipe material and length are equal:

$$D_R = (D_e^{2.63} + D_p^{2.63})^{0.38}$$

Where

diameter of replacement pipe;

 $D_e =$ diameter of overloaded pipe; and

 $D_{p} =$ diameter of parallel relief drain.

Assuming the existing pipe is adequate in terms of condition, the installation of a new parallel pipe is typically more cost effective than pipe replacement because the required pipe size is smaller and the existing pipe does not need to be removed. This does not take into account the long term maintenance associated with a parallel system. The selection of a capacity improvement strategy will vary from project to project, and be governed by field constraints such as conflicting utilities, rights-of-way, environmental concerns, permit requirements and traffic control. Utility conflicts and potential relocation cost is not included in this SDMP.



Trenchless Improvements

Traditional cut and cover methods of construction will likely be employed for a large portion of the storm drain improvements. However, the utilization of trenchless methods such as bore and jack, directional drilling, cured-in-place pipe (CIPP), slip-lining, pipe bursting, and others, may increasingly find application in special circumstances where existing development encroaches upon the pipe alignment, or disruption of other services and land uses is too costly. These trenchless methods also have their own constraints and should be chosen based on pipe material, access, and other site specific circumstances.

Rehabilitating corrugated metal pipes (CMPs) accounts for the majority of condition related improvement. Using a CIPP is the preferred method for rehabilitating CMP storm drains or culverts because of the ease of installation and the liner will provide structural stability. Although a CIPP decreases the diameter slightly, it will typically maintain or improve the hydraulic characteristics of the storm drain facility due to the lower roughness coefficients. A detailed analysis should be completed during detailed design to determine if a CIPP liner will maintain adequate capacity for a given site.

Capital Improvement Program

Storm Drain Improvement CIP

The CIP costs and pipe lengths based on priority level are summarized in Table 4.3. Individual costs for City projects are summarized in Table 4.4 and County projects in Table 4.5. Figures 4.2 show the location and priority of each CIP project while Figures 4.3 and 4.4 show the County and City projects and Maps of the improvement priorities with pipe diameters are shown in Chapter 3. Detailed project sheets with required improvement pipe lengths and diameters are included in Appendix A for the Highest Priority Capital Improvements.

The projects necessary to improve the various observed drainage issues within the City are listed in Table 4.6 and shown in Figure 4.5. These projects are rated as low priority and should be addressed as funding allows or other improvement projects, such as sewer upgrades, occur. Figure 4.6 shows the distribution of upsized pipes throughout the City.

Priority	Length (ft)	Cost
Highest Priority Capital Improvements	3,500	\$3.8M
High Priority Capital Improvements	8,800	\$9.1M
Moderate Priority Capital Improvements	5,500	\$5.6M
Low Priority Capital Improvements	3,800	\$2.7M
Total Priority Capital Improvements	18,800	\$19.5M

Table 4.3: Summary of Prioritized SDMP CIP - Project Costs



Table 4.4:	Prioritized	Citv	Owned S	Storm	Drain \$	Svstem	CIP
		<i>,</i>	• •				····

Number	Pipe Improvements	Priority	Pipe Length (ft)	Upsized Diameter (in)	Number of Pipes	Manholes	Cost
1	18th St. Outfall	Highest	928	24-36	4	5	\$680,000
2	19th St. Outfall	Highest	320	30	1	2	\$250,000
3	20th St. Outfall	Highest	262	36	1	2	\$240,000
6	22nd St. Outfall	High	325	30-54	4	5	\$380,000
12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	Low	958	42-60	12	13	\$980,000

Table 4.5: Prioritized County Owned Storm Drain System CIP

Number	Pipe Improvements	Priority	Pipe Length (ft)	Upsized Diameter (in)	Number of Pipes	Manholes	Cost
4	16th Street	Highest	1978	84-96	5	6	\$2,600,000
5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	High	5225	42-84	38	39	\$5,000,000
7	Hermosa Ave. at 27 th St.	High	756	36-60	9	10	\$790,000
8	Valley Park Ave.	High	1887	30-84	10	11	\$2,900,000
9	6th Street	Med	1332	48-60	13	14	\$1,300,000
10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	Med	2075	48-84	15	16	\$2,300,000
11	PCH at Pier Ave.	Med	2060	36-60	21	22	\$2,000,000





Figure 4.2: Hermosa Beach Storm Drain CIP Priority Summary





Figure 4.3: Hermosa Beach County Owned CIP Projects





Figure 4.4: Hermosa Beach City Owned CIP Projects



Table 4.6: Observed Flooding	I CIP	Summary	
------------------------------	-------	---------	--

Number	Pipe Improvements	Priority	Pipe Length (ft)	Pipe Diameter (in)	Number of Pipes	Manholes	Cost
OBS1	3316 Hermosa Ave.	Low	474	24	1	2	\$260,000
OBS2	437 28 th St.	Low	122	24	3	3	\$110,000
OBS3	Tennyson Place	Low	367	24	1	2	\$210,000
OBS4	The Strand at 19 th St.	Low	76	18	1	2	\$63,000
OBS5	1823 Monterey Blvd.	Low	678	24	2	3	\$370,000
OBS6	1910 Ardmore St.	Low	28	12	1	1	\$27,000
OBS7	1712 The Strand	Low	405	24	1	1	\$210,000
OBS8	1426 Bayview Dr.	Low	264	18	1	2	\$140,000
OBS9	Marineland Community	Low	187	18	4	6	\$170,000
OBS10	1045 14 th St.	Low	239	18	1	2	\$130,000





Figure 4.5: Hermosa Beach Observed Problem Spot CIP Projects





Figure 4.6: Hermosa Beach Upsized Diameter Distribution



System Maintenance

To be able to accurately gauge the existing conditions and assess any serious problems in the system, Schaaf & Wheeler recommends cleaning and CCTV video coverage of entire the storm drain network. Due to budgetary constraints, this undertaking would likely be completed over the course of several years. A breakdown of the costs associated with obtaining video, assessing problem areas, and providing engineering recommendations for the entire network over a period of six to seven years is shown below in Table 4.7.

Action	Cost
Video Recording (5,000 ft)	\$5,000
Cleaning (Including Outfalls)	\$15,000
Detailed Condition Assessment	\$5,000
Technical Assessment/Recommendations	\$5,000
Total	\$30,000

Table 4.7: Annual Cleaning and CCTV Coverage Cost

The total number of feet cleaned and recorded per year is expected to be between 3,000 and 5,000. It is possible for the number of feet to be higher or lower due to the varying accessibility of individual pipe segments. The identified high and medium priority improvement systems should televised the first few years inspection is funded. Coordinating the CCTV schedule with the City's pavement management program is highly recommended; this approach will minimize the potential for cutting into newly replaced roadways.

Funding and County Coordination

The City does not currently have a dedicated funding source to address all the storm drain CIPs and the regulatory stormwater requirements. There are many approaches to funding these projects and the City should work with a financial advisor to determine the best approach. This report should serve as the engineering basis for any future fee.

The City should also coordinate with Los Angeles County on repairing and upgrading the portions of the system owned by the County outlined in this report. There may be cost sharing opportunities that can reduce the burden on the City. Sharing this document with the County would be an ideal starting point in this dialog.

Chapter 5 – Climate Change

Introduction

Schaaf & Wheeler analyzed the impact of projected climate change on the Hermosa Beach storm drain system assuming the existing system was upgraded to reflect all the CIP projects presented in Chapter 4. Using current climate change research and understanding, this analysis considered both projected sea level rise (SLR) and increased storm intensity to determine the impact on the improved storm drain system. Our analysis analyzes storm drain system performance for the 10-year design storm with SLR and increased precipitation intensity. This chapter discusses the methods used to model climate change, the impact on system performance, and additional CIP projects to alleviate the effects of climate change.

Climate Data

Rainfall patterns and tidal boundaries were modeled to reflect conditions in the year 2100. Future precipitation conditions were determined using projected extreme values for storm intensity as described in the Assessment of Climate Change in the Southwest United States.² Due to projected climate warming, more extreme precipitation events are expected to occur in the region, leading to a 13 to 14 percent increase in the intensity of precipitation events by 2100. While this percentage is for a 1-day rainfall duration, it was applied across the design storm evenly.

For the purpose of this analysis, only stillwater elevations were considered in SLR projections. Wave runup was determined to not significantly impact storm drain capacity and therefore was not modeled in this analysis. SLR projections were based on the Coastal Storm Modeling System for Southern California (CoSMoS 3.0). CoSMos 3.0 provides coastal flooding predictions in the Southern California region. Based on CoSMoS 3.0 projections, stillwater elevations are expected to rise approximately 3.28 feet in the Hermosa Beach area by 2100. As outlined in Rising Seas in California, April 2017³, sea level at the La Jolla tide gauge station near Hermosa Beach is likely to rise between 1.8 and 3.6 feet above the 1991-2009 mean sea level by 2100 based on the RCP 8.5 emission trajectory. The CoSMoS 3.0 projection falls within this range. To model future conditions in Hermosa Beach, 3.28 feet was added to the model tidal boundaries.

Model Methodology

The procedures described in the Chapter 2 of this study were adapted to account for climate change. The modified design storm as described in the "Modified Methodology" section was used to create a 10-year design storm. Precipitation intensity obtained from this method was increased by 14 percent to model projected future conditions, as specified in the Assessment of Climate Change in the Southwest United States.²

All outlets in the system are tidally influenced and are modeled using a 100-year tidal curve developed from existing NOAA gauge statistics for the Santa Monica tide station. The modeled diurnal tidal cycle was developed such that the low-high tidal peak occurs coincident to the rainfall peak. In order to account for SLR, 3.28 feet was added to the tidal curve. Projected SLR was applied in conjunction with increased precipitation intensity to model 2100 conditions on the Hermosa Beach storm drain system.

² Assessment of Climate Change in the Southwest United States: A Report Prepared for the National Climate Assessment. Southwest Climate Alliance. 2013.

³ *Rising Sea Levels in California: An Update on Sea-Level Rise Science*. California Ocean Science Trust. April 2017.



This analysis applies climate change scenarios to the storm drain system assuming all CIP projects discussed in Chapter 4 of this report were implemented within the City. Climate change CIP projects discussed herein are in addition to those discussed in Chapter 4.

Climate Change Impacts

Portions of Hermosa Beach are susceptible to SLR due to their close proximity to the ocean and generally low ground elevations. Sea level rise results in two distinct problems for low lying areas: potential overland inundation resulting directly from higher ocean levels, and a decrease in storm drain capacity from higher tides at outfalls. Although elevations throughout the City vary, much of beach area at the western edge of the City is lower than the projected high tides when considering 3.28 feet of SLR. 58 percent of existing storm drain outfalls have invert elevations lower than projected high tide levels. The low-lying areas along the City's western edge are susceptible to flooding through direct overland flow during high tides. Increased water levels at the outfalls will contribute to interior flooding during storm events. Figure 5.1 shows the projected water depth within the City given 3.28 feet of SLR.

Increased precipitation intensity due to climate change will contribute to higher peak runoff throughout the City. Existing CIP storm drain capacity may not be able to accommodate higher levels of discharge entering the system. Increased runoff has the potential to inundate the storm drain system and contribute to flooding.



Figure 5.1: CoSMoS Projected Water Depth with 3.28 feet of SLR



Results

In many locations throughout the City the existing CIP storm drain system cannot accommodate the climate change scenario flows caused by the projected 10-year storm event and increased tide levels. Figure 5.2 shows node flooding throughout the existing CIP system due to the climate change scenario.





Figure 5.2: Storm Drain Conditions During a 10-year Return Period Event with Climate Change Scenarios Applied to the Upsized System



Climate Change Improvement Projects

To address flooding due to projected climate change, additional CIP projects were identified. Identified CIP projects include the upsizing of pipes and the installation of flap gates on laterals. Figure 5.3 shows the identified project locations and Figure 5.4 details the changes made to the system at each location. Some improvement locations to address climate change overlap with those discussed in Chapter 4. The City may implement climate change CIP projects rather than making existing changes as they see fit.

The methods used to analyze project costs discussed in Chapter 4 were applied to the additional climate change CIP projects. Table 5.1 and Table 5.2 detail the cost associated with upsizing pipes and installing flap gates, respectively. All climate change CIP projects are considered low priority in this analysis.

Table 5.1 shows pipes which are upsized in both sets of CIP projects. Flooding throughout the City is significantly decreased as a result of these projects. Figure 5.5 shows node flooding throughout the City with the climate change CIP projects.

Number	Pipe Improvements	Priority	Pipe Length (ft)	Pipe Diameter (in)	Manholes	Cost
CC Upsized - 1	CC 14th Street	Low	523	30	4	\$ 360,000
CC Upsized - 2	CC 22nd St. and Valley Dr.	Low	442	36-84	5	\$ 570,000
CC Upsized - 3	CC 2nd St. and PCH	Low	249	24-36	3	\$ 210,000
CC Upsized - 4	CC 35th Street	Low	19	24	1	\$ 30,000
CC Upsized - 5	CC 6th Street	Low	594	48	2	\$ 490,000
CC Upsized - 6	CC 7th Street	Low	329	30	1	\$ 200,000
CC Upsized - 7	CC 8th Street and PCH	Low	329	36-60	1	\$ 200,000
CC Upsized - 8	CC Pier Ave	Low	2407	30-72	6	\$1,880,000
CC Upsized - 9	CC Pier Ave. and Valley Dr.	Low	538	60	2	\$ 490,000
CC Upsized - 10	CC Valley Drive	Low	867	60-72	3	\$ 870,000
CC Upsized - 11	CC Valley Park Ave	Low	698	36-84	5	\$ 710,000
Total \$6,170,000						

Table 5.1: Climate Change CIP Pipe Upsizing Costs



Number	Pipe Improvements	Priority	Pipe Diameter (in)	Flap Gates	Cost
CC Flap Gate - 1	24th Place	Low	18	1	\$ 4,900
CC Flap Gate - 2	PCH and 5th St.	Low	18	1	\$ 4,900
CC Flap Gate - 3	Valley Drive and 2nd St.	Low	72	1	\$ 27,300
CC Flap Gate - 4	Valley Drive and 8th St.	Low	18	5	\$ 24,400
				Total	\$ 61,000

Table 5.2: Climate Change CIP Flap Gate Installation Costs

Table 5.3: Pipes Present in both Existing System CIP and Climate Change CIP Projects

	Length	Existing Diameter	Project	Upsized Diameter	CC Project	CC Upsized Diameter
Pipe ID	(ft)	(in)	Number	(in)	Number	(in)
County_Pipe305	50	24	UPSIZED - 13	42	CC UPSIZED - 7	60
County_Lat267	202	24	UPSIZED - 13	30	CC UPSIZED - 2	72
County_Pipe194	177	33	UPSIZED - 9	48	CC UPSIZED - 9	60
County_Pipe196	321	48	UPSIZED - 9	60	CC UPSIZED - 10	72
County_Pipe199	460	51	UPSIZED - 9	84	CC UPSIZED - 10	96
County_Pipe22	208	39	UPSIZED - 9	48	CC UPSIZED - 9	60
County_Pipe301	198	24	UPSIZED - 13	48	CC UPSIZED - 7	60
County_Pipe36	131	39	UPSIZED - 9	48	CC UPSIZED - 9	60
County_Pipe68	124	51	UPSIZED - 13	72	CC UPSIZED - 2	84
County_Pipe96	392	54	Upsized 6	60	CC UPSIZED - 8	72
County_Pipe97	383	48	Upsized 6	60	CC UPSIZED - 8	72
MU_137	22	33	UPSIZED - 9	48	CC UPSIZED - 9	60
MU_138	86	48	UPSIZED - 9	60	CC UPSIZED - 10	72
MU_148	86	60	UPSIZED - 12	72	CC UPSIZED - 11	84
MU_149	151	60	UPSIZED - 12	72	CC UPSIZED - 11	84
MU_6	149	24	UPSIZED - 12	30	CC UPSIZED - 11	36
MU_76	53	51	UPSIZED - 13	72	CC UPSIZED - 2	84





Figure 5.3: Climate Change CIP Locations





Figure 5.4: Climate Change CIP Details





Figure 5.5: Node Flooding with Climate Change CIP Projects Implemented



Conclusion

The City of Hermosa Beach will be impacted as the climate continues to change. Even with the CIP projects discussed in Chapter 4 implemented, the storm drain system does not have capacity to accommodate the runoff resulting from a higher intensity 10-year storm. Projected SLR may potentially inundate system outfalls, further restricting system capacity. Increased precipitation intensity, combined with projected SLR, may contribute increased levels of flooding throughout the City. Climate change CIP projects are necessary to mitigate flooding associated with SLR and increased precipitation intensity.

It is recommended that the effects of climate change be thoroughly considered before future development to minimize damages and flooding. Adherence to SLR standards set forth in CoSMoS 3.0 as well as Rising Seas in California, April 2017³ is recommended prepare for projected SLR. Planning for projected increased precipitation intensity is also recommended to minimize flooding due to lack of system capacity.

Appendix C shows preliminary FEMA base flood elevations (BFE) as of October 28, 2016. The BFE in Hermosa Beach ranges from 18 feet at the southern end of the City, to 20 feet at the northern end of the City. This analysis includes risk associated with wave runup, rather than stillwater alone. As shown in Appendix C, flooding is assumed to terminate at the sea wall located along The Strand. With 3.28 feet of projected SLR, the BFE in the City will rise to approximately 21-23 feet including wave runup. Any shoreline improvements should consider this to maintain flood protection.

Chapter 6 - Regulation and Permitting

Applicability of Trash Capture, Green Infrastructure and Regional Multi-Benefit Projects to SDMP CIP

Trash Capture

The City is subject to the Santa Monica Bay Nearshore and Offshore Debris TMDL. The City is currently in the process of meeting this TMDL requirement through the installation of connector pipe screen and automatic retractable screen devices on all City inlets. It may be possible to reduce the maintenance required for treatment by installing large scale trash capture devices on some of the City trunk lines in lieu of inlet level devices. Each highest and high priority CIP project has been analyzed for the potential to incorporate large scale trash capture devices (ex. Contech CDS) as summarized in the matrix by a rating of low, moderate, and high. Project costs have been included which are based on a cost of \$2,500 per acre treated. This assumes construction occurs as part of the larger CIP project and includes design and 30% contingency.

CIP Project Number	CIP Project Name	Full Trash Capture Opportunity Rating	Number of Inlets	Ownership	Cost
Project 1	18th Street Outfall	Moderate	7	City	\$40,000
Project 2	19th Street Outfall	Low	2	City	\$20,000
Project 3	20th Street Outfall	Low	1	City	\$30,000
Project 4	16th Street	High	92*	County	\$760,000
Project 5	Valley Drive at Herondo Ave.	High	55	County	\$520,000
Project 6	22nd Street Outfall	Low	4	City	\$80,000
Project 7	Hermosa Ave. and Gould Ave.	Moderate	12	County	\$80,000
Project 8	Valley Park Ave.	High	28	County	\$320,000

Table 6.1: CIP	Trash Capture	Applicability Matrix
----------------	----------------------	----------------------

*Includes inlets in project number 8

In addition to incorporating full trash capture into the CIP projects, 5 locations were identified within the City's drainage system as optimal locations to install devices. Consideration was given to; drainage area, system depth, right-of-way ownership, maintenance access, hydraulics and upstream flooding and constructability. Note that Locations 2, 4 and 5 are subsets of Locations 1 and 3 and are alternatives if 1 or 3 are deemed infeasible. If the City elects to install large scale trash capture at any of the potential locations, storm drainage system hydraulics should be reviewed in detail to ensure that the device impacts are mitigated with the design, so that upstream flooding is not exacerbated. The 5 recommended devices are identified in the Figure below. The following Table accompanies the Figure to identify the drainage area to the device, system depth and size, and presence of upstream flooding. The number of inlets within the drainage area has also been provided to identify how many could be replaced by the installation of the large unit. Unless other projects are planned within the other drainage areas, small scale inlet screens are recommended treat the remainder of the City not within the limits of the drainage areas depicted in Figure 6.1.



Project costs are based on an average cost of \$3,000 per acre. This includes design, construction as a stand-alone project and 30% contingency. Costs are based on full trash capture devices installed below grade within public right of way. These costs do not include easement or property acquisition or environmental permitting.

Trash Location Number	Location Name	Drainage Area (ac)	Depth to Invert (ft)	Ex. Pipe Size (in)	Upstream Flooding	Number of Inlets	Cost
1	Hermosa Valley School	291*	19	72	Yes	88*	\$870,000
2	Valley Park	58	13	36	No	12	\$170,000
3	Valley Dr Green Belt at Herondo	206**	15	63	Yes	55**	\$620,000
4	Valley Dr Green Belt at 3 rd Street	65	11	27	Yes	22	\$200,000
5	Valley Dr Green Belt at 8 th Street	63	6	36	Yes	21	\$190,000

Table 6.2: Large Scale Trash Capture Opportunities

*Includes Location 2

**Includes Locations 4 and 5





Figure 6.1: Large Scale Full Trash Capture Opportunity Locations



Green Infrastructure

The Los Angeles Region Water Quality Control Board NPDES Municipal Separate Storm Sewer (MS4) Permit (Order No. R4-2012-0175 as amended by WQ 2015-0075) allows for the development of a Watershed Management Programs to comply with the Receiving Water limitations and Total Maximum Daily Load Provisions. Hermosa Beach is part of the Beach Cities Watershed Management Group's Enhanced Watershed Management Plan (EWMP, February 2016) which has several requirements pertaining to Green Infrastructure Planning and Implementation, and incorporation of these principles into storm drain infrastructure design. It is the intent of this Master Plan to identify opportunities to integrate LID and green infrastructure components into the City's CIP projects and to guide future development. The extension of storm drainage infrastructure into underserved areas, as detailed by the Observed Flooding CIP, would serve as potentially great locations to implement green infrastructure practices.

The EWMP identifies 3 existing and 3 proposed structural BMPs within Hermosa Beach. One of the proposed BMPs is a regional project and described further in the Integrated and Multi-Benefit Project Opportunities section below. Existing City projects identified in the EWMP include the Pier Avenue Improvement Project, Hermosa Strand Infiltration Trench and Hermosa Avenue Green Street Project – North. Proposed City BMP projects include the Hermosa Beach Infiltration Trench and Hermosa Avenue Green Street Project – South. These proposed projects have a construction timeline within the 20-year planning horizon of the SDMP future condition.

Integrated and Multi-Benefit Project Opportunities

Hermosa Beach accepts runoff from the Cities of Manhattan Beach and Redondo Beach and shares use of the County's Herondo storm drain trunk line with Redondo Beach and the City of Torrance. A joint benefit project has been identified in the EWMP and funded to construct an infiltration trench within the Hermosa Beach Greenbelt of Herondo Street. A moderate level project has been identified in the CIP as Project 5 which passes through the designated location of the infiltration project. This is a potential opportunity for the City to improve their drainage system at the same time as the infiltration project is designed and constructed. During design the required pipe sizes for CIP project 5 should be reviewed in conjunction with the infiltration design to discern if any efficiency can be made. This location was also identified for a full trash capture device. A trash capture device could be included as pretreatment of flows coming from the Hermosa Beach storm drain line before infiltration and could also accomplish full trash capture if sized to the 1-year, 1-hour event.

In addition to projects identified in the EWMP, the City has identified a potential for retrofitting a portion of Beach Drive near the intersection with Hermosa Avenue into a Green Street. The Beach Drive green street project is located on the south end of the City and could capture the Hermosa Avenue storm drain line for infiltration. This Hermosa Avenue storm pipe is the only portion of the City of Hermosa Beach draining to the Herondo storm drain which will not be captured by the multi-jurisdictional Hermosa Beach Greenbelt Infiltration project. The CIP identifies a low priority capacity project on Hermosa Avenue which could potentially be partially alleviated through infiltration on Beach Drive. This green street project would be multi-benefit as it would provide beautification, stormwater runoff control and treatment.

The City is pursuing the reconstruction of additional sections of Beach Drive as a Green Alley to use infiltration to treat nuisance flows and small storms. Reaches of Beach Drive have been identified and prioritized to serve as pilot projects based on historical flooding data provided by the City, the SDMP flooding results and site reconnaissance. The results of this prioritization is presented in the following Figure and Table. Note that there were no observed flooding locations identified on Beach Drive south of



Pier Avenue and therefore those locations are of lower priority. Additionally, road conditions were observed to be generally worse north of Pier Avenue.

Priority	Reach Location	Observed Flooding	SDMP Flooding	Notes
1	15 th Street to 22 nd Street	Yes	Yes	Worse Road Conditions
2	2 nd to Hermosa Avenue	No	No	Infiltrate Hermosa Avenue Flows
3	Pier Avenue to 14 th Street	No	No	High foot traffic, worse road conditions
4	10 th Street to Pier Avenue	No	No	High foot traffic, worse road conditions
5	2 nd Street to 10 th Street	No	No	Good road condition

Table 6.3: Beach Drive Green Alley Prioritized Pilot Projects





Figure 6.2: Beach Drive Green Alley Pilot Project Map


The observed flooding locations within the City identified in previously as low priority CIP projects were reviewed for the potential to install Green Infrastructure instead of extending or improving the piped storm drainage system. The model result CIP were also reviewed for similar opportunity. The results of this prioritization is presented in the following Tables.

Project 10 and 11 (not highest or high priority) pass through the Hermosa Beach Greenbelt and there is a potential to install infiltration within the Greenbelt to reduce the need to upsize pipes for projects 4, 10 and 11. This would be a multi-benefit project opportunity providing flood mitigation and improving water quality.

CIP Number	Location	Green Infrastructure Feasibility	Recommendation
OBS1	3316 Hermosa Avenue	High	Infiltrating Green Street or Pervious Paving
OBS2	437 28 th Street	Medium	Infiltration Trench
OBS3	Tennyson Place	Low	High slopes, connect to system
OBS4	The Strand at 19 th Street	High	Infiltrating Green Street or Pervious Paving
OBS5	1823 Monterey Boulevard	Medium	High slopes, Infiltration Trench
OBS6	1910 Ardmore Street	Low	High slopes, connect to system
OBS7	1712 The Strand	High	Infiltration Trench or Pervious Paving
OBS8	1426 Bayview Drive	Medium	High slopes, connect to nearby System
OBS9	Marineland Community	Low	High slopes, connect to nearby System
OBS9	Pier Avenue and Bard Street	High	Infiltrating Green Street or Pervious Paving
OBS10	1045 14 th Street	High	Infiltration Trench or Pervious Paving
OBS11	1049 Sunset	High	Infiltrating Green Street or Pervious Paving

Table 6.4: Observed Flooding Green Infrastructure Opportunities

Table 6.5: Model CIP Green Infrastructure Opportunities

CIP Number	Location	Green Infrastructure Feasibility	ВМР Туре
1	18th Street Outfall	Medium	Infiltration Trench in 18 th Street
2	19th Street Outfall	Medium	Infiltration Trench in 19 th Street
3	20th Street Outfall	Medium	Infiltration Trench in 20 th Street
4	16th Street	High	Infiltration in Green Belt
5	Valley Drive at Herondo Avenue	High	Infiltration in Green Belt
5	8 th Street and Valley Drive	High	Infiltration in Green Belt
6	22nd Street Outfall	Medium	Infiltration Trench in 22 nd Street
7	Hermosa Ave. and Gould	Medium	Infiltration in Hermosa Avenue Median
	Avenue	weaturn	
8	Valley Park Avenue	Low	Infiltration at Hermosa Valley School



Regulatory Permitting as Applies to the CIP Projects

Below is a summary of the regulatory considerations for each of the highest and high priority CIP projects developed within this Master Plan. With respect to regulatory and resource agency permit requirements, the following is summarized: the expected permits needed for each CIP project, including identification of the local, state, and federal agencies which are expected to exercise jurisdiction over particular project elements, and an estimate of the permitting costs and timelines. Technical studies needed to support the acquisition of regulatory agency permits and approvals, as specific to each project are also identified. Any opportunities to reduce/minimize/streamline regulatory permitting and challenges are incorporated. Where applicable, a recommendation of programmatic permitting opportunities that may exist for the CIPs proposed within the SDMP, or a subset of CIPs, has been included.



Table 6.6: Summary of Permitting and Regulation Requirements

		1			1		1		1	1	Hern	nosa Beach	SDM	P Per	mitting Matrix	
Project Number	Project Name	Agencies	CA Coastal Commission	Army Corps of Engineers	CA Dept of Fish and Wildlife	US Fish and Wildlife	National Marine Fisheries Service	CEQA Compliance	SWRCB	County of Los Angeles	Approximate Permitting Costs	Timeline (years)	Technical Studies Needed	EIR	Additional Technical Studies	Opportunities to Reduce
1	18th Street Outfall		~	~	~	~	~	~	~		\$ 200,000.00	1.5 - 2		~		•
2	19th Street Outfall		~	~	~	~	~	~	~		\$ 200,000.00	1.5 - 2	-	~		•
3	20th Street Outfall		~	~	~	~	~	~	~		\$ 200,000.00	1.5 - 2		~		•
4	16th Street		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	\$ 200,000.00	1.5 - 2		~		•
5	Valley Drive at Herondo Ave.*							~		~	\$ 80,000 if CEQA is required	1			 Hydraulic impact to Herondo Drain CEQA for increased flows to Herondo Drain 	•
6	22nd Street Outfall		~	~	~	~	~	~	~		\$ 200,000.00	1.5 - 2		~		•
7	Hermosa Ave. and Gould Ave.		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	\$ 200,000.00	1.5 - 2]	~		•
8	Valley Park Ave.									~	-	-	1			•

* Potential for permit requirements if flows to the beach are increased. No permits required are assumed herein. This assumption should be validated during conceptual design.

Programmatic Permitting
Potential to permit with another outfall project: 2, 3, 4, 6, and/or 7 Potential to include OSB5 and OSB7
Potential to permit with another outfall project: 1, 3, 4, 6, and/or 7 Potential to include OSB4
Potential to permit with another outfall project: 1, 2, 4, 6, and/or 7
Potential to permit with another outfall project: 1, 2, 3, 6, and/or 7
Potential to construct with projects OSB8, OSB9, and/or OSB10
Potential to construct with projects 8 and/or 10 and 11
Coordinate with Regional Infiltration project in Greenbelt
Potential to permit with another outfall project: 1, 2, 3, 4, and/or 7
Potential to permit with another outfall project: 1, 2, 3, 4, and/or 6
Potential to construct with project 4



City Policy and Guidance Manual Review and Recommendations

Stormwater management intersects with multiple aspects of the City's planning policy and design guidance. These City policies and guidance are in turn influenced by the City's need to address regional and national policies and regulations. Schaaf & Wheeler completed a review of the City policy and guidance, as regards regional and national policies and regulations. Integration across planning policy and design guidance is particularly important as the City seeks a framework to manage the uncertainty stemming from climate change. By coordinating with other policies and guidance, the City can preserve future management flexibility in the face of this uncertainty.

Regional and National Policies Considered:

- Climate Action Plans
 - Draft PLAN Hermosa (March 2017)
 - Hermosa Beach Sustainability Plan (September 2011)
- Beach Cities EWMP
- Los Angeles Region Water Quality Control Board NPDES MS4 Permit

The following City policies and guidance were reviewed and recommendations made:

- Municipal Code Section 8.44 Stormwater and Urban Runoff Pollution Control Regulations
- The City may consider requiring disconnecting of downspouts for all new or redevelopment to create less directly connected impervious surface.
- The City may consider including a requirement for trash capture on private property storm drainage systems.
- Infiltration LID is required for 5000 sf new/replaced impervious surface, but doesn't regulate increases in flow. The City may consider including new and redevelopment sites to perform Hydromodification. This could include a section for SFH and other development creating or replacing 2500 sf of impervious surface to not increase impervious surface from existing or to match peak flows from a 2-year to 10-year event.
- Municipal Code Section 8.60 Efficient Landscaping
 - The ordinance could be revised to provide flat ponding area in landscaping for disconnected rainwater leaders to allow for additional infiltration.
- Municipal Code Section 17.38 Specific Plan Areas
 - None of the specific plan areas have any additional stormwater requirements besides 11 (below). All refer to 8.44.
 - The City may decide to include requirements for a reduction in impervious surface, disconnection of impervious surfaces and/or detention within the Specific Area Plans.
 - The City may include private property trash capture for all private storm drain systems.
 - There is no reference to City storm drainage standards or to detention requirements.



- 17.38.550 Specific Plan Area 11 Development Standards
- The plan reduces infiltration LID requirement from 5000 sf to 500 sf of new or replaced impervious surface.

The City developed a Sustainability Plan in 2011 related to greenhouse gas emission reductions; however, this plan does not address design for climate change impacts, namely sea level rise and increased storm intensity.

The City has drafted PLAN Hermosa, an integrated General Plan and Local Coastal Program that will replace the current outdated General Plan of 1980. Chapter 6 on Public Safety discusses Sea Level Rise and Climate Change as well as City policies to mitigate the impacts. Policies of note as they relate to the storm drainage system are to encourage additional green infrastructure requirements⁴, maintain the beach as a buffer to sea level rise impacts, and require development in the Coastal Area to design for sea level rise. The PLAN also encourages property owners in the Coastal Zone to prepare and protect against flooding and increased risk.

Additional policies that the City may consider implementing but are not explicitly discussed in PLAN Hermosa include: storm drainage system size increases to accommodate higher backwater and larger storm peaks, limiting development in areas prone to flooding from sea level rise, requiring retrofit of existing development and streets for green infrastructure and/or requiring retrofit of existing structures in the coastal zone to protect against flooding.

Review and Recommendations for Drainage Design Standards

A review of the City's and County's current drainage design standards and criteria was completed. Recommended revisions (redlines) to these standards to meet existing and future needs were provided and summarized herein. The proposed revisions will make the City standards consistent with methods applied in this master planning effort and flood control measures of Los Angeles County, which operates important flood control facilities within Hermosa Beach. The recommendations to the drainage standards include:

- Modify the design storm for calculating runoff,
- Outfall design standards,
- A standard policy for development in the tidal zone,
- NPDES requirements.

⁴ The Value of Green Infrastructure for Urban Climate Adaptation, The Center for Clean Air Policy, February 2011

Appendices

Appendix A

CIP Cost Estimate

HERMOSA BEACH CAPACITY CIP DETAILED COST ESTIMATES

Ownership	CIP ID	CIPName	MUID	Priority	Length (ft) Diam (in)		Pipe Unit Cost	Pi	ipe Cost	MH or HWs	MH Cost	Outfalls		Total	Project Cost w/ 50% Contingency
County	PROJECT - 4	16th Street	County_Pipe201	Highest	330.28	96 \$	826	\$	272,943	1	\$ 19,415		\$	292,358	\$ 438,537
County	PROJECT - 4	16th Street	County_Pipe202	Highest	213.43	96 \$	826	\$	176,376	1	\$ 19,415		\$	195,791	\$ 293,687
County	PROJECT - 4	16th Street	County_Pipe270	Highest	256.46	96 \$	826	\$	211,940	1	\$ 19,415	\$ 40,000) \$	271,355	\$ 407,032
County	PROJECT - 4	16th Street	MU 147	Highest	91.20	96 \$	826	\$	75,366	1	\$ 19,415		\$	94,781	\$ 142,171
			_	-	1978					6	· · · · ·				\$ 2,595,423
City	PROJECT - 1	18th St. Outfall	City Pipe60	Highest	94.91	24 \$	312	\$	29,630	1	\$ 11,524		\$	41,154	\$ 61,731
City	PROJECT - 1	18th St. Outfall	MU 90	Highest	14.83	24 \$	312	\$	4,630	1	\$ 11,524		\$	16,153	\$ 24,230
City	PROJECT - 1	18th St. Outfall	City Pipe4	Highest	418.13	36 \$	404	\$	168,933	1	\$ 12,111	\$ 40,000) \$	221,044	\$ 331,566
, City	PROJECT - 1	18th St. Outfall	MU 13	Highest	400.51	36 \$	404	\$	161,813	1	\$ 12,111		\$	173,924	\$ 260,886
,			-	0	928				,	5	. ,			,	\$ 678,413
City	PROJECT - 2	19th St. Outfall	City_Pipe3	Highest	319.60	30 \$	358	\$	114,450	1	\$ 11,671	\$ 40,000) \$	166,120	\$ 249,180
					320					2					\$ 249,180
City	PROJECT - 3	20th St. Outfall	City_Pipe2	Highest	262.35	36 \$	404	\$	105,992	1	\$ 12,111	\$ 40,000) \$	158,103	\$ 237,155
					262					2					\$ 237,155
City	PROJECT - 6	22nd St. Outfall	City_Pipe102	High	84.45	30 \$	358	\$	30,240	1	\$ 11,671		\$	41,911	\$ 62,866
City	PROJECT - 6	22nd St. Outfall	City_Pipe72	High	6.83	30 \$	358	\$	2,447	1	\$ 11,671		\$	14,118	\$ 21,177
City	PROJECT - 6	22nd St. Outfall	City_Pipe1	High	206.06	54 \$	551	\$	113,526	1	\$ 13,641	\$ 40,000) \$	167,167	\$ 250,751
City	PROJECT - 6	22nd St. Outfall	MU_95	High	27.89	54 \$	551	\$	15,368	1	\$ 13,641	1	\$	29,009	\$ 43,514
			_	-	325					5				-	\$ 378,308
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	County Pipe71	Med	17.03	48 \$	505	\$	8,599	1	\$ 12,606		\$	21,205	\$ 31,807
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	County_Pipe75	Med	258.31	48 \$	505	\$	130,451	1	\$ 12,606	1	\$	143,057	\$ 214,585
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	County_Pipe76	Med	183.01	48 \$	505	\$	92,425	1	\$ 12,606		\$	105,031	\$ 157,546
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	County_Pipe77	Med	35.67	48 \$	505	\$	18,014	1	\$ 12,606	\$ 40,000) \$	70,620	\$ 105,930
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	County_Pipe80	Med	43.59	48 \$	505	\$	22,015	1	\$ 12,606		\$	34,620	\$ 51,931
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	County_Pipe84	Med	48.87	48 \$	505	\$	24,679	1	\$ 12,606		\$	37,285	\$ 55,928
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	County_Pipe85	Med	100.26	48 \$	505	\$	50,635	1	\$ 12,606		\$	63,241	\$ 94,861
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	MU_32	Med	164.94	48 \$	505	\$	83,296	1	\$ 12,606		\$	95,902	\$ 143,853
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	MU_33	Med	94.81	48 \$	505	\$	47,879	1	\$ 12,606		\$	60,485	\$ 90,728
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	MU_79	Med	10.16	48 \$	505	\$	5,131	1	\$ 12,606		\$	17,737	\$ 26,606
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	MU_81	Med	65.71	48 \$	505	\$	33,186	1	\$ 12,606		\$	45,792	\$ 68,688
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	MU_84	Med	13.83	48 \$	505	\$	6,984	1	\$ 12,606		\$	19,589	\$ 29,384
County	PROJECT - 9	6th Street	County_Pipe10	Med	295.71	60 \$	551	\$	162,917	1	\$ 13,641		\$	176,558	\$ 264,838
					1332					14					\$ 1,336,685
County	PROJECT - 7	Hermosa Ave. at Gould Ave.	County_Pipe145	High	101.40	36 \$	404	\$	40,969	1	\$ 12,111		\$	53,080	\$ 79,620
County	PROJECT - 7	Hermosa Ave. at Gould Ave.	County_Pipe146	High	53.04	36 \$	404	\$	21,431	1	\$ 12,111		\$	33,542	\$ 50,313
County	PROJECT - 7	Hermosa Ave. at Gould Ave.	MU_124	High	75.14	36 \$	404	\$	30,357	1	\$ 12,111		\$	42,468	\$ 63,702
County	PROJECT - 7	Hermosa Ave. at Gould Ave.	MU_125	High	16.39	36 \$	404	\$	6,624	1	\$ 12,111		\$	18,735	\$ 28,102
County	PROJECT - 7	Hermosa Ave. at Gould Ave.	County_Pipe139	High	104.54	48 \$	505	\$	52,794	1	\$ 12,606		\$	65,399	\$ 98,099
County	PROJECT - 7	Hermosa Ave. at Gould Ave.	County_Pipe144	High	91.91	48 \$	505	\$	46,419	1	\$ 12,606		\$	59,025	\$ 88,537
County	PROJECT - 7	Hermosa Ave. at Gould Ave.	County_Pipe256	High	83.05	48 \$	505	\$	41,942	1	\$ 12,606		\$	54,548	\$ 81,821
County	PROJECT - 7	Hermosa Ave. at Gould Ave.	County_Pipe271	High	184.57	60 \$	551	\$	101,687	1	\$ 13,641	\$ 40,000) \$	155,328	\$ 232,993
County	PROJECT - 7	Hermosa Ave. at Gould Ave.	MU_56	High	45.46	60 \$	551	\$	25,044	1	\$ 13,641		Ş	38,685	\$ 58,028
					756					10					\$ 781,216
City	PROJECT - 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	MU_40	Low	67.37	42 Ş	450	Ş	30,311	1	\$ 12,358		Ş	42,670	\$ 64,004
City	PROJECT - 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	County_Pipe242	Low	167.43	48 \$	505	\$	84,554	1	\$ 12,606		\$	97,159	\$ 145,739
City	PROJECT - 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	County_Pipe243	Low	203.29	48 \$	505	Ş	102,663	1	\$ 12,606		Ş	115,269	\$ 172,904
City	PROJECT - 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	County_Pipe79	LOW	186.10	48 Ş	505	Ş	93,987	1	\$ 12,606		Ş	106,592	\$ 159,889
City	PROJECT - 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	MU_41	Low	10.34	48 \$	505	Ş	5,223	1	\$ 12,606		Ş	17,829	\$ 26,744
City	PROJECT - 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	MU_82	LOW	4/.12	48 Ş	505	Ş	23,795	1	\$ 12,606		Ş	36,401	\$ 54,601
City	PROJECT - 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	MU_83	LOW	20.46	48 Ş	505	Ş	10,332	1	\$ 12,606		Ş	22,938	\$ 34,406
City	PROJECT - 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	MU_42	LOW	27.14	54 Ş	551	Ş	14,954	1	\$ 13,641		Ş	28,596	\$ 42,893
City	PROJECT 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	IVIU_43	LOW	10.35	54 \$	551	Ş	5,700	1	\$ 13,641		\$	19,341	\$ 29,012
LITY	PROJECT - 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	IVIU_44	LOW	12.05	54 Ş	551	Ş	6,637	1	\$ 13,641		Ş	20,278	ş 30,417

City	PROJECT - 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	MU_45	Low	8.66	54 \$	551 \$	4,772	1 \$	13,641	\$ 18,414	\$ 27,620
City	PROJECT - 12	Hermosa Ave. at Herondo St.	County_Pipe260	Low	197.25	60 \$	551 \$	108,671	1 \$	13,641	\$ 122,312	\$ 183,468
					958				13			\$ 971,698
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	County_Pipe33	Med	288.26	36 \$	404 \$	116,462	1 \$	12,111	\$ 128,573	\$ 192,859
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	County_Pipe26	Med	192.53	42 \$	450 \$	86,623	1 \$	12,358	\$ 98,981	\$ 148,472
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	County_Pipe27	Med	217.30	42 \$	450 \$	97,769	1 \$	12,358	\$ 110,128	\$ 165,192
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	MU_55	Med	19.69	42 \$	450 \$	8,859	1 \$	12,358	\$ 21,218	\$ 31,827
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	County_Pipe40	Med	75.85	48 \$	505 \$	38,308	1 \$	12,606	\$ 50,914	\$ 76,371
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	MU_66	Med	46.19	48 \$	505 \$	23,328	1 \$	12,606	\$ 35,933	\$ 53,900
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	County_Pipe250	Med	15.44	60 \$	551 \$	8,508	1 \$	13,641	\$ 22,149	\$ 33,224
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	County_Pipe30	Med	252.93	60 \$	551 Ş	139,346	1 \$	13,641	\$ 152,988	\$ 229,481
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	County_Pipe38	Med	227.19	60 \$	551 \$	125,164	1 \$	13,641	\$ 138,805	\$ 208,208
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	County_Pipe41	Med	313.64	60 \$	551 \$	172,792	1 \$	13,641	\$ 186,433	\$ 279,649
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	MU_47	Med	16.29	60 \$	551 \$	8,974	1 \$	13,641	\$ 22,616	\$ 33,923
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	MU_48	Med	10.36	60 \$	551 \$	5,709	1 \$	13,641	\$ 19,350	\$ 29,025
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	MU_65	Med	54.23	60 \$	551 \$	29,877	1 \$	13,641	\$ 43,518	\$ 65,278
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	MU_69	Ivied	25.39	60 \$	551 \$	13,988	1 \$	13,641	\$ 27,629	\$ 41,443
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	MU_70	Med	18.63	60 \$	551 \$	10,266	1 \$	13,641	\$ 23,907	\$ 35,861
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	MU_71	Med	25.79	60 \$	551 \$	14,207	1 \$	13,641	\$ 27,848 \$ 26,015	\$ 41,772
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	NU_72	Med	22.40	60 Ş	551 \$	12,374	د <u>۱</u> ۱ د	13,041	\$ 20,015	\$ 39,023 \$ 24,046
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	NU_73	Med	4.34	60 \$	551 Ş 551 ¢	2,390	1 Ş 1 ¢	12 6 4 1	\$ 10,031 \$ 26.081	\$ 24,040 \$ 54,122
County	PROJECT - 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	County Dino25	Med	40.75	60 \$	551 Ş 551 ¢	02 502	1 Ş 1 ¢	12 6/1	\$ 50,081 \$ 107.142	3 34,122 \$ 160,715
County	PROJECT 11	PCH at Pier Ave.	MIL 69	Med	22.14	60 \$	551 Ş 551 ¢	12 751	1 Ş 1 ¢	12 6/1	\$ 107,143	\$ 100,715 ¢ 20,599
County	PROJECT - II	FCIT at FIELAVE.	100_08	ivieu	23.14	00 3	ڊ 100	12,751	27 27	13,041	Ş 20,352	\$ 55,588 \$ 1 983 978
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	County Pine19/	Med	176.67	18 \$	505 Ś	89 221	1 \$	12 606	\$ 101.827	\$ 152.740
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	County_Pipe22	Med	208 31	48 \$	505 Ş	105 199	1 \$	12,000	\$ 101,027	\$ 176 707
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	County_Pipe36	Med	131 41	48 \$	505 Ş	66 364	1 \$	12,000	\$ 78 970	\$ 118.455
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr	MU 137	Med	21 77	48 \$	505 ¢	10 993	1 \$	12,000	\$ 23 599	\$ 35 398
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	County Pipe196	Med	321.48	60 \$	551 \$	177.116	1 \$	13.641	\$ 190.757	\$ 286.135
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	MU 138	Med	85.73	60 \$	551 \$	47.232	1 \$	13.641	\$ 60.874	\$ 91.310
, County	PROJECT - 10	, Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	 MU 139	Med	36.35	60 \$	551 \$	20,028	1 \$	13,641	\$ 33,669	\$ 50,503
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	MU 140	Med	74.43	60 \$	551 \$	41,004	1 \$	13,641	\$ 54,645	\$ 81,967
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	MU 141	Med	37.58	60 \$	551 \$	20,703	1 \$	13,641	\$ 34,345	\$ 51,517
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	MU 142	Med	5.23	60 \$	551 \$	2,881	1 \$	13,641	\$ 16,522	\$ 24,783
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	 MU_67	Med	83.84	60 \$	551 \$	46,191	1 \$	13,641	\$ 59,832	\$ 89,748
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	County_Pipe197	Med	294.69	72 \$	689 \$	202,943	1 \$	15,469	\$ 218,412	\$ 327,618
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	County_Pipe199	Med	459.79	84 \$	790 \$	363,078	1 \$	17,661	\$ 380,739	\$ 571,108
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	MU_143	Med	9.75	84 \$	790 \$	7,701	1 \$	17,661	\$ 25,362	\$ 38,043
County	PROJECT - 10	Pier Ave. at Valley Dr.	MU_144	Med	128.21	84 \$	790 \$	101,245	1 \$	17,661	\$ 118,906	\$ 178,359
					2075				16			\$ 2,274,393
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe291	High	63.32	42 \$	450 \$	28,490	1 \$	12,358	\$ 40,848	\$ 61,272
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe305	High	49.55	42 \$	450 \$	22,293	1 \$	12,358	\$ 34,651	\$ 51,977
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe307	High	7.32	42 \$	450 \$	3,292	1 \$	12,358	\$ 15,650	\$ 23,476
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	City_Pipe42	High	155.27	48 \$	505 \$	78,415	1 \$	12,606	\$ 91,021	\$ 136,531
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe231	High	51.09	48 \$	505 \$	25,800	1 \$	12,606	\$ 38,406	\$ 57,609
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe292	High	313.77	48 \$	505 \$	158,462	1 \$	12,606	\$ 171,068	\$ 256,602
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe293	High	316.48	48 \$	505 \$	159,828	1 \$	12,606	\$ 172,434	\$ 258,651
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe294	High	63.55	48 \$	505 \$	32,096	1 \$	12,606	\$ 44,702	\$ 67,052
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe295	High	50.00	48 \$	505 \$	25,251	1 \$	12,606	\$ 37,857	\$ 56,786
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe301	High	198.00	48 \$	505 \$	99,994	1 \$	12,606	\$ 112,600	\$ 168,900
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe311	High	298.65	48 \$	505 \$	150,824	1 \$	12,606	\$ 163,430	\$ 245,145
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe44	High	119.00	48 \$	505 \$	60,098	1 \$	12,606	\$ 72,703	\$ 109,055
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe69	High	238.77	48 \$	505 \$	120,582	1 \$	12,606	\$ 133,188	\$ 199,782
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	MU_135	High	70.73	48 \$	505 \$	35,722	1 \$	12,606	\$ 48,327	\$ 72,491
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	MU_136	High	20.60	48 \$	505 \$	10,404	1 \$	12,606	\$ 23,010	\$ 34,515
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	MU_23	High	19.34	48 \$	505 \$	9,766	1 \$	12,606	\$ 22,372	\$ 33,558
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	MU_64	High	5.81	48 \$	505 \$	2,936	1 \$	12,606	\$ 15,542	Ş 23,313

Schaaf & Wheeler

County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	MU_77	High	52.29	48 \$	505 \$	26,410	1\$	12,606	\$ 39,016	\$ 58,524
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	MU_78	High	9.23	48 \$	505 \$	4,661	1 \$	12,606	\$ 17,267	\$ 25,900
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	MU_80	High	20.14	48 \$	505 \$	10,174	1 \$	12,606	\$ 22,779	\$ 34,169
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe25	High	145.64	60 \$	551 \$	80,236	1 \$	13,641	\$ 93,877	\$ 140,816
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe296	High	26.65	60 \$	551 \$	14,682	1 \$	13,641	\$ 28,324	\$ 42,485
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe298	High	349.54	60 \$	551 \$	192,572	1 \$	13,641	\$ 206,213	\$ 309,319
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe300	High	283.29	60 \$	551 \$	156,074	1 \$	13,641	\$ 169,715	\$ 254,573
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe309	High	84.65	60 \$	551 \$	46,634	1 \$	13,641	\$ 60,275	\$ 90,413
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe313	High	8.01	60 \$	551 \$	4,413	1 \$	13,641	\$ 18,054	\$ 27,081
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe314	High	189.35	60 \$	551 \$	104,320	1 \$	13,641	\$ 117,961	\$ 176,942
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe316	High	56.66	60 \$	551 \$	31,217	1 \$	13,641	\$ 44,858	\$ 67,287
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe317	High	209.52	60 \$	551 \$	115,432	1 \$	13,641	\$ 129,073	\$ 193,610
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe319	High	413.06	60 \$	551 \$	227,567	1 \$	13,641	\$ 241,208	\$ 361,812
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	MU_156	High	151.20	60 \$	551 \$	83,300	1 \$	13,641	\$ 96,941	\$ 145,412
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	MU_20	High	93.32	60 \$	551 \$	51,415	1 \$	13,641	\$ 65,056	\$ 97,584
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe68	High	123.93	72 \$	689 \$	85,344	1 \$	15,469	\$ 100,813	\$ 151,220
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	MU_76	High	52.53	72 \$	689 \$	36,178	1 \$	15,469	\$ 51,647	\$ 77,471
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe257	High	185.34	84 \$	790 \$	146,354	1 \$	17,661	\$ 164,015	\$ 246,022
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe32	High	199.11	84 \$	790 \$	157,229	1 \$	17,661	\$ 174,890	\$ 262,334
County	PROJECT - 5	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Pipe67	High	328.55	84 \$	790 \$	259,442	1 \$	17,661	\$ 277,103	\$ 415,655
County	PROJECT - 6	Valley Dr. at Herondo Ave.	County_Lat267	High	202.15	30 \$	358 \$	72,393	1 \$	11,671	\$ 84,063	\$ 126,095
					5225				38			\$ 5,161,440
County	PROJECT - 8	Valley Park Ave.	MU_150	Med	14.55	84 \$	790 \$	11,487	1 \$	17,661	\$ 29,148	\$ 43,722
County	PROJECT - 8	Valley Park Ave.	MU_114	High	13.28	48 \$	505 \$	6,708	1 \$	12,606	\$ 19,314	\$ 28,971
County	PROJECT - 8	Valley Park Ave.	County_Pipe304	High	400.37	72 \$	689 \$	275,719	1 \$	15,469	\$ 291,188	\$ 436,782
County	PROJECT - 8	Valley Park Ave.	MU_113	High	23.34	72 \$	689 \$	16,071	1 \$	15,469	\$ 31,540	\$ 47,310
County	PROJECT - 8	Valley Park Ave.	MU_148	High	86.39	72 \$	689 \$	59,491	1 \$	15,469	\$ 74,960	\$ 112,440
County	PROJECT - 8	Valley Park Ave.	MU_149	High	151.10	72 \$	689 \$	104,059	1 \$	15,469	\$ 119,529	\$ 179,293
County	PROJECT - 8	Valley Park Ave.	County_Pipe203	High	248.27	84 \$	790 \$	196,051	1 \$	17,661	\$ 213,712	\$ 320,568
County	PROJECT - 8	Valley Park Ave.	County_Pipe204	High	509.21	84 \$	790 \$	402,110	1 \$	17,661	\$ 419,771	\$ 629,657
County	PROJECT - 8	Valley Park Ave.	County_Pipe205	High	199.75	84 \$	790 \$	157,738	1 \$	17,661	\$ 175,399	\$ 263,099
County	PROJECT - 8	Valley Park Ave.	County_Pipe207	High	194.80	84 \$	790 \$	153,823	1 \$	17,661	\$ 171,484	\$ 257,227
					1841				10			\$ 2,319,068
											TOTAL	\$ 18,970,000.00

HERMOSA BEACH HOTSPOT CIP DETAILED COST ESTIMATES

Ownership	CIP ID	CIPName	MUID	Priority	Length (ft)	Diam (in)	Pipe Unit Cost	Pipe Co	ost	MH or HWs	MH Cost	Outfalls	Total	Project Cost w/ 50% Contingency
City	OBS1	3316 Hermosa Ave.	New_1	Low	474.43	24 \$	312	\$ 14	18,113	2 \$	23,047		\$ 171,161	\$ 256,741
-					474	\$	312			2				\$ 256,741
City	OBS10	1045 14th St.	New_2	Low	238.85	18 \$	257	\$ 6	51,409	2 \$	22,772		\$ 84,181	\$ 126,272
					239	\$	257			2				\$ 126,272
City	OBS11	Sunset Drive	New_10	Low	783.79	12 \$	230	\$ 17	79,922	1 \$	11,294		\$ 191,216	\$ 286,824
					784	\$	230			1				\$ 286,824
City	OBS2	437 28th St.	County_Lat321	Low	42.41	24 \$	312	\$ 1	L3,239	1 \$	11,524		\$ 24,763	\$ 37,145
City	OBS2	437 28th St.	City_Pipe115	Low	19.54	24 \$	312	\$	6,101	1 \$	11,524		\$ 17,624	\$ 26,437
City	OBS2	437 28th St.	County_Lat322	Low	59.99	24 \$	312	\$ 1	l8,729	1 \$	11,524		\$ 30,252	\$ 45,379
					122	\$	937			3				\$ 108,960
City	OBS3	Tennyson Place	New_3	Low	366.74	24 \$	312	\$ 11	L4,494	2 \$	23,047		\$ 137,542	\$ 206,313
					367	\$	312			2				\$ 206,313
City	OBS4	The Strand at 19th St.	New_4	Low	76.01	18 \$	257	\$ 1	19,542	2 \$	22,772		\$ 42,314	\$ 63,471
					76	\$	257			2				\$ 63,471
City	OBS5	1823 Monterey Blvd.	New_5	Low	217.50	24 \$	312	\$ 6	57,902	2 \$	23,047		\$ 90,950	\$ 136,424
City	OBS5	1823 Monterey Blvd.	City_Pipe93	Low	460.10	24 \$	312	\$ 14	43,641	1 \$	11,524		\$ 155,165	\$ 232,747
					678	\$	624			3				\$ 369,171
City	OBS6	1910 Ardmore St.	New_9	Low	28.01	12 \$	230	\$	6,431	1 \$	11,294		\$ 17,725	\$ 26,587
					28					1				\$ 26,587
City	OBS7	1712 The Strand	City_Pipe4	Low	405.27	24 \$	312	\$ 12	26,523	1 \$	11,524	1	\$ 138,048	\$ 207,071

Schaaf & Wheeler consulting civil engineers

					405	\$	312		1				\$	207,071
City	OBS8	1426 Bayview Dr.	New_6	Low	263.67	18 \$	257	\$ 67,790	2 \$	22,772		\$ 90),562 \$	135,843
					264	\$	257		2				\$	135,843
City	OBS9	Marineland Community	New_7	Low	126.29	18 \$	257	\$ 32,469	2 \$	22,772		\$ 55	5,241 \$	82,861
City	OBS9	Marineland Community	City_Pipe113	Low	28.11	18 \$	257	\$ 7,228	1 \$	11,386		\$ 18	8,614 \$	27,920
City	OBS9	Marineland Community	New_8		17.40	18 \$	257	\$ 4,474	1 \$	11,386		\$ 15	5,859 \$	23,789
City	OBS9	Marineland Community	City_Pipe114		15.56	18 \$	257	\$ 4,001	2 \$	22,772		\$ 26	5,773 \$	40,159
					187	\$	1,028		6				\$	174,730
											1	TOTAL	\$	1,960,000.00

HERMOSA BEACH CLIMATE CHANGE CIP DETAILED COST ESTIMATES

Ownership	CIP ID	CIPName	MUID	Priority	Length (ft) Diam (in)		Pipe Unit Cost	Pipe Cost	MH or HWs	MH Cost	Outfalls	Total	Project Cost w/ 50% Contingency
County	CC UPSIZED - 1	CC 14th Street	County Lat126	Low	12.02	30	\$ 358 \$	4,304	1 \$	11,671		\$ 15,975	\$ 23,962
County	CC UPSIZED - 1	CC 14th Street	County Pipe245	Low	175.16	30	\$ 358 \$	62,727	1 \$	11,671		\$ 74,398	\$ 111,597
County	CC UPSIZED - 1	CC 14th Street	County_Pipe246	Low	10.00	30	\$ 358 \$	3,581	\$	-		\$ 3,581	\$ 5,371
County	CC UPSIZED - 1	CC 14th Street	County Pipe248	Low	9.04	30	\$ 358 \$	3,236	\$	-		\$ 3,236	\$ 4,854
County	CC UPSIZED - 1	CC 14th Street	County Pipe252	Low	28.28	30	\$ 358 \$	10,129	1 \$	11,671		\$ 21,799	\$ 32,699
County	CC UPSIZED - 1	CC 14th Street	County Pipe253	Low	8.14	30	\$ 358 \$	2,913	\$	-		\$ 2,913	\$ 4,370
County	CC UPSIZED - 1	CC 14th Street	County Pipe254	Low	97.47	30	\$ 358 \$	34,904	\$	-		\$ 34,904	\$ 52,356
County	CC UPSIZED - 1	CC 14th Street	County Pipe255	Low	69.55	30	\$ 358 \$	24,906	\$	-		\$ 24,906	\$ 37,358
County	CC UPSIZED - 1	CC 14th Street	County_Pipe274	Low	113.36	30	\$ 358 \$	40,597	1 \$	11,671		\$ 52,267	\$ 78,401
					523				4				\$ 350,969
County	CC UPSIZED - 2	CC 22nd St. and Valley Dr.	County_Lat24	Low	23.10	36	\$ 404 \$	9,334	1 \$	12,111		\$ 21,445	\$ 32,167
County	CC UPSIZED - 2	CC 22nd St. and Valley Dr.	County_Lat267	Low	202.15	72	\$ 689 \$	139,217	1 \$	15,469		\$ 154,686	\$ 232,029
County	CC UPSIZED - 2	CC 22nd St. and Valley Dr.	County_Pipe338	Low	40.65	36	\$ 404 \$	16,422	1 \$	12,111		\$ 28,533	\$ 42,800
County	CC UPSIZED - 2	CC 22nd St. and Valley Dr.	County_Pipe68	Low	123.93	84	\$ 790 \$	97,861	1 \$	17,661		\$ 115,522	\$ 173,284
County	CC UPSIZED - 2	CC 22nd St. and Valley Dr.	MU_76	Low	52.53	84	\$ 790 \$	41,484	1 \$	17,661		\$ 59,145	\$ 88,718
					442				5				\$ 568,997
County	CC UPSIZED - 3	CC 2nd St. and PCH	City_Pipe41	Low	201.99	36	\$ 404 \$	81,606	1 \$	12,111		\$ 93,717	\$ 140,576
County	CC UPSIZED - 3	CC 2nd St. and PCH	County Lat22	Low	24.43	24	\$ 312 \$	7,626	1 \$	11,524		\$ 19,150	\$ 28,725
County	CC UPSIZED - 3	CC 2nd St. and PCH	MU 102	Low	22.16	36	\$ 404 \$	8,954	1 \$	12,111		\$ 21,065	\$ 31,597
					249				3				\$ 200,898
City	CC UPSIZED - 4	CC 35th Street	City Pipe106	Low	19.20	24	\$ 312 \$	5,995	1 \$	11,524	5	\$ 17,519	\$ 26,278
					19				1				\$ 26,278
County	CC UPSIZED - 5	CC 6th Street	County_Pipe76	Low	183.01	48	\$ 505 \$	92,425	1 \$	12,606		\$ 105,031	\$ 157,546
County	CC UPSIZED - 5	CC 6th Street	County_Pipe78		410.55	48	\$ 505 \$	207,337	1 \$	12,606		\$ 219,943	\$ 329,915
					594				2				\$ 487,461
County	CC UPSIZED - 6	CC 7th Street	County_Pipe46	Low	316.16	30	\$ 358 \$	113,220	1 \$	11,671		\$ 124,891	\$ 187,336
County	CC UPSIZED - 6	CC 7th Street	MU_75	Low	13.02	30	\$ 358 \$	4,664	\$	-		\$ 4,664	\$ 6,996
					329				1				\$ 194,332
County	CC UPSIZED - 7	CC 8th Street and PCH	County_Pipe305	Low	49.55	60	\$ 551 \$	27,297	1 \$	13,641		\$ 40,938	\$ 61,408
County	CC UPSIZED - 7	CC 8th Street and PCH	County_Pipe19	Low	114.78	36	\$ 404 \$	46,372	1 \$	12,111		\$ 58,483	\$ 87,725
County	CC UPSIZED - 7	CC 8th Street and PCH	County_Pipe301	Low	198.00	60	\$ 551 \$	109,084	1 \$	13,641	5	\$ 122,725	\$ 184,088
County	CC UPSIZED - 7	CC 8th Street and PCH	MU_134	Low	108.70	36	\$ 404 \$	43,916	\$	-		\$ 43,916	\$ 65,875
					471				3				\$ 399,095
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	City_Pipe22	Low	75.77	36	\$ 404 \$	30,612	1 \$	12,111		\$ 42,723	\$ 64,085
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	City_Pipe57	Low	176.16	30	\$ 358 \$	63,082	1 \$	11,671	5	\$ 74,753	\$ 112,129
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	MU_161	Low	117.50	36	\$ 404 \$	47,473	\$	-	9	\$ 47,473	\$ 71,209
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	City_Pipe23	Low	277.36	30	\$ 358 \$	99,323	\$	-	5	\$ 99,323	\$ 148,984
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	MU_158	Low	83.10	30	\$ 358 \$	29,758	\$	-		\$ 29,758	\$ 44,638
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	MU_159	Low	72.75	30	\$ 358 \$	26,054	\$	-		\$ 26,054	\$ 39,080
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	MU_160	Low	57.09	30	\$ 358 \$	20,443	1 \$	11,671	5	\$ 32,114	\$ 48,171
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	City_Pipe10	Low	159.10	30	\$ 358 \$	56,975	1 \$	11,671	5	\$ 68,646	\$ 102,968
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	MU_92	Low	201.66	30	\$ 358 \$	72,216	1 \$	11,671		\$ 83,887	\$ 125,830
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	MU_97	Low	215.84	30	\$ 358 \$	77,294	\$	-	5	\$ 77,294	\$ 115,941
County	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	County_Pipe115	Low	150.89	72	\$ 689 \$	103,913	\$	-	4	\$ 103,913	\$ 155,869

Schaaf & Wheeler

County	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	County_Pipe96	Low	391.64	72 \$	689	\$ 269,706	\$ -	\$ 269,706	\$ 404,558
County	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	County_Pipe97	Low	383.07	72 \$	689	\$ 263,807	\$ -	\$ 263,807	\$ 395,710
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	MU_60	Low	7.39	72 \$	689	\$ 5,091	\$ -	\$ 5,091	\$ 7,636
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	MU_61	Low	6.09	60 \$	551	\$ 3,353	\$ -	\$ 3,353	\$ 5,029
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	MU_85	Low	7.40	72 \$	689	\$ 5,094	\$ -	\$ 5,094	\$ 7,641
City	CC UPSIZED - 8	CC Pier Ave	MU_91	Low	23.83	30 \$	358	\$ 8,534	1 \$ 11,6	71 \$ 20,205	\$ 30,307
					2407				6		\$ 1,879,786
County	CC UPSIZED - 9	CC Pier Ave. and Valley Dr.	County_Pipe194	Low	176.67	60 \$	551	\$ 97,332	1 \$ 13,6	41 \$ 110,973	\$ 166,460
County	CC UPSIZED - 9	CC Pier Ave. and Valley Dr.	County_Pipe22	Low	208.31	60 \$	551	\$ 114,763	\$ -	\$ 114,763	\$ 172,144
County	CC UPSIZED - 9	CC Pier Ave. and Valley Dr.	County_Pipe36	Low	131.41	60 \$	551	\$ 72,397	1 \$ 13,6	41 \$ 86,039	\$ 129,058
County	CC UPSIZED - 9	CC Pier Ave. and Valley Dr.	MU_137	Low	21.77	60 \$	551	\$ 11,992	\$ -	\$ 11,992	\$ 17,989
					538				2		\$ 485,650
County	CC UPSIZED - 10	CC Valley Drive	County_Pipe196	Low	321.48	72 \$	689	\$ 221,395	1 \$ 15,4	69 \$ 236,864	\$ 355,296
County	CC UPSIZED - 10	CC Valley Drive	County_Pipe199		459.79	96 \$	826	\$ 379,965	2 \$ 38,8	30 \$ 418,795	\$ 628,192
County	CC UPSIZED - 10	CC Valley Drive	MU_138	Low	85.73	72 \$	689	\$ 59,041	\$ -	\$ 59,041	\$ 88,561
					867				3		\$ 1,072,049
County	CC UPSIZED - 11	CC Valley Park Ave	County_Pipe131	Low	311.84	48 \$	505	\$ 157,483	2 \$ 25,2	12 \$ 182,695	\$ 274,042
County	CC UPSIZED - 11	CC Valley Park Ave	MU_148	Low	86.39	84 \$	790	\$ 68,216	1 \$ 17,6	61 \$ 85,877	\$ 128,816
County	CC UPSIZED - 11	CC Valley Park Ave	MU_149	Low	151.10	84 \$	790	\$ 119,321	0 \$ -	\$ 119,321	\$ 178,982
County	CC UPSIZED - 11	CC Valley Park Ave	MU_6	Low	148.54	36 \$	404	\$ 60,012	2 \$ 24,2	22 \$ 84,234	\$ 126,352
					698				5		\$ 708,192
										TOTAL	\$ 6,370,000

HERMOSA BEACH ADDITIONAL CLIMATE CHANGE CIP DETAILED COST ESTIMATES

						Pro	oject Cost v/ 50%
CIP ID	CIPName	Pipe MUID	Priority	Diam (in)	Flap Gate Cost	Cor	ntingency
CC Flap Gate -	124th Place	County_Lat252	Low	18	\$ 3,250	\$	4,875
				18		\$	4,875
CC Flap Gate -	2 PCH and 5th St.	County_Lat221	Low	18	\$ 3,250	\$	4,875
				18		\$	4,875
CC Flap Gate -	3 Valley Drive and 2n	cCounty_Lat267	Low	72	\$ 18,200	\$	27,300
				72		\$	27,300
CC Flap Gate -	4 Valley Drive and 8t	hCounty_Lat248	Low	18	\$ 3,250	\$	4,875
CC Flap Gate -	4 Valley Drive and 8t	hCounty_Lat268	Low	18	\$ 3,250	\$	4,875
CC Flap Gate -	4 Valley Drive and 8t	h County_Lat269	Low	18	\$ 3,250	\$	4,875
CC Flap Gate -	4 Valley Drive and 8t	hCounty_Lat270	Low	18	\$ 3,250	\$	4,875
CC Flap Gate -	4 Valley Drive and 8t	h County_Lat273	Low	18	\$ 3,250	\$	4,875
				18		\$	24,375
					TOTAL	\$	61,000

Appendix B

CCTV Report



Project Information				
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428	
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer		
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment Reference		Date	3/7/2017 08:22	
Street	2nd ST	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments				
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	CITY IN78	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	18	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	•	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	37.5	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Mi	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
Beveree Ceture ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH	
Completed Yes				
Created v	with the POS	M report genera	ator	

Date: 3/7/2017 8:22:00 AM Street: 2nd ST Length Surveyed: 37.5

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: CITY IN78 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 18

Street: 2nd ST

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH	HERMOSA BEACH CITY IN/8 Upstream 2nd COUNTY Manhole COUNTY MH 18 Circular Reinforced Co 2017/03/07 0 FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	ALE ANDER ERRON BITY INTE UPSCREER BOUNTY ME Ceter Level 52 AS DS:24 Crcular Reinforced Co D FT
37.5	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: CITY IN78	HERMOSA BEAGH BUTY INZS DPSCReem Menhole CIFK INZS 18 DS:25 Circular 2017/03/07 Reinforced Co 37.5 FI

Created with the **PDSM** report generator

Date: 3/7/2017 8:22:00 AM Pipe Segment Reference: Street: 2nd ST Upstream MH: CITY IN78 Length Surveyed: 37.5 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Height (Diameter): 18 Pipe







	Project Information			
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428	
Pipe Segment		Date	3/7/2017 08:28	
Street	2nd ST	Citv	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments		,		
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY IN2	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	18	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	8.4	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Μ	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	v	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
·	т	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	Irue	Pressure value	U CITY OF HEDMORA	
Work Order		Project	BEACH	
Completed Yes				
Created v	Created with the TPDSMIC report generator			

Date: 3/7/2017 8:28:00 AM Street: 2nd ST Length Surveyed: 8.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY IN2 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 18

Street: 2nd ST

Distance	Fault Observation		Picture	
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY IN2 18 08:32	Upstream Circular 2017/03/07	ABLAPORESS SS B FU
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY IN2 18 08:32	Upstream Directiar 2017/03/007	2nd ST COUNTY MH Wetter Level DZ Reinforced Co D FT
8.4	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY IN2	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY IN2	Upstream	AND ST BOUNTY MH MERHOLG BOUNTY IN2 Restaforced Co 8.4 FT

Created with the **PDSM** report generator

Pipe

Date: 3/7/2017 8:28:00 AM Street: 2nd ST Length Surveyed: 8.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY IN2 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Street: 2nd ST

Height (Diameter): 18





	Project Information				
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428		
Pipe Segment		Date	3/6/2017 11:22		
Street	GOULD AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH		
Comments		,			
	Man	hole			
Upstream MH	COUNTY IN25	Rim to Invert (U)			
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)			
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH95	Rim to Invert (D)			
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)			
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream		
	Pi	ре			
Height (Diameter)	18	Width			
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe		
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length			
Total Length		Length Surveyed	121.4		
Year Laid		Year Renewed			
	Μ	isc			
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label			
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category			
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned			
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of Way		
Additional Info		Location Details			
	Cus	stom			
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0		
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0		
Fractures	`	Holes / Collapse	·		
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6			
Custom7		Struct Grade			
OM Grade		Overall Grade			
	Pac	cp 6			
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0		
Imporial Unite (US)	Travo	Number Brocouro Voluo	0		
imperial Units (03)	True	Flessure value	U CITV OF HEDMOSA		
Work Order		Project	BEACH		
	Completed Yes				
Created v	Created with the TPDSMC report generator				

Date: 3/6/2017 11:22:00 AM Street: GOULD AVE Length Surveyed: 121.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY IN25 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH95 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 18

Street: GOULD AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY IN25	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY IN25 Downstream GOULD AVE COUNTY MH95 Manhole SOUNTY IN25 B Chrcular Reinforced Co
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY IN25 Downstream GOULD AVE COUNTY IN25 Downstream COUNTY MH95 Water Level 57 18 18 1824 Chrcutar - Reinforced Co D FT
121.4	End of Pipe Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH95 MAINLINE	HERMOSA EIRGE COUNTY INZE DOURSGROEM GOUNTY MH95 End of Pipe COUNTY MH95 MAINLINE 18 18 11:28 Circular Reinforced Co 11:28

Created with the **PDSM** report generator

Date: 3/6/2017 11:22:00 AM Street: GOULD AVE Length Surveyed: 121.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY IN25 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH95 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream



Height (Diameter): 18

Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Street: GOULD AVE





Drojoot Information				
			11 005 0 100	
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PP1)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428	
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer		
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment		Date	3/13/2017 10:43	
Reference		C : 1		
Street	HERMOSA AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments				
	Man	inole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH 35	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH51	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	45	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	357.2	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Mi	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Drv	Location Code	Main Highway - Urban	
Additional Info	5	Location Details	6 5	
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	5	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7	·	Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Par	cn 6		
		Sheet (Group)		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Prossuro Valuo	0	
	IIde		CITY OF HERMOSA	
Work Order		Project	BEACH	
		Completed	No	
		- 5	1.0	
Created v	Created with the TPDSMIC report generator			

Date: 3/13/2017 10:43:00 AM Street: HERMOSA AVE Length Surveyed: 357.2

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH 35 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH51 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 3100 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 45

Street: HERMOSA AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH 35	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH 35 Downstream HERMOSA AVE COUNTY MH51 Manhole COUNTY MH 35 Manhole COUNTY MH 35 Manhole COUNTY MH 35
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH 35 Downstream GOUNIY MH51 DeGor Level 22 45 10:63 Conference Conference So 2107/03/18 Conference So 2 PT
21.4	Tap Factory Active Position: 10 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEAGE COUNTY MH 35 BOURStream HERMOSA AVE COUNTY MH 35 BOURStream COUNTY MH51 Fag Factory Active 10 0'clock 45 10:45 Bircular Reinforced Co 2017/09/13 21.4 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
48.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH 35 DOWNSERCOM COUNTY MH51 Tep Peesory Regive D Colock
		45 Gircular Beinforced Co 10:46 2017/03/13 48.7 FT
	Tap Factory Active	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH 35 Downstream COUNTY MUS1
68.0	Severity: None Size: 18	45 Circular Bethfersed 30 10:47 E017/28/18 53 PT
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH 35 DownStream COUNTY MH51
86.5	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Pactory Active 9 0'clock
		45 Birezier Reutforecd Co 10:47 2017/08/18 85.5 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
110.2	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH 35 Downstream COUNTY MH51 TEP PEGSory Setion 2 3'eloca
	Size: 4	45 Crecular Reinforced Co 10:48 2017/03/13 110.2 FT
355.7	Obstacle Other Position: 4 To 8 Severity: None Remarks: DEBRIS	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH 35 Downstream Basses to Scher S 3 3 Statock BEBRIS 202 45 10:59 Circular Bathfored Co 2017/03/28
355.7	Picture Number: 2 Obstacle Other Position: 4 To 8	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH 35 Downstream 45 11:00 HERMOSA AVE COUNTY MH51 Downstream County MH51 County MH51

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH 35 DOURSTREED SCUNTY MHS1
357.2	Abandoned Survey Severity: None Remarks: DUE TO DEBRIS	Abendoned Survey BUI TO BIERIS
		45 Circular Reinforced Go 11:01 2017/03/13 357.2 FU

Created with the **PDSM** report generator

Pipe

Date: 3/13/2017 10:43:00 AM Street: HERMOSA AVE Length Surveyed: 357.2

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH 35 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH51 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 3100 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Street: HERMOSA AVE

Height (Diameter): 45







	Project In	formation	
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer	
Drainage Area		PO Number	
Pipe Segment		Date	3/7/2017 04:14
Street	PIER AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments		,	
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH A	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH B	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	pe	
Height (Diameter)	24	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method	Choulu	Pipe Joint Length	remoteed concrete ripe
Total Length		Length Surveyed	89.8
Year Laid		Year Renewed	07.0
	М	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purnose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Main Highway - Urban
Additional Info		Location Details	inali ingilvay cioul
	Cus	tom	
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	cp 6	
		Sheet (Group)	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA
			BEACH
		Completed	No
Created with the TPDSMC report generator			

Date: 3/7/2017 4:14:00 AM Street: PIER AVE Length Surveyed: 89.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH A Downstream MH: COUNTY MH B Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 5100 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: PIER AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH PIER AVE COUNTY MH A DOWNSCROOM COUNTY MH B
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH A	Menhold BOUNTY ME A
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 04:23 2017/03/07 D FT
		HERMOST BETOT COUNTY ME T COUNSTROOM COUNTY MH B
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	Water Level
		0%
		24BircularReinforced Co04:242017/03/070 FT
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH A COURSURGED COUNTY MH B
89.7	Obstacle Other Position: 3 To 9 Severity: None Remarks: CEMENT WALL (BULKHEAD?)	Obstacle Other 3 To 9 O'clock CEMENT WALL (BULKHEAD?) 502
		24 Sirabler Bainforgad Sa 04:27 2017/02/27 08.7 PT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOST BETOH COUNTY MH I COUNSCROEM COUNTY MH I
89.7	Picture Number: 2 Obstacle Other Position: 3 To 9	
		24 (31rggler -Bateforead Sc 04-27 2017/02/37 84.9 FT
		HERMOSA BEAST PIER AVE COUNTY MH & COURSCROEM COUNTY MH E
89.8	Abandoned Survey Severity: None Remarks: DUE TO BARRICADE	Abandoned Survey DUE TO BARRICADE
		24 Streuler Beinferesi St 04:29 2017/02/37 SE.S PT

Created with the TPOSME report generator

Pipe

Date: 3/7/2017 4:14:00 AM Street: PIER AVE Length Surveyed: 89.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH A Downstream MH: COUNTY MH B Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 5100 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Height (Diameter): 24

Street: PIER AVE





	Project In	formation	
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428
Pipe Segment Reference		Date	3/7/2017 05:02
Street	PIER AVE & BARD ST	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments			
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH B	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH 51	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	36	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	732.7
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Μ	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Main Highway - Urban
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	9	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	
Number of Deposits	0	Customb Struct Grade	
Custom/		Struct Grade	
OW Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
Imporial Unite (US)	Truo	Nulliper Prossuro Valuo	0
	Iluc	Flessule value	U CITY OF HERMOSA
Work Order		Project	BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	ator

Pipe

Date: 3/7/2017 5:02:00 AM Street: PIER AVE & BARD ST Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH B Downstream MH: COUNTY MH 51

Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete

Height (Diameter): 36

Length Surveyed: 732.7

Street: PIER AVE & BARD ST

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH B	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH B COUNSTREAM Manhole COUNTY MH B Manhole COUNTY MH B 48 Streular Reinforced Co 2017/03/07 D FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH B Downstream Water Level 402 46 05:10 Bireular Balafereed So BTY/DB/27
0.0	General Observation Severity: None Remarks: PLANS CALL FOR A 24" LINE - NOT 24"	HERMOSA BEACH PIER AVE & BARD ST COUNTY MH B Downstream COUNTY MH ST General Observation PLANS CALL FOR A 20" LINE = NOT 24" 48 Streuler Bataforeed Sa 25:10 2057/08/37 0 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
39.6	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH B Downstream Tap Factory Relice E Staloga Bisiss Deincular Reinfereed So 2017/02/27 ES.7 FT
50.1	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA EERSU COUNTY MIT B BOUDSGROUT GOUNTY MIT SI BODDSGROUT GOUNTY MIT SI BOD Factory Active 3 O'clock 36 05:12 Circular Reinforced Co 2017/03/07 SD.1 FT
268.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH B Downstream COUNTY MH B Downstream COUNTY MH S1 Tap Factory Active 3 0° clock 3 0° clock 36 55:15 County County County County County County County County MH B

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
268.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOST HEASH COUNTY HE B Baunstream Starty HE SI Baunstream Starty HE SI Baun
268.0	General Observation Severity: None Remarks: UNMARKED MANHOLE	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH B DOUDSCECAL - DOUNTY MH SI COUDSCECAL - DOUNTY MH SI COUSSCECAL - DOUNTY MH SI
479.5	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 15	HERMOSA BENGE COUNTY ME D Bommstream Stury ME 51 Tap Factory Solute 3 O'slock 36 05:22 Circular Reinforced Co 479.5 FT
Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
----------	--	---
479.5	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH B Downstream COUNTY MH B Downstream COUNTY MH ES Tap Factory Active 9 0'cleas 9 0'cleas 9 0'cleas 2017/02/37 Bainferead 85 2017/02/37
479.5	General Observation Severity: None Remarks: UNMARKED MANHOLE	HERMOSS BETSE COUNTY ME B Bommstream Sounty ME S General Observation County ME S General Observation County ME S General Observation County ME S Straular Reinforced Co 2017/03/07 Reinforced Co 479.5 FT
559.3	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEAGH COUNTY MH B BOULSCREAM BOULSCR

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
559.3	General Observation Severity: None Remarks: UNMARKED MANHOLE	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH B Downstream COUNTY MH B COUNTY MH B COUNT
		HIRROR BERCH PIER AVE & BARD ST GOUNTY MH B BOUNSTPERM SOUNTY MH BI
620.1	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory Active 3 0'clock
		US:46 2017/03/07 620.1 FT HERMOSA BEACH PIER 602 & BORD ST
732.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	COUNTY MH B Downstream GOUNTY MH 51 Tap Fastory Active 2 0'alook 36 Circular Beinforced Co
	05:57 2010/08/38 052-7 FI	

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
732.7	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: UNMARKED MANHOLE LOCATED ON MONTEREY BLVD (EAST MANHOLE)	HERMOSA BEASH COUNTY ME B BORRSGROEM BOUNTY ME SI COUNTY ME B BORRSGROEM BOUNTY ME SI COUNTY ME B COUNTY ME B COUN

Project: CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH

Date: 3/7/2017 5:02:00 AM	Pipe Segment Reference:	Severity
Street: PIER AVE & BARD ST	Upstream MH: COUNTY MH B	Light
Length Surveyed: 732 7	Downstream MH: COUNTY MH	Moderate
Length Surveyed. 132.1	51	Average
Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000	Direction of Survey: Downstream	Heavy
Height (Diameter): 36	Material: Reinforced Concrete	Severe
	Pipe	
Street: PIER AVE & BARD ST		

		ID Number: COUNTY MH B
(0.0) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH B	 ĺ	
(0.0) - Water Level	 L	
(0.0) - General Observation Remark: PLANS CALL FOR A 24" LINE - NOT 24"		
(50.1) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18	 	(39.6) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 9 Size: 18
(268.0) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18		
(268.0) - General Observation Remark: UNMARKED MANHOLE		(268.0) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 9 Size: 18
(479.5) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 15		
(479.5) - General Observation Remark: UNMARKED MANHOLE		(479.5) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 9 Size: 18
(559.3) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18		
(559.3) - General Observation Remark: UNMARKED MANHOLE		
(620.1) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18		
(732.7) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18		
(732.7) - Manhole Remark: UNMARKED MANHOLE LOCATED ON MONTEREY BLVD (EAST MANHOLE)	 İ	



	Project In	formation	
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428
Pipe Segment		Date	3/7/2017 07:49
Street	2nd ST	Citv	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments			
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH 43	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	24	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	212.7
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	M	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	• -	Holes / Collapse	Ū
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	ср 6	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
·	т		0
Imperial Units (US)	Irue	Pressure value	U CITY OF HEDMORA
Work Order		Project	BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	ator

Date: 3/7/2017 7:49:00 AM Street: 2nd ST

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH Downstream MH: COUNTY MH 43

Length Surveyed: 212.7

Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream

Height (Diameter): 24

Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Street: 2nd ST

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH Downstream Manhole COUNTY MH Manhole COUNTY MH 24 D7:52 CIrcular 2017/03/07 D FI
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOST BETON BOUNTY MI Downstreen BOUNTY MI 42 Water Level 52 24 07:52 Circular Reinforced Co D FT
212.7	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH 43 (FLAPPER)	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH Downstream Manhole BOUNTY MH 43 Manhole BOUNTY MH 43 CFLAPPER) 24 07:55 Circular 2017/03/07 Reinforced Co 212.7 FT



Project: CITY OF	HERMOSA BEACH	
Date: 3/7/2017 7:49:00 AM Street: 2nd ST	Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH	Severity
Length Surveyed: 212.7	Downstream MH: COUNTY MH 43	Moderate Average
Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 000	00 Direction of Survey: Downstrea	m Heavy
Height (Diameter): 24	Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe	Severe
Street: 2nd ST		
(0.0) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY M	ID Number: CC	DUNTY MH

(212.7) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH 43 (FLAPPER)

(0.0) - Water Level

Total Distance: 212.7

ID Number: COUNTY MH 43



Project Information					
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428		
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer			
Drainage Area		PO Number			
Pipe Segment		Dato	2/1/2017 06:44		
Reference		Date	3/1/201/00.44		
Street	ARDMORE AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH		
Comments					
	Man	hole			
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH	Rim to Invert (U)			
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)			
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH54	Rim to Invert (D)			
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)			
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream		
	Pi	be			
Height (Diameter)	24	Width			
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe		
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	108 9		
Year Laid		Year Renewed			
	Μ	isc			
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label			
Purnose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category			
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned			
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway		
Additional Info	Diy	Location Details	Light Highway		
	Cus	stom			
Number of Taps	1	Number of Roots	0		
Num Cracke /	1	Number of Broken /	0		
Fractures	0	Holes / Collanse	0		
Number of Deposits	1	Custom6			
Custom7	1	Struct Grade			
OM Grade		Overall Grade			
	Da				
	Fal	Shoot (Group)			
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0		
Imperial Units (US)	True	Prossuro Valuo	0		
	liuc		O CITV OF HERMOSA		
Work Order		Project	BEACH		
		Completed	Yes		
			1.00		
• • •	Yane	N/R			
Created v	with the	report genera	itor		

Date: 3/1/2017 6:44:00 AM Street: ARDMORE AVE Length Surveyed: 108.9

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH Downstream MH: COUNTY MH54 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 2100 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: ARDMORE AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH Downstream ARDMORE AVE COUNTY MHA Manhole COUNTY MH Manhole COUNTY MH Anhole COUNTY MH Anhole COUNTY MH Anhole COUNTY MH Anhole COUNTY MH Anhole COUNTY MH
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	ASIMOSE BERGU BOUNNY MI BOURSErsem BOUNNY MISO Ceter Lovel 52 24 05:47 Circular BOLDFORGE So D FT
0.0	Deposits Settled Compacted Position: 5 To 7 Severity: None	NERMORE BERGH BOUNTY MA DEPOSITE SOLS & SOLS & SOMPECIAL DEPOSITE SOLS & SOLS & SOMPECIAL SOUTH HIST DEPOSITE SOLS & SOLS & SOMPECIAL SOL TO POSITE SOL TO P

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
10.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOST BERGE BOUNTY MI BOUNSTREE BR. Factory Rottine B C'elock
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 06:49 2017/03/01 10.8 FT
		HERMOSA BEAGH COUNTY MH COUNTY MH
Manhole 108.9 Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH54	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH54	Marthele GOUNTY MH54
	24 Circular Reinforced Co 06:51 2017/03/01 108.9 FT	

Date: 3/1/2017 6:44:00 AM Severity Pipe Segment Reference: Light Street: ARDMORE AVE Upstream MH: COUNTY MH Moderate Length Surveyed: 108.9 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH54 Average Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 2100 Direction of Survey: Downstream Heavy Material: Reinforced Concrete Height (Diameter): 24 Severe Pipe Street: ARDMORE AVE





	Broject Information			
Surveyor Name	IACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428	
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer	0 003 5420	
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment				
Reference		Date	3/2/2017 10:45	
Street	HERMOSA AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments				
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH100	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH48	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	42	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	••• · ·	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	238.6	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	Main Highway	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Suburban/Rural	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	v	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
Imporial Unite (US)	Travo	Number Broccuro Valuo	0	
imperial Units (03)	IIue	Flessule value	υ ΟΙΤΥ ΟΕ ΠΕΦΜΟS Δ	
Work Order		Project	BEACH	
		Completed	Yes	
Created with the TPDSM report generator				

Date: 3/2/2017 10:45:00 AM Street: HERMOSA AVE Length Surveyed: 238.6

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH100 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH48 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 42

Street: HERMOSA AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH100	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH100 Downstream HERMOSA AVE COUNTY MH48 Membels Souver County MH48
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSE HEROH COUNTY MILLOD Downstreen COUNTY MILLO Water Lovel Distream Sirenter Cateforeed Sc Distr
33.2	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BERGH COUNTY MELCO COUNTY br>COUNTY MELCO COUNTY

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
238.6	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSE BEACH COUNTY MHIOD Downstream BERMOST AVE SOUNTY MHIOD Tap Pastory 1stive S 0'Glock
		42 Cincular Reinforced Co 10:50 2017/03/02 238.6 FT
238.6	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH48	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH100 DownStreem Membole OSUNTY MH48 Membole OSUNTY MH48 42 10:50 Altreeler Solt/CE/C2 Cataforced So 238.5 F1



ID Number: COUNTY MH48

(238.6) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18

(238.6) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH48

Total Distance: 238.6



	Proiect In	formation		
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428	
Pipe Segment		Date	2/22/2017 10:11	
Street	EASEMENT	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments				
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH101	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH18	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	27	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	297.3	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	M	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of Way	
Additional Info	5	Location Details	e ,	
Custom				
Number of Taps	4	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /		Number of Broken /	•	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pad	cp 6		
		Sheet (Group)		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH	
Completed Yes				
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	itor	

Date: 2/22/2017 10:11:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 297.3

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH101 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH18 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 27

Street: EASEMENT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH101	HERMOSA BERGY COUNTY MILLES DOURSGROOM Manhole SOUNTY MILLE Manhole
		27 (Circular Reinforced Co 10:13 2017/02/22 0 FT
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHILL BOURSEPOSE SOUNTY MHIL
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	Water Lovel 57
		27 Gircular Reinforced Co 10:13 2017/02/22 0 FT
		HERMOSA BERCH COUNTY MILLOS BOURSCROSE COUNTY MILLO
74.5	Tap Factory Capped Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory Sepred B O'slock
		27 Circular Reinforest Co 10:15 2017/02/22 76.8 PT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
92.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHIDI Downstream COUNTY MHIB Tap Factory Active 3 Clock
		27 <u>Bircular</u> Reinforced Co 10:16 2017/02/22 92.7 FT
	Tap Factory Active	HERMOSI DERCH COUNTY MILLES DOURSGROOM COUNTY MILLE
102.4	Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	Ter Festory tettve 2 0'slock
		27 Gircular Reinforced Co 10:16 2017/02/22 102.4 FT
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHIDI BOURSTream COUNTY MHIS
177.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 12 Severity: None Size: 18	Ter Festory fottice SE O'slogs
		27 Offeutar Reinforced Go 10:18 2017/02/22 177.3 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHIDI Downstream SOUNTY MHIB
297.3	Mannole Severity: None	Menkele
	Remarks: COUNTY MH18	27 Cincular Beinfered Se 10:21 2017/02/22 297.5 PT

Date: 2/22/2017 10:11:00 AM Severity Pipe Segment Reference: Light Street: EASEMENT Upstream MH: COUNTY MH101 Moderate Length Surveyed: 297.3 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH18 Average Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Heavy Material: Reinforced Concrete Height (Diameter): 27 Severe Pipe Street: EASEMENT





	Project In	formation			
Surveyor Name Owner	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer	U-805-3428		
Pipe Segment Reference		PO Number Date	2/24/2017 07:16		
Street Comments	EASEMENT	City	HERMOSA BEACH		
	Man	hole			
Upstream MH Grade to Invert (U)	COUNTY MH139	Rim to Invert (U) Rim to Grade (U)			
Downstream MH Grade to Invert (D)	COUNTY MH59 Stormwater	Rim to Invert (D) Rim to Grade (D) Direction of Survey	Unctream		
Sewer USe	Di		Opsiream		
Height (Diameter) Shape	30 Circular	Width Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe		
Lining Method Total Length Year Laid		Pipe Joint Length Length Surveyed Year Renewed	361.4		
	Μ	isc			
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label			
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category			
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned			
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of Way		
Additional Info		Location Details			
Custom					
Number of Taps Num Cracks / Fractures	0 0	Number of Roots Number of Broken / Holes / Collapse	0 0		
Number of Deposits Custom7	0	Custom6 Struct Grade			
OM Grade		Overall Grade			
	Pac	ср 6			
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group) Number	0		
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0		
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH		
	Completed Yes				
Created v	Created with the TPDSMC report generator				

Date: 2/24/2017 7:16:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 361.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH139 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH59 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 30

Street: EASEMENT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH59	HERMOSE BERGH GOUNTY MAILES Destroen Manhola COUNTY MAILE Manhola COUNTY MAILE 30 07:21 Circular 2017/02/24 Reinforced 60 D PT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	ALERASSO EIRON DOUXTY MELSEE UPSBreen Water Loval EX 30 07:21 Circular 2017/02/24 Reinforced 60 0 FT
361.4	Catch Basin Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH139	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH139 Upstream CAUCA-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin Catca-Basin

Pipe

Date: 2/24/2017 7:16:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 361.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH139 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH59 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Height (Diameter): 30

Street: EASEMENT





	Project Information			
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428	
Pipe Segment		Date	3/13/2017 07:07	
Street	PACIFIC COAST HWY	Citv	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments		5		
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH17	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH2	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)	_	Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	39	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining wethod		Pipe Joint Length	542.0	
Yoar Laid		Vear Renewed	542.9	
	М			
Elow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Drv	Location Code	Main Highway - Urban	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	7	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
	т	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	Irue	Pressure value	U CITY OF LIEDMOSA	
Work Order		Project	BEACH	
		Completed	Yes	
Created v	Created with the TPDSMC report generator			

Date: 3/13/2017 7:07:00 AM Street: PACIFIC COAST HWY Length Surveyed: 542.9

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH17 **Downstream MH:** COUNTY MH2 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 39

Street: PACIFIC COAST HWY

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH2	HERMOSA BEAGH COUNTY MELT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOST BEACH COUNTY MARY UPStreem SOUNTY MIZ Keter Level 22 39 07:08 Corester Cateforced Sc 2017/02/18
145.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSE EETON PROIFIG COAST HWY COUNTY MELT? Upstream COUNTY MILE Tap Pastory lettue E 0°cloak SS Sincular Reinforged Sc 2017/05/18 145 FT

Distance	Fault Observation		Picture
		HERE REAMEN	PICIFIC CORST HWY UPSCROEN COUNTY MH2
217.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 24		Tap Festory fativa E O'cloab
		89 07:12	Circular Reinforced Co 2017/03/13 217.8 FJ
		HERCER BECKEN SCULTY FILLS	Preifie corst hvy Upstroem Sounty Mie
229.6	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	1	Tep Feedory Gedive E D'eloca
		52 57:55	Circular Reinforced Co 2017/03/13 229.6 Fi
		HERMOSA BEACH County MH17	PAGIFIC COAST HWY Upstream County MH2
245.3	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 18		Tep Featory Lation 2 Ofaicok
		ES DTe14	Offerier Beinforeed Co 2317/02/18 243.5 PT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture	
275.3	Tap Factory Active Position: 1 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH17	PACIFIC COAST HWY UPSTREAM COUNTY MH2 Tap Factory Active 1 O'GLOCK
		07:15	2257/02/18 275.3 FT
473.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 1 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH17	PRELFUE COAST HWY UPSCREET SCUNLY MH2 TEP PESSORN ROLLING E STGLOOK
		39 07:19	Girenier Reinforged Go
519.2	Tap Factory Active Position: 1 Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH17	PAGIFIC COAST HWY Upstream COUNTY MH2 Tap Peotory Taging 1 O'Glock
	Size: 18	58 07:21	Circular RetRforead Co 2017/03/18 ESS.2 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture	
542.9	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH17	HERMOSA BEACH PAGIFIO GORST TWY COUNTY MH17 Upstreet GOUNTY MH2 GERNOIG GOUNTY MH17	
		82 Straular Gait/Graad Sc 07:22 2017/03/18 562.9 FT	

Date: 3/13/2017 7:07:00 AM Street: PACIFIC COAST HWY Length Surveyed: 542.9

Street: PACIFIC COAST HWY

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH17 **Downstream MH: COUNTY MH2** Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete

Height (Diameter): 39

Pipe



ID Number: COUNTY MH2 (0.0) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH2 (0.0) - Water Level (145.0) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 9 Size: 18 (217.8) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 24 (229.6) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18 (245.3) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 2 Size: 18 (275.3) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 1 Size: 18 (473.7) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 1 Size: 18 (519.2) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 1 Size: 18 (542.9) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH17 Total Distance: 542.9 ID Number: COUNTY MH17





Broject Information				
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428	
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer		
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment		Dato	2/21/2017 08:54	
Reference		Date	2/21/2017 00.34	
Street	VALLEY DR	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments				
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH18	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH43	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	51	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	175.9	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Mi	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	4	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
Pacp 6				
Povorco Sotup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Proiect	CITY OF HERMOSA	
			BEACH	
		Completed	Yes	
Created with the PDSM report generator				

Date: 2/21/2017 8:54:00 AM Street: VALLEY DR Length Surveyed: 175.9

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH18 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH43 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 51

Street: VALLEY DR

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH43	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH18 Upstream Manhole Boundy MH43 51 08:56 Circular Reinforced Co D FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	TERMOSE ERGET GOUNTY MILE Weter Level St Creckier Basse Creckier Banforsed So O FT
12.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 4	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH18 Upstream Tap Factory Active 20'clock 51 08:57 Birenier Reinforced Co 12.8 FT

Distance	Fault Observation		Picture	
42.2	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 4	HERMOSA BEACH County MH18	UPSGROAM	VALLEY DR COUNTY MH43
		51	etreular	2 3 ^c clock
		03:01	2011/02/65	46.6 11
53.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 10 Severity: None Size: 4	HERMOSA BEACH County MH18	Opstream Tep	PEGGORN Active
		51 09:01	Circiler 2017/22/28	Reinforced Co
		HERMOSA BEACH	Unatroam	CALLEY DE BOUNTY MILLE
167.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 4	51 09+05	Cincolar 2017/02/28	Feedory Retive B Stalock

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture	
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH18 Upstream COUNTY MH43	
175.9	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH18	Manhole COUNTY MH18 51 09:08 Circular Beinforged Co 2017/02/21 T75.9 FT	







Project Information				
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428	
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer		
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment		Date	3/1/2017 11:08	
Stroot		City	HEDMOSA DEACH	
Commente	FIERAVE	City	HERMOSA DEACH	
ooninients	Мар	holo		
Lipstroam MH		Rim to Invort (II)		
Grade to Invert (II)		Rim to Grade (II)		
Downstroam MH	COUNTV MH75	Rim to Grade (0) Pim to Invort (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)	COUNT I WIII/S	Rim to invert (D)		
Sower Lice	Stormustor	Nin to Grade (D)	Unstroom	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Opstream	
		pe		
Height (Diameter)	33	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	404	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	M	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	٥	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
Bauana Ostur ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA	
		Completed	Yes	
Created with the TOSM report generator				

Date: 3/1/2017 11:08:00 AM Street: PIER AVE Length Surveyed: 404

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH2 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH75 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 33

Street: PIER AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture	
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH75	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH2 Upstream Manhole EOUNTY MH75 Manhole EOUNTY MH75 Si Eculiar 2017/03/01 Pier AVE COUNTY MH75 Beinforced Co D FT	
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSS BERGET UPSGroen DIALS AUG COUNLY MILS Destroen Destr Level St St St St St St St St St St St St St	
20.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 11 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH2 UPSERCEM DOUNTY MH2 Destroem Des Pesterv Return Si o'elock Si o'elock Si o'elock Si o'elock Si o'elock Si o'elock Si o'elock Si o'elock	
Distance	Fault Observation		Picture
----------	--	---	---
161.4	Tap Factory Active Position: 10 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH2	PIER EVE COUNTY MINE TEP FEGGORY fettue 10 0'elosb
		38 Circular Reinforced Co 11:13 -2017/03/01 161.4 F1	
		HERMOSA BEACH County MH2	UPSCREEL DOUNTY MITS
404.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH2		Manbole COUNTY MH2
		33 11:17	Circular Reinforced Co 2017/03/01 404 FT





Project Information			
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer	
Drainage Area		PO Number	
Pipe Segment		Date	3/7/2017 09:29
Stroot	14th ST	City	HEDMOSA DEACH
Comments	1401 51	Oity	HERMOSA BEACH
	Man	hole	
Linstream MH	COUNTY MH30	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH81	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	De	
Height (Diameter)	24	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	280.5
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	M	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
Pacp 6			
Roverse Setun ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
Neverse Oetup ID	0	Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created with the TPDSM report generator			

Date: 3/7/2017 9:29:00 AM Street: 14th ST Length Surveyed: 280.5

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH30 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH81 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: 14th ST

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH30	HERMOSE BERGE COUNTY MILEO Bownstreem Manhole COUNTY MHEO Panhole COUNTY MHEO 24 09:30 Circular Reinforced Co
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH30 Downsbream Water Level 07 24 09:30 Circular Reinforced Co 0 FT
280.5	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH81	HERMOSA BERGE COUNTY MHET DOURSGREEN MERNOLG SCUNTY MHES MERNOLG SCUNTY MHES 24 09:35 Chrouier Scinforged Co 2017/05/07 County Mies

Pipe

Date: 3/7/2017 9:29:00 AM Street: 14th ST Length Surveyed: 280.5

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH30 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH81 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Street: 14th ST

Height (Diameter): 24





Project Information			
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428
Pipe Segment		Date	3/7/2017 09:18
Street	14th ST	Citv	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments			
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH31	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH30	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	24	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	255.7
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	M	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	<u>^</u>	Holes / Collapse	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom/		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
Pacp 6			
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
Imporial Unite (US)	Travo	Number Broccuro Valuo	0
imperial Units (03)	IIuc	Flessule value	U CITV OF HERMOSA
Work Order		Project	BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	ator

Date: 3/7/2017 9:18:00 AM Street: 14th ST Length Surveyed: 255.7

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH31 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH30 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: 14th ST

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH31	HERMOSIC BETGY SOUNTY MIEL DOUNSTROOM METholo BOUNTY MHEL
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 09:20 2017/03/07 0 F1
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA DEAGH COUNTY MILES Downstream Sounty Miles Motor Level gr 24 09:20 Circular Reinforsed So D FT
68.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 11 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BERCH COUNTY MH31 Dounstream COUNTY MH30 Tar Pastory festor 11 0'elock 24 25:22 Stratter Bainfores 30 25:57 Dainfores 30 25:57 Dainfores 30

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSE BREEK COUNTY MILES BORRSEPSER COUNTY MILEC
74.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 18	Tep Factory Active 2 0'clock
		24 Gircular Reinforced Co 09:22 2017/03/07 74.8 FT
		HERMOSE ETERS COUNTY MHES BOUTSGROOM COUNTY MHBO
255.7	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH30	Manhole COUNTY MH3D
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 09:25 2017/03/07 255.7 FT





	Project In	formation		
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428	
Pipe Segment		Date	3/7/2017 09:09	
Street	JOY ST	Citv	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments		,		
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH32	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH31	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	24	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	255.5	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Μ	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	4	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	•	Holes / Collapse	Ū	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
Pacp 6				
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
	T		0	
Imperial Units (US)	Irue	Pressure value	U CITY OF HEDMORA	
Work Order		Project	BEACH	
		Completed	Yes	
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	ator	

Date: 3/7/2017 9:09:00 AM Street: JOY ST Length Surveyed: 255.5

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH32 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH31 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: JOY ST

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH32	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH32 Downstream Manhole COUNTY MH31 Manhole COUNTY MH32 Manhole COUNTY MH32 County MH32 County MH32 County MH32 County MH32 County MH32 County MH32 County MH32
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOST EINSU COUNTY MHEZ DomnsGreen Water Local 52 24 09:11 Circular Reinforced Co D FT
118.6	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSE BERGY COUNTY MILES Dourstreen COUNTY Miles County Miles County Miles County Miles County Miles Dourstreen County Miles Dourstreen County Miles Dourstreen County Miles County County Miles County County Miles County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County C

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
213.5	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOST BIAON SOUNTY MILES BOUNSGROOM SOUNTY MILES Far Factory Active 3 0'clock
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 09:15 2017/03/07 213.5 FT
		HERMOST BETON JOY ST GOUNTY MIES DOUNSTROOM GOUNTY MISI
221.4	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	Ter Festory Active S Ofelock
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 09:05 2017/03/07 221.4 FT
		HEXADER EINEN SCUNTY MIES Dourstroem Sounty Miel
231.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	Tep Feetorn Lettve B D'elock
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 09115 2017/03/07 231 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEASE JOY ST COUNTY MHER BOURSBROOM GOUNLY MHEI
255.5	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH31	Menhold GOUNTY MHEA
		24 Cîrcular Reinforced Co 09:17 2017/03/07 255.5 FT







Project Information			
Surveyor Name Owner	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer	U-805-3428
Drainage Area		PO Number	
Pipe Segment Reference		Date	2/22/2017 09:50
Street	3rd STREET	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments			
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH38	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH82	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	24	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	318.1
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Μ	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
Custom			
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
Deverse Ceture ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created v	with the POS	M report genera	itor

Date: 2/22/2017 9:50:00 AM Street: 3rd STREET Length Surveyed: 318.1

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH38 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH82 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: 3rd STREET

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH38	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH38 Downstream COUNTY MH82 Manhole COUNTY MH38 Manhole COUNTY MH38 COUNTY MH38 County MH38 County MH38 County MH38
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BERGE DOWNSGROEM BEAL STREET COUNTY MIBB DOWNSGROEM BOUNTY MIB2 Weber Local 22 24 09:57 Circular Reinforced 80 D PT
318.1	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH82	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH38 BOURSSPREAM Mathole COUNTY MH32 Mathole COUNTY MH32 Mathole COUNTY MH32 Mathole COUNTY MH32 Mathole COUNTY MH32 Mathole COUNTY MH32

Pipe

Date: 2/22/2017 9:50:00 AM Street: 3rd STREET Length Surveyed: 318.1

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH38 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH82 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Height (Diameter): 24

Street: 3rd STREET





Broject Information					
Survevor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428		
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer			
Drainage Area		PO Number			
Pipe Segment		Dato	2/22/2017 07:38		
Reference		Date	2/22/2017 07.30		
Street	VALLEY DR	City	HERMOSA BEACH		
Comments					
	Man	hole			
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH41	Rim to Invert (U)			
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)			
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH70	Rim to Invert (D)			
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)			
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream		
	Pi	ре			
Height (Diameter)	63	Width			
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe		
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length			
Total Length		Length Surveyed	367.9		
Year Laid		Year Renewed			
Misc					
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label			
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category			
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned			
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway		
Additional Info		Location Details			
Custom					
Number of Taps	3	Number of Roots	1		
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0		
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0		
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6			
Custom7		Struct Grade			
OM Grade		Overall Grade			
	Pao	ср 6			
		Sheet (Group)	0		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0		
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0		
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA		
			BEACH		
		Completed	Yes		
Created v	Created with the PDSMC report generator				

Date: 2/22/2017 7:38:00 AM Street: VALLEY DR Length Surveyed: 367.9

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH41 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH70 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 4100 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 63

Street: VALLEY DR

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH41	HERMOSA BEAGH COUNTY MEAS DOURSTReam Manhole COUNTY MHAS Manhole COUNTY MHAS 63 07:39 Cincular Reinforced Co D FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH41 Downstream Water Level 52 63 07:39 Circular Balbforaet So D PT
114.9	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 4	HERMOSA BEACH SOUNTY MH41 Dounstream UALLEY DP COUNTY MH41 Dounstream UALLEY DP COUNTY MH41 Dounstream Dar Factory Active 2 0. Clock 2 0. Clock B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
114.9	Roots Ball Lateral Position: 2 Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH SOUNLY MH41 Douristream. UALLEY DR COUNLY MANN H41 DOURISTREAM. UALLEY DR COUNLY MANN H41 DOURISTREAM. UALLEY DR COUNLY MH41 DOURISTREAM. UALLEY DR COUNLY MH41 DOURISTREAM. UALLEY DR COUNLY MANN H41 DOURISTREAM. UALLEY DR COUNLY MH41 DOURISTREAM. UALLEY DR COUNLY MH41 DOURISTREAM. UALLEY DR COUNLY MH41 DOURISTREAM. UALLEY DR COUNLY MH41 DOURISTREAM. UALLEY DR COUNLY MANN H41 DOURISTREAM. UALLEY DR COUNLY MH41 DOURISTRE
114.9	Picture Number: 2 Roots Ball Lateral Position: 2	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH41 Downstream COUNTY MH70 63 07:42 CirceDer Reimforged Co 114.5 Pt
243.3	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 4	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH41 Downstream COUNTY MH70 Tap Jacoby Retive 2 0'slock 63 07:45 Circular Reinforced Co 243.3 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH VALLEY DR COUNTY MH41 Downstream COUNTY MH70
324.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory Active 2 0'clock
		63 61Peular Reinforced Co 07:46 2017/02/22 324 FT
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH41 Downstream COUNTY MH70
367.9	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH70	Manhole COUNTY MH70
		63 Circular Reinforced Co 07:48 2017/02/22 367.9 FT

Date: 2/22/2017 7:38:00 AM Street: VALLEY DR Length Surveyed: 367.9

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH41 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH70 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 4100 Direction of Survey: Downstream



Height (Diameter): 63

Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Street: VALLEY DR





Drojoct Information				
			11 005 2420	
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428	
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer		
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment		Date	2/22/2017 07:27	
Reference		0:4		
Street	VALLEY DR	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments				
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH43	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH41	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	60	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	327.1	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
Misc				
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway	
Additional Info	5	Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	1	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /		Number of Broken /	•	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Par	n 6		
		Sheet (Group)		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
	1140		CITY OF HERMOSA	
Work Order		Project	BEACH	
		Completed	Yes	
		•		
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	ator	

Date: 2/22/2017 7:27:00 AM Street: VALLEY DR Length Surveyed: 327.1

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH43 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH41 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 60 Street: VALLEY DR

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH43	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH43 Downstream Manhole COUNTY MH43 Manhole
		60 Circular Reinforced Co 07:29 2017/02/22 0 FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH43 Downstream Water Level 52 50 57:32 Birguier Reinforced Co 2017/22/28 County County MH41
14.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 10 Severity: None Size: 4	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH43 Downstream Tep Pactory Active 10 O'clock 50 07:33 Bircular Reinforced Co 14 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH VALLEY DR COUNTY MH43 Downstream COUNTY MH41
327.1	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH41	Manhole COUNTY MH41
		50 Cincular Reinforced Co 07:37 2017/02/22 327.1 FT







Project Information				
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428	
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer		
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment		Dete	2/2/2017 10.57	
Reference		Date	3/2/2017 10:57	
Street	HERMOSA AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments				
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH48	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH49	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
	Pi	ipe		
Height (Diameter)	42	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	415.8	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Μ	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Main Highway - Suburban/Pural	
Additional Info		Location Details	Suburban/Kurai	
Custom				
Number of Taps	5	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
Pacp 6				
Povorso Sotun ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
Neverse Setup ID	0	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH	
		Completed	Yes	
Created with the TPDSM report generator				

Date: 3/2/2017 10:57:00 AM Street: HERMOSA AVE Length Surveyed: 415.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH48 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH49 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 42

Street: HERMOSA AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH48	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH48 Downstream Manhole Sounty MH49 Manhole Sounty MH48 42 10:59 Brealer 2017/05/02 Bainforced Co
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH48 Downstream Water Level 52 42 10:59 Reinforced Co D FT
0.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH48 Downstream DOUNTY MH49 TEP Festory Active 2 0°Glock 42 10:59 Strenler Reinforced to D FT

Distance	Fault Observation		Picture	
244.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH County MH48	Downstream Jap	HERMOSA AVE COUNTY MH49 Factory Active 9 O'clock
		42 11:18	Sircular 2017/03/02	Reinforced Co 244.8 FT
		HERMOSE BETOE COUNTY MEGE	Counstreen	ERAMONA AVE BOUNTY MEAS
254.2	Shape or Size Change Severity: None Size: 42 Remarks: RECTANGLE		Shape	OF SIZE Shares RESTRICLE
		92 11:19	2017/03/02	254.2 FT
	Tap Factory Active	HERMOSA BEACH County MH48	Tourstream	HERMOSA AVE County MH49
265.7	Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18		Tep	FEGGORN ROLIVC E Ofglock
		42 11:20	317901ar 2017/03/02	Reinforced Co 265.7 FT

Distance	Fault Observation		Picture	
		HERMOSA BEAGE COUNTY MECS	Courstroom	HERADER 203 BOUNTY MILLE
279.3	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18		Tap	lestery fative 2 8°slock
		42 11:20	Circular 2017/03/02	Reinforced Co 279.3 FT
		HERMOSA BERGH County MH48	Courstreen	HERMOSA AVE COUNTY MH49
293.4	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18		Tep 1	Featory fatiog 2 0°alcob
		42 11:21	01rcular 2017/08/02	Reinforced Co 293.4 FT
		HERMOSC EETOE SCUNTY MILLS	Bounstreen	ENSAGES AVE
308.9	Shape or Size Change Severity: None Size: 42 Remarks: CICULAR	37	Stere (or Sizo Ohenna Gigulaz
		42 11:21	Sircular 2017/03/02	Reinforced 80 308:9 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
415.8	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH49	HERMOSA BETCH COUNTY MEGE DOWNSERGED HERMOSA AVE SOUNTY MEGE COUNTY MEGE COUNTY MEGE COUNTY MEGE
		42 11:23 61rcular 2017/02/02 415.8 F1

Pipe

Date: 3/2/2017 10:57:00 AM Street: HERMOSA AVE Length Surveyed: 415.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH48 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH49 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Height (Diameter): 42

Street: HERMOSA AVE

	0	ID Number: COUNTY MH48
(0.0) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH48		
(0.0) - Water Level		
(0.0) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18		
		(244.8) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 9 Size: 18
(254.2) - Shape or Size Change Size: 42 Remark: RECTANGLE		(265.7) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 9 Size: 18
(279.3) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18		
(308.9) - Shape or Size Change Size: 42 Remark: CICULAR		(293.4) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 9 Size: 18
(415.8) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH49		
Total Distance: 415.8	٢	ID Number: COUNTY MH49





Project Information						
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428			
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer				
Drainage Area		PO Number				
Pipe Segment		Data	2/2/2017 11.24			
Reference		Dale	5/2/2017 11.24			
Street	HERMOSA AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH			
Comments						
Manhole						
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH49	Rim to Invert (U)				
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)				
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH35	Rim to Invert (D)				
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)				
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream			
	Pi	pe				
Height (Diameter)	42	Width				
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe			
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length				
Total Length		Length Surveyed	378.1			
Year Laid		Year Renewed				
	Μ	isc				
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label				
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category				
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned				
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Main Highway -			
Additional Info		Location Details	Suburban/Kurar			
	Cus	stom				
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0			
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0			
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0			
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6				
Custom7		Struct Grade				
OM Grade		Overall Grade				
	Pac	ср 6				
Boveres Satur ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0			
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0			
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0			
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH			
		Completed	Yes			
Created with the TOSM report generator						

Date: 3/2/2017 11:24:00 AM Street: HERMOSA AVE Length Surveyed: 378.1

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH49 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH35 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 42

Street: HERMOSA AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH49	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHAS BOURSEREEM HERMOSA AVE COUNTY MHAS Manhole COUNTY MHAS AM Anhole COUNTY MHAS AM Anhole COUNTY MHAS AM Anhole COUNTY MHAS AM Anhole COUNTY MHAS
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	TERMOSE BERGE COUNTY MILLS Downsbreen Deter Level 52 11:25 County Mills Downsbreen Ceter Level 52 County Mills County County County br>County Count
184.2	Tap Factory Capped Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 21	HERMOSE BERGE SOUNTY MICE Domistream HERMOSA AVE COUNTY MISS Fap Factory Capped 3 0'clock 42 11:30 Circular Reinforced Co 184.2 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
184.2	Shape or Size Change Severity: None Size: 45	HERMOSE BEAGH COUNTY MEAS Downstreem BEAMESE AVE Stare of Size Cherce
		42 01:30 01:30 2017/03/02 01:30 00 01:30 01:30 01:30 01:30 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0
		HERMOSA BEAGH COUNTY MH49 DOURSDream COUNTY MH49
	Tap Factory Active	
378.1	Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	Ter Feetory Retive 2 9'eleck
		42 Circular Relinforced Co
		HERMOST DECOL HERMOSA AVE
	Manhole	COUNTY MICE DOUDSRAAGED COUNTY MHSS
378.1	Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH35	Mankole GOUNTY MH35
		42 Girgular Reinforced Co 15:54 2017/03/02 378.1 FT

(0.0) - Water Level

(184.2) - Tap Factory Capped -Position: 3 Size: 21 (184.2) - Shape or Size Change Size: 45

(378.1) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18 (378.1) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH35

Total Distance: 378.1

Date: 3/2/2017 11:24:00 AM Pipe Segment Reference: Severity Light Street: HERMOSA AVE Upstream MH: COUNTY MH49 Moderate Length Surveyed: 378.1 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH35 Average Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Heavy Material: Reinforced Concrete Height (Diameter): 42 Severe Pipe Street: HERMOSA AVE ID Number: COUNTY MH49 (0.0) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH49

ID Number: COUNTY MH35




	Project Information			
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428	
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer		
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment Reference		Date	3/6/2017 08:07	
Street	GOULD AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments		-		
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH52	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH95	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream	
	Pi	pe	1	
Height (Diameter)	24	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	1	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	316.9	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Mi	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Alley	
Additional Info	-	Location Details	2	
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
Bayaraa Catum ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH	
Completed Yes				
Created v	with the POS	M report genera	itor	

Date: 3/6/2017 8:07:00 AM Street: GOULD AVE Length Surveyed: 316.9

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH52 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH95 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: GOULD AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH95	HEEKOSA DERGH BOUNTY MISS Destream Manhole COUNTY MHSS
		24 Circular Reinforced Co DB:DB 2017/03/06 0 FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	ANNELSE BETOM SOUVERVISED LADERFORM Refor Lovel 24 08:08 Circular Reinforced Co D FT
70.3	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HIENOBR BERSH Unstreem BOULS AVE SOUNTY MIES Dir Pestory Ratius S O'Glock S O'Glock S Singlish Singlish Singlish Singlish Singlish Singlish

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture	
		ETERGET ETTON GOULD AVE BOUNTY MIES UPSCREET COUNTY MISS	
244.1	Tap Factory Active Position: 1 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory Active 1-0°clock	
		24 Streular Reinforced Co 08:29 2017/03/06 244.1 FT	
		HERMOST BEACH- GOULD AVE COUNTY MH52 UPSTream COUNTY MH95	
316.9	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH52	Manhole COUNTY MH52	
		24 Secular Reinforced Co 08:35 2017/03/06 316.9 FT	







Project Information				
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428	
Pipe Segment		Date	2/27/2017 09:41	
Reference	24th ST	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments	240101	ony	TIERWOON DENCH	
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH53	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH68	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	30	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	317.6	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Μ	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	- -	Holes / Collapse		
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom/		Struct Grade		
OW Grade				
	Pac			
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sneet (Group)	0	
Imporial Units (US)	True	Prossuro Valuo	0	
	IIuc		U CITY OF HERMOSA	
Work Order		Project	BEACH	
Completed Yes				
Created v	Created with the TPDSMC report generator			

Date: 2/27/2017 9:41:00 AM Street: 24th ST Length Surveyed: 317.6

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH53 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH68 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 30

Street: 24th ST

Distance	Fault Observation		Picture	
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH68	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MISE	UPSGPGED Circular 2017/02/27	EGEBCOPEES BE
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEABE COUNTY MESE 30 09:43	UPSBRGEM Gircular 2017/02/27	COUNTY MILES COUNTY MILES COUNTY MILES COUNTY MILES COUNTY MILES COUNTY MILES COUNTY MILES COUNTY MILES
317.6	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH53	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH53 30 09:48	Upetreen Gircular 2017/02/27	24th ST COUNTY MH68 Manhole COUNTY MH53 Reinforced Co 317.6 FT

Pipe

Date: 2/27/2017 9:41:00 AM Street: 24th ST Length Surveyed: 317.6

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH53 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH68 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Street: 24th ST

Height (Diameter): 30





	Project In	formation	
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer	
Drainage Area		PO Number	
Pipe Segment		Dato	3/1/2017 06:52
Reference		Date	5/1/201/ 00.52
Street	ARDMORE AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments			
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH54	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH67	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	24	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	228.1
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Μ	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info	5	Location Details	8 8 9
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	2	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pa	rn 6	
	T GO	Sheet (Group)	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
	1100		CITY OF HERMOSA
Work Order		Project	BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created with the PDSM report generator			

Date: 3/1/2017 6:52:00 AM Street: ARDMORE AVE Length Surveyed: 228.1

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH54 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH67 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: ARDMORE AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSE DEREN ARDMORE AVE COUNTY MILES DOWESERED COUNTY MILES
0.0	Manhole Severity: None	Matthele
	Remarks: COUNTY MH54	COUNTY MH54
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 05:53 2017/03/01 0 FT
		HERMOSA BERGH GOUNDER AVE COUNTY MISS DOUTBORGED COUNTY MIST
		The Lange and
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	Keter Level
		Ca
		24 Circular Reinforced 80 06:53 2042/03/01 D FT
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MISS BOUTBBREET COUNTY MISS
	Tap Factory Active	
0.0	Position: 3 Severity: None	Tap Factory Active 3 0'clock
	Size: 18	and the second
		24CircularReinforced Co06:542017/03/010 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
227.2	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTERMISAOL TOXOEstream Tap Factory Mative 3 0.010ck
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 06:58 2017/03/01 227.2 FT
228.1	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH67	HERMOSH BENCH COUNTY MHS4 BOURSERGED COUNTY MH67 MERAGIG COUNTY MHS4
		24 Cincular Reinforced Co 06:58 2017/03/01 228.1 FT

Date: 3/1/2017 6:52:00 AM Pipe Segment Reference: Light Street: ARDMORE AVE **Upstream MH:** COUNTY MH54 Length Surveyed: 228.1 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH67 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Height (Diameter): 24 Severe Pipe Street: ARDMORE AVE



Severity Moderate Average Heavy



	Proiect In	formation		
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428	
Pipe Segment		Date	3/6/2017 09:34	
Reference Street	GOULD AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments	GOOLD AVE	ony	HERWICS/Y DE/RCH	
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH55	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH97	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	24	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	310.8	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Μ	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Main Highway - Urban	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks / Fractures	0	Number of Broken / Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group) Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH	
Completed Yes				
Created with the PDSM report generator				

Date: 3/6/2017 9:34:00 AM Street: GOULD AVE Length Surveyed: 310.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH55 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH97 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24 Street: GOULD AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH55	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHSS Downstream Manhole COUNTY MH97 Manhole COUNTY MH97 Manhole COUNTY MH55 COUNTY MH55 COUNTY MH55 COUNTY MH55
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	TERMOSE FITCH COUNTY MILES Domrstreen COULD AU3 OCUNTY MILES Demstreen OCUNTY Demstreen OCUNTY Demstreen OCUNTY
310.8	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH97	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MISS BOURSGROEM Manhole COUNTY MH97 Manhole COUNTY MH97 24 09:40 Sircular Reinforced Co 310.8 FT

Date: 3/6/2017 9:34:00 AM Street: GOULD AVE Length Surveyed: 310.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH55 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH97 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Height (Diameter): 24

Pipe

Street: GOULD AVE





Project Information				
Surveyor Name Owner	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer	U-805-3428	
Pipe Segment Reference		PO Number Date	2/24/2017 08:47	
Street	EASEMENT	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments				
Grade to Invert (U)	COUNTY MH56	Rim to invert (U) Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH99	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	36	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	325.1	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	M	ISC		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of Way	
	Cue			
Number of Topo		Number of Boots	0	
Num Cracks / Fractures	0	Number of Broken / Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits Custom7	0	Custom6 Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group) Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH	
Completed No				
Created v	Created with the TPDSM report generator			

Date: 2/24/2017 8:47:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 325.1

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH56 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH99 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 36

Street: EASEMENT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH56	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHS6 Downstream COUNTY MH199 Manholg SOUNTY MHS5 36 Gircular Reinforced Co
		U8:49 2017/02/24 U FI
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	ASSUNTY MISS Dounstream Sounty Misse Water Level 56 08:48 Bircular Reinforsed So 0 FT
150.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 12 Severity: None Size: 6	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH56 Downstream COUNTY MH199 Tap PEGBODY Rotive 12 0'clock 36 08:53 Crewlar Reinforced Co 150.8 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSE BITSH COUNTY MIRE COURSERCON SOUNTY MILES
325.1	Abandoned Survey Severity: None Remarks: WILL ATTEMPT A REV PULL APROX 50FT FROM MH	Abandoned Survey
		36 Circular Balt/02/20 30 09:06 2017/02/24 223.1 PT

Date: 2/24/2017 8:47:00 AMPipe Segment Reference:Street: EASEMENTUpstream MH: COUNTY MH56Length Surveyed: 325.1Downstream MH: COUNTY MH99Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000Direction of Survey: DownstreamHeight (Diameter): 36Material: Reinforced Concrete
Pipe



Street: EASEMENT





Project Information				
Surveyor Name Owner	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer	U-805-3428	
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment Reference		Date	2/27/2017 09:13	
Street	EASEMENT	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments				
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH56	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH99	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	36	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	84.4	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Misc			
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of Way	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks / Fractures	0	Number of Broken / Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
Pacp 6				
		Sheet (Group)	_	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0 CITY OF HERMOGA	
Work Order		Project	BEACH	
		Completed	No	
Created v	Created with the PDSM report generator			

Date: 2/27/2017 9:13:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 84.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH56 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH99 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 36

Street: EASEMENT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH99	HERMOSA BERCH COUNTY MISS Upstream Mauhole County Miss Bandole County Miss County Miss County Miss County Miss County Miss County Miss County Miss County Miss County Miss County Miss
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHSG UPSGROam COUNTY MHSS CEGOP Lovel 22 36 09:15 Creater Cateros So 2017/02/27 Cateros So 2 PT
84.4	Abandoned Survey Severity: None Remarks: REVERSE PULL COMPLETE	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MISS Upstream Abandoned Survey REVERSE PULL COMPLETE ES 19:18 Convert Bandoned Survey REVERSE PULL COMPLETE Survey REVERSE PULL COMPLETE

Date: 2/27/2017 9:13:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 84.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH56 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH99 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream



Height (Diameter): 36

Street: EASEMENT

Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

ID Number: COUNTY MH56





Project Information			
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428
Pipe Segment Reference		Date	2/24/2017 07:53
Street	EASEMENT	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments			
	Man	nole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH57	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
	COUNTY MH59	Rim to invert (D)	
Grade to invert (D)	Stormanutor	Rim to Grade (D)	Lingtroom
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Opstream
Linkt (Diamatan)	PI	pe	
Height (Diameter)	24	Width	
Snape	Circular	Naterial	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	225 1
Yoor Loid		Length Surveyed	333.1
rear Laiu	B.A.:	rear Renewed	
Eleve Control			
	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose Bro Cleaning	No Dry Cleaning	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	D
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of way
	0		
Number of Topo	Gus	10111 Number of Booto	0
Number of Taps	4	Number of Roots	0
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
Pacp 6			
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group) Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created with the TOSM report generator			

Date: 2/24/2017 7:53:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 335.1

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH57 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH59 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: EASEMENT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole 0.0 Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH59	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH140 Upstream COUNTY MH59 Manhole COUNTY MH59
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 07:54 2017/02/24 0 FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BETCH SOUNTY MULCO Destroem Meter Lovai S2 24 07:54 Alreuler S010foreed 30 5.5 FT
5.5	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 24	HERMOSA BERGH GOUNTY MILLOU For Feadory Active E 3*sloak 24 07:55 Circular 2017/02/24 Reinforced So 5.5 PT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
202.1	Tap Factory Active Position: 12 Severity: None Size: 4	HERMORY MILLOS UNSTREEM COUNTY MILLS COUNTY MILLOS Tep Factory fighting 12 9°slock
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 03101 2017/02/24 202.1 FT
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH140 Upstream COUNTY MH59
248.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 12 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory Active 12 0°clock
		24 Birdvier Reinforced Co 03:02 20:17/02/24 248.8 FT
		HERMORA BEACH BOUNTY MILLO UPSCREEM BOUNTY MILLS
254.2	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory Active 9 0°Glock
		24 Cincular Reinforced Co 08:02 2017/02/24 254.2 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
335.1	General Observation Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH140 NON EXSISTING MANHOLE	HERMOSA BEASE COUNTY MILEY Destreem Contral Observation SCUNTY MILEY Contral Observation SCUNTY MILEY
335.1	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH57	HERMOSA BERGE COUNTY MEST DESCROEN Manho Le COUNTY MEST Manho Le COUNTY MEST Allow COUNTY MEST Manho Le COUNTY MEST





Project Information			
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428
Pipe Segment		Date	3/6/2017 10:20
Street	S SEPULVEDA BLVD	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments			
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH58	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH52	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)	~	Rim to Grade (D)	_
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	pe	
Height (Diameter)	24	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining wethod		Pipe Joint Length	201.2
Yoar Laid		Voar Ponowod	521.5
	М		
Elow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purnose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Drv	Location Code	Main Highway - Urban
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom/		Struct Grade	
	Pat	Shoet (Crown)	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group) Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	ator

Date: 3/6/2017 10:20:00 AM Street: S SEPULVEDA BLVD Length Surveyed: 321.3

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH58 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH52 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: S SEPULVEDA BLVD

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH58	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHS8 Downstream Manhole COUNTY MHS8 Manhole COUNTY MHS8 Anno COUNTY MHS8 COUNTY MHS8
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSE EETON COUNTY MESS COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY MESS COUNTY COUN
321.3	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH52	HERMOSA EERGE COUNTY MESS BOUTSGROUT SUNTY MESS Manhole COUNTY MESS 24 10:29 3: Fablar 2017/03/05 Beinforced Co 321.3 F1

Date: 3/6/2017 10:20:00 AM Street: S SEPULVEDA BLVD Length Surveyed: 321.3

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH58 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH52 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Height (Diameter): 24

Pipe

Street: S SEPULVEDA BLVD





Project Information				
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428	
Pipe Segment		Date	2/24/2017 08:11	
Reference Street	FASEMENT	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments		ony	TIERWOON DENCH	
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH59	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH60	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	36	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	312.1	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	M	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of Way	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	1	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures		Holes / Collapse		
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom/		Struct Grade		
OW Grade	De	Overall Grade		
	Pac			
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sneet (Group)	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Prossuro Valuo	0	
	IIuc		CITY OF HERMOSA	
Work Order		Project	BEACH	
		Completed	Yes	
Created v	Created with the TOSM report generator			

Date: 2/24/2017 8:11:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 312.1

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH59 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH60 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 36

Street: EASEMENT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH59	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH59 Downstream COUNTY MH60 Manhole COUNTY MH59
		36 Circular Reinforced Co 08:27 2017/02/24 0 FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSE HERGE GOUNITY MILES Downstream Refer Level 22 36 08:27 COPELLER 2017/02/24 Reinforsed 36 0 FT
140.5	Tap Factory Active Position: 10 Severity: None Size: 12	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH59 Downstream Far Factory Active 10 0'clock Bace Bace Bace Bace Bace Bace Bace Bace

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEASH EASEMENT COUNTY MASS BOULSTPORE COUNTY MASS
312.1	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH60	Manhole COUNTY MH60
		36 Circular Reinforced Co 08:32 2017/02/24 312.1 FT

Date: 2/24/2017 8:11:00 AM Pipe Segment Reference: Severity Light Street: EASEMENT Upstream MH: COUNTY MH59 Moderate Length Surveyed: 312.1 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH60 Average Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Heavy Material: Reinforced Concrete Height (Diameter): 36 Severe Pipe Street: EASEMENT ID Number: COUNTY MH59

> (140.5) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 10 Size: 12

Total Distance: 312.1

(312.1) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH60

(0.0) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH59

(0.0) - Water Level

ID Number: COUNTY MH60





Project Information				
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428	
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer		
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment		Date	2/24/2017 08.37	
Reference		2410		
Street	EASEMENT	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments				
Manhole				
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH60	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH56	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
Pipe				
Height (Diameter)	36	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	298.8	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	M	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of Way	
Additional Info		Location Details		
Custom				
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
Beveree Setur ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA	
			BEACH	
		Completed	Yes	
Created with the TPDSMI report generator				

Date: 2/24/2017 8:37:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 298.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH60 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH56 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 36

Street: EASEMENT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH60 DOURStream COUNTY MH56
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH60	Manhole COUNIY MH60
		36 Cincular Reinforced Co 08:38 2017/02/24 0 FT
		HERMOST DECOM COUNTY MILES COURSERCED COUNTY MILES
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	Water Level E%
		36 Sircular Reinforced So 08:39 2017/02/24 D PT
		HERMOSA BEACH EASEMENT COUNTY MH60 Bownstream COUNTY MH56
298.8	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH56	Manhole GCUNTY MH56
		36 Circular Reinforced Co 08:45 2017/02/24 298.8 FT

Date: 2/24/2017 8:37:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 298.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH60 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH56 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Height (Diameter): 36

Street: EASEMENT

Pipe




Project Information			
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer	
Drainage Area		PO Number	
Reference		Date	2/22/2017 09:25
Street	3rd STREET	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments		•	
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH63	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH38	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	36	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	318.4
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Mi	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	1	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	ср 6	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	Number	-
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created with the TPDSME report generator			

Date: 2/22/2017 9:25:00 AM Street: 3rd STREET Length Surveyed: 318.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH63 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH38 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 36

Street: 3rd STREET

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH38	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH63 Upstream 3rd STREET COUNTY MH63 Manhole Manhole BOUNTY MH38 35 Circular Reinforced Co
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BERGH BOUNTY MIDE UPStreen STA STREET SOUNTY MIDE Destreen GOUNTY MIDE Refor Lovol ST St D9:55 Circular Calafored So D9:55
310.9	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH53 Upstream GOUNTY MH38 Tap Factory Active 9 O'clock 36 09:42 BIPENIER Beinforced Co 310.9 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH63 Upstream COUNTY MH88
318.4	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH63	Anthone Concuration Sector States of Sector States of Sector States of Sector States of States o







Street: 3rd STREET





Project Information			
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer	
Drainage Area		PO Number	
Pipe Segment		Date	2/27/2017 09:50
Stroot	24th ST	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments	240151	Oity	HERWOJA DEACH
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH66	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH53	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream
	Pi	pe	1
Height (Diameter)	24	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	1
Total Length		Length Surveyed	238.5
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Mi	sc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	tom	
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	ср 6	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	Number	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created with the PDSMIC report generator			

Date: 2/27/2017 9:50:00 AM Street: 24th ST Length Surveyed: 238.5

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH66 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH53 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: 24th ST

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH53	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHSS Destroem Manhole COUNTY MHSS Manhole COUNTY MHSS 24 09:51 Chrculer 2017/02/21 Destroem County MHSS
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	TERMOSE BERGU CASE ST SOUNTY MIES Upstreen SOUNTY MIES Destreen SOUNTY
238.5	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH66	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY FMH55DLTADEStream Manbole COUNTY MH55 Manbole COUNTY MH56 24 03:57 Reinforced Co 2017/02/27 Sass FT

Pipe

Date: 2/27/2017 9:50:00 AM Street: 24th ST Length Surveyed: 238.5

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH66 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH53 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Street: 24th ST

Height (Diameter): 24





			11 005 0 100
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PP1)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer	
Drainage Area		PO Number	
Pipe Segment		Date	3/1/2017 06:59
Stroot	ADDMODE AVE	City	HEDMOSA DEACH
Comments	ANDIVIONE AVE	City	HERWOSA DEACH
	Мал	holo	
Lipstroam MH		Pim to Invort (II)	
Grade to Invert (II)	COUNT I MINO/	Rim to invert (U)	
Grade to invert (U)		Rim to Grade (0)	
	COUNTY MH00	Rim to invert (D)	
Grade to invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	24	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	245.3
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	M	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	tom	
Number of Taps	1	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	-	Number of Broken /	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Par	n 6	
		Sheet (Group)	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
			CITY OF HERMOSA
Work Order		Project	ВЕАСН
		Completed	Yes
		•	
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	ator

Date: 3/1/2017 6:59:00 AM Street: ARDMORE AVE Length Surveyed: 245.3

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH67 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH66 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: ARDMORE AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEASH COUNTY MIST COUNTY MIGE
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH67	Manhole COUNTY MH67
		24 <u>Stratian</u> Reinforced Co 07:01 2017/03/01 0 FT
		HERMOST BERGE COUNTY MILES
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	Weter Level
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 07:02 2017009501 0 FT
		ILININ HERKSEN BERGH BOURSTY MILES BOURSTPEEN BOURSTPEEN BOURSTY MILES
168.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory Active 9 0°clock
		24 Gircular Reinforced Co 07:08 2017/03/01 168.8 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHE? Downstream COUNTY MHEE
245.3	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH66	24 Bircular Reinforced Co 2017/05/01 245.3 FT



Total Distance: 245.3

ID Number: COUNTY MH66





Drojoct Information			
			11 005 2420
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PP1)		0-805-3428
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELEK	Customer DO Normalia ar	
Drainage Area		PO Number	
Pipe Segment		Date	2/27/2017 10:30
Stroot	DOWED ST	City	HEDMOSA DEACH
Commente	FOWER 51	City	ΠΕΚΙΝΟΣΑ ΔΕΑCΠ
comments	Мор	holo	
	COUNTY MH08	Rim to invert (U)	
Grade to invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
	COUNTY MH96	Rim to invert (D)	
Grade to invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	D
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	48	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	399.8
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Mi	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	_	Number of Broken /	_
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pad	cp 6	
		Sheet (Group)	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
		/	CITY OF HERMOSA
Work Order		Project	BEACH
		Completed	Yes
		_	
Created with the TPDSMIC report generator			

Date: 2/27/2017 10:30:00 AM Street: POWER ST Length Surveyed: 399.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH68 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH96 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 48

Street: POWER ST

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH68	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH68 Downstream Manhole COUNTY MH68 Manhole COUNTY MH68 Manhole COUNTY MH68 Manhole COUNTY MH68 Curcubar 2017/02/27 D FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH58 Downstream COUNTY MH95 Water Level 52 46 10:82 Sircular Beinformes So 257/02/27 County Solution
376.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH58 DOUNSTREEM POWER ST COUNTY MH58 DOUNSTREEM COUNTY MH95 BDP Factory Active 2 0'clock 48 10:36 Chronian Peinforced Co 376.8 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH POWER ST COUNTY MH68 Downstream COUNTY MH96
385.2	Tap Factory Position: 10 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory 10 O'clock
		48 Girczier Reinforced Co 10:37 2017/02/27 385.2 FT
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH68 Downstream COUNTY MH95
399.8	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH96	Menhold Gounty Mies
		48 Circular Reinforced Co 10:37 2017/02/27 399.8 FT

Pipe

Date: 2/27/2017 10:30:00 AM Street: POWER ST Length Surveyed: 399.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH68 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH96 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Height (Diameter): 48

Street: POWER ST





Project Information				
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428	
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer		
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment		Date	3/2/2017 08:46	
Stroot	16th ST	City	HEDMOSA DEACH	
Comments	1001 51	Oity	HERWOSA DEACH	
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH71	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH73	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
	Pi	pe		
Height (Diameter)	39	Width	72	
Shape	Rectangular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method	C	Pipe Joint Length	1	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	305.4	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Mi	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Alley	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	3	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	1	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	Number		
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Project	BEACH	
		Completed	Vas	
		Completed	1 05	
Created v	Created with the TPDSMC report generator			

Date: 3/2/2017 8:46:00 AM Street: 16th ST Length Surveyed: 305.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH71 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH73 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 3100 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 39

Street: 16th ST

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH71	HERMOSE EBIGH SOUNTY MITS BOUNSY MITS BOUNSSPEED Manhole SOUNTY MITS Manhole SOUNTY MITS BOUNTY MITS
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSE EETGH COUNTY MITTE COUNTY MITTE Dounstreem Water Loool St 39 08:47 Rectangular Reinforced Co 2017/03/02 0 F1
207.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 15	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH71 Downstream COUNTY MH73 COUNTY County County County County County County County Cou

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
207.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 15	HERMOSA BEAGH COUNTY MITTI DOUTSCROEM TED Featory Active S O'clock 39 Rectangular Reinforced Co 2017/012/02
209.6	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 15	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY META Downstream Tap Factory Active 3 O'clock 39 08:52 Rectangular Reinforced Co 2017/03/02 209.6 FT
209.6	Deposits Settled Other Position: 4 To 8 Severity: None Remarks:	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH 7/1 DOWNSTROOM 16th ST COUNTY MH 7/1 DOWNSTROOM 2000NTY MH 73 Deposits Settled Other State 8 O'clock 15/2 39 08:52 Rectangular Reinforced Co 2047/DE2/02 209-6 ET

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BERCH COUNTY MH71 DOUTSBROOM
209.6	Picture Number: 2 Deposits Settled Other Position: 4 To 8	
		39 Reckandular Reinkouded So 08:53 2017/02 209.6 F1
		HERMOSO BEACH (BS) ST COUNTY MH71 DOWNSDREED SOUNTY MH73
305.4	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH73	Matthole SOUNTY MH73
		39 Restancular Roinforced Co 08:56 2017/02/02 E05.4 FT







	Project In	formation	
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428
Pipe Segment		Date	3/1/2017 08:11
Street	VALLEY PARK AV	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments		,	
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH72	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH78	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	60	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	504.2
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Μ	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	1	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures		Holes / Collapse	
Number of Deposits	6	Custom6	
Custom/		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	cp 6	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
Imporial Unita (US)	Travo	Number Brocouro Voluo	0
imperial Units (03)	The	Flessure value	U CITV OF HERMOSA
Work Order		Project	BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	ator

Date: 3/1/2017 8:11:00 AM Street: VALLEY PARK AV Length Surveyed: 504.2

Pipe Segment Reference: **Upstream MH:** COUNTY MH72 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH78 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 2000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 60 Street: VALLEY PARK AV

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH72	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH72 Downstream Wanhole COUNTY MH72 Manhole COUNTY MH72 60 08:13 Circular Reinforced Co
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH72 Downstream COUNTY MH73 COUNTY MH72 Downstream COUNTY MH73 COUNTY MH72 COUNTY
41.2	Deposits Settled Other Position: 5 To 7 Severity: None Remarks:	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH72 Downstream Deposits Settled Star S To T S'sless Sz 60 08:15 Deposits Settled Star S To T S'sless Sz 60 03:15 Deposits Settled Star Sz Sz Sz Sz Sz Sz Sz Sz Sz Sz Sz Sz Sz

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
54.1	Deposits Settled Other Position: 6 Severity: None Remarks: ROCK	HERMOSA BEACH Downstream HERMOSA BEACH Downstream Deposite Sobbled Star 3 9°eloca Soft Soft Soft Benosite Soft Soft Soft Soft Soft Soft Soft Soft
59.5	Deposits Settled Other Position: 5 To 7 Severity: None Cont Defect: S01 Remarks:	HERMOSA DEACH COUNTY MHT2 DOUTSTREED Deposits Southed Schor 5 to 7 3° sloss Sti Sti EB ESET7 Circular 2017/03/01 Reinforsed So SS.5 PT
167.2	Deposits Settled Other Position: 5 To 7 Severity: None Cont Defect: F01 Remarks:	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHT2 Downstream Deposits Southed Subar 5 to 7 30 eloca Exc Data 60 Data 2017/03/01 Relationed 80 Stats Pt

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
276.9	Deposits Settled Other Position: 5 To 7 Severity: None Cont Defect: S02 Remarks:	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH72 Downstream Downstream Devosus Sectled Acter stor Disical St Devosus Sectled Acter stor Disical St Disical St Disical St
281.4	Tap Break-in Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 8	HERMOSA BEAGU BOUNTY MUTZ BOUNSGROUN GOUNTY MUTS TEP Break-in Active 2 0'clock
		Color Color Reinforced Co Color 2017/03/01 281.4 FT
503.9	Deposits Settled Other Position: 5 To 7 Severity: None Cont Defect: F02 Remarks:	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHY2 Domstream Deposits South of Star 5 To 7 Staloan SZ F02 60 08:34 Circular 2017/03/01 Reinforced Co

HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH72 DOURSBROOM COUNTY	
504.2 Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH78	ARK AV Y MH78 ERNole Y MH78 Ced Co 4.2 FT

Date: 3/1/2017 8:11:00 AM **Pipe Segment Reference:** Severity Light Street: VALLEY PARK AV **Upstream MH: COUNTY MH72** Downstream MH: COUNTY MH78 Length Surveyed: 504.2 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 2000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Height (Diameter): 60 Severe Pipe Street: VALLEY PARK AV





Moderate Average Heavy



	Project In	formation	
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428
Pipe Segment		Date	3/2/2017 09:04
Street	16th ST	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments		,	
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH73	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY OUT4	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	39	Width	72
Shape	Rectangular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	148.8
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	M	ISC	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Alley
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures		Holes / Collapse	
Number of Deposits	1	Custom6	
Custom/		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	cp 6	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
Imporial Unite (US)	True	Nulliper Prossure Value	0
imperial Units (03)	llue	Flessule value	U CITY OF HERMOSA
Work Order		Project	BEACH
		Completed	No
Created with the TPOSME report generator			

Date: 3/2/2017 9:04:00 AM Street: 16th ST Length Surveyed: 148.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH73 **Downstream MH: COUNTY OUT4** Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 3100 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 39

Street: 16th ST

Distance **Fault Observation** Picture HERMOSA BEACH 16th ST BOUNTY OUT4 COUNTY MHTS Dounstream Manhole Manhole Severity: None 0.0 COUNTY MH73 **Remarks: COUNTY MH73** 39 09:19 Rectangular Reinforced Co 2017/03//02 0 F.T 16th ST BOUNTY OUT4 HERMOSA BERGE COUNTY METE Counstream Water Level Water Level 0.0 Severity: None Rectangular Reinforced Co 2017/03/02 0 FT 39 09:19 HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY METS Downstream COUNTY OUT4 **Deposits Settled Other** Beposits Settled Other Position: 4 To 8 148.7 Severity: None **Remarks:** tangular Reinforced Co 09:34 148-7-FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH 16th ST GOUNTY MH78 DOURSTROOM COUNTY OUT4
148.8	Abandoned Survey Severity: None Remarks: DUE TO HEAVY AMOUNT OF DEBRIS	Abandoned Survey DJE TO DIALY AMOUNT OF DEBRIS 39 09:35 Rectangular Reinforced Co 2017/03/02 148.8 FT

Date: 3/2/2017 9:04:00 AM Street: 16th ST Length Surveyed: 148.8

Pipe Segment Reference: **Upstream MH: COUNTY MH73 Downstream MH: COUNTY OUT4** Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 3100 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Height (Diameter): 39

Pipe

Street: 16th ST





Dreiget Information			
			11 005 2420
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PP1)	Certificate Number	0-805-3428
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELEK	Customer DO Number	
Drainage Area		PONUMBER	
Pipe Segment		Date	3/1/2017 11:38
Stroot	ADDMODE AVE	City	HEDMOSA DEACH
Commente	ANDIVIONE AVE	City	ΠΕΚΙΝΟΣΑ ΔΕΑCΠ
comments	Мор	holo	
	COUNTY MH/4	Rim to invert (U)	
Grade to invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
	COUNTY MH/9	Rim to invert (D)	
Grade to invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	D
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	48	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	421.9
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Mi	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	3	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	_	Number of Broken /	_
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pad	cp 6	
		Sheet (Group)	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
		/	CITY OF HERMOSA
Work Order		Project	BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created with the TPDSM® report generator			

Date: 3/1/2017 11:38:00 AM Street: ARDMORE AVE Length Surveyed: 421.9

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH74 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH79 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 48

Street: ARDMORE AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
	Markala	HERMOSE DECSH GOUNTY MUTA DOULSGROOM COUNTY MUTS
0.0	Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH74	Manhole COUNTY MH74
		48 Gincular Reinforced Co 11:40 2017/03/01 0 FT
		HERMOSA DEACH COUNTY MITS DOURSGROEN COUNTY MITS
0.0	Water Level	Water Level
	Severity: None	SZ SZ
		48 Streuter Beinforsed So 11:40 2017/03/01 0 PT
		HERMOSA BERCH COUNTY MHTA DOWNSEPCOM COUNTY MH79
372.5	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory Retrue 2 3'slock
		48 Bireclar Reinforced Co 11:47 2017/02/01 372:5 PT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
404.4	Tap Factory Active Position: 10 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH74 DOWESTFORM ARDMORE AVE COUNTY MH74 TEP PEGSORY Active S0 0°clock
		48 Circular Reinforced Co 11:48 2017/03/01 404.4 FT
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH74 Downstream COUNTY MH79
421.9	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 4	Tap Factory Active 2 O'clock
		48 01Fcular Reinforced Co 11:51 2017/03/01 421.9 FT
		HERMOSO BLOOM COUNLY MUVA Downstream COUNTY MH79
421.9	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH79	Manhole COUNTY MH79
		48 Circular Reinforced Co 11:51 2017/03/01 421.9 FT



(404.4) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 10 Size: 18

ID Number: COUNTY MH79

18

(421.9) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 2 Size: 4

(421.9) - Manhole Remark: COUNTY MH79

Total Distance: 421.9



Ducie et Information					
			11 005 0 100		
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PP1)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428		
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer			
Drainage Area		PO Number			
Pipe Segment		Date	3/1/2017 11:26		
Reference		0:4			
Street	ARDMORE AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH		
Comments	Мою				
	nam Man	inole			
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH75	Rim to Invert (U)			
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)			
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH74	Rim to Invert (D)			
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)			
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream		
Pipe					
Height (Diameter)	48	Width			
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe		
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length			
Total Length		Length Surveyed	554.9		
Year Laid		Year Renewed			
	Μ	isc			
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label			
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category			
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned			
Weather	Drv	Location Code	Light Highway		
Additional Info	5	Location Details	8 8 9		
	Cus	stom			
Number of Taps	4	Number of Roots	0		
Num Cracks /	1	Number of Broken /	0		
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0		
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6			
Custom7	•	Struct Grade			
OM Grade		Overall Grade			
	Par	cn 6			
		Sheet (Group)			
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0		
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0		
	IIue		CITY OF HERMOSA		
Work Order		Project	BEACH		
		Completed	Yes		
Created v	with the POS	M report genera	ator		

Date: 3/1/2017 11:26:00 AM Street: ARDMORE AVE Length Surveyed: 554.9

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH75 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH74 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 48

Street: ARDMORE AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH75	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH75 Downstream Manhol@ COUNTY MH75 Manhol@ COUNTY MH75 Bounstream Manhol@ COUNTY MH75 COUNTY MH75
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH75 Downstream Water Lovel 22 48 11:27 Reinformed Se 2017/03/21 Reinformed Se 2017/03/21
10.6	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH75 DOURSTREAM ARDMORE AVE SOUNTY MH75 Tap Factory festive S 0781833 48 11:27 Circuler Balafarsed Sc 2017/03/01 10:5 FU
Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
----------	---	--
40.3	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH75 Bownstream COUNTY MH74 Tap Factory Active 2 0'clock
		48 <u>Gircular</u> Reinforced Co 11:28 2019/03/01 40.3 FT
	Tap Factory Active	Tap Bactory Octore
73.7	Severity: None Size: 39	9 0°slock
		11:50 2017/20/01 75.7 Ft
		HERMOSA BEACH DOULSAPGEM BOUNTY MH75
	Tan Factory Active	la silita
153.1	Position: 9 Severity: None	Tap Factory Activa 2 S'elega
	Size: 27	
		48 Cincular Retaforesd Go 11:31 2017/03/01 SEE.S PT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH75 Downstream GOUNLY MH74
554.9	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH74	Manhold GOUNIY MH74
		48 Circular Reinforced Co 11:38 2017/03/01 554.9 FT





	Project In	formation	
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer	
Drainage Area		PO Number	
Pipe Segment		Date	3/2/2017 08:28
Stroot	16th ST	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments	1001 51	Oity	HERWOSA DEACH
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH76	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH71	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	pe	
Height (Diameter)	39	Width	72
Shape	Rectangular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method	0	Pipe Joint Length	•
Total Length		Length Surveyed	328.4
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Μ	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Alley
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	0	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	v	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	ср 6	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
Immerial Unite (UC)	т		0
imperial Units (05)	True	Pressure value	U CITY OF HEDMOSA
Work Order		Project	BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	itor

Date: 3/2/2017 8:28:00 AM Street: 16th ST Length Surveyed: 328.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH76 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH71 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 39

Street: 16th ST

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH76	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH76 Downstream COUNTY MH71 Manhole COUNTY MH76 Manhole COUNTY MH76 Sector County MH76 B: 31
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA EBAGH COUNTY MEYS BOUNSGREEN Water Level 52 39- 08-81 Rectangular Reinforced Co 2057/03/02
328.4	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH71	HERMOSA BERGE COUNTY MERS BOUNSERGEN MERAOLO BOUNTY MERS MERAOLO BOUNTY MERS 39 Rectangular Rainforced Co 2017/03/02 28.4 FT

Pipe

Date: 3/2/2017 8:28:00 AMPipe Segment Reference:Street: 16th STUpstream MH: COUNTY MH76Length Surveyed: 328.4Downstream MH: COUNTY MH71Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000Direction of Survey: DownstreamHeight (Diameter): 39Material: Reinforced Concrete



Street: 16th ST





	Proiect In	formation	
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer	
Drainage Area		PO Number	
Pipe Segment Reference		Date	3/2/2017 06:57
Street	EASEMENT	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments		-	
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH77	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH76	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream
	Pi	pe	1
Height (Diameter)	72	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	1
Total Length		Length Surveyed	1090.6
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Mi	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of Way
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	1	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	ср 6	
Poverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
	0	Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created v	with the POS	M report genera	itor

Date: 3/2/2017 6:57:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 1090.6

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH77 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH76 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 72

Street: EASEMENT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH76	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH77 Upstream Manhole COUNTY MH78 Manhole COUNTY MH76 Manhole COUNTY MH76 COUNTY MH76 COUNTY MH76 COUNTY MH76 COUNTY MH76 COUNTY MH76 COUNTY MH76 COUNTY MH76 COUNTY MH77 COUNTY MH78 COUNTY MH78
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEAGH COUNTY MH77 UDSGFGGE GSUNTY METS Hater Lovel 22 72 07:00 Circular Saleforded So D FD
1,082.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 60	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH77 UPStream EASEMENT COUNTY MH77 Tap Factory Retive B 0°Glock 2017/03/02 Reinforced Co 1082 F1

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BERGE EASEMENT COUNTY METTE COUNTY METE
1,090.6	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH77	MERIAGIG SCUNTY METO
		72 Circular Reinforced Co 07:21 2017/03/02 1090.7 FT







	Project In	formation	
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428
Pipe Segment		Date	3/1/2017 08:56
Street	VALLEY PARK AV	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments		•	
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH78	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH77	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	60	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	239.8
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Μ	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	°	Holes / Collapse	Ū
Number of Deposits	2	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	ср 6	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
·	The second se	Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	Irue	Pressure value	
Work Order		Project	BEACH
		Completed	No
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	ator

Date: 3/1/2017 8:56:00 AM Street: VALLEY PARK AV Length Surveyed: 239.8

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH78 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH77 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 2J00 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 60

Street: VALLEY PARK AV

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH78	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH78 Downstream Manhole COUNTY MH78 Manhole COUNTY MH78 Manhole COUNTY MH78 Manhole COUNTY MH78 COUNTY MH78 COUNTY MH78 COUNTY MH78 COUNTY MH78 Manhole COUNTY MH78 COUNTY MH78 Manhole COUNTY MH78 COUNTY MH78 COUNTY MH78 COUNTY MH78 Manhole COUNTY MH78 COUNTY MH78
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSE EETGH SOUNTY MEVE Downstream Weter Lavel 102 60 08:58 Cricular 2017/03/01 Reinforced Co
0.0	Deposits Settled Other Position: 5 To 7 Severity: None Cont Defect: S01 Remarks:	HERMOSE EETSH SOUNTY MEYS Deposits Settled Other 5 to 7 0'sleet 107 S01 50 08:58 CArcular 2017/03/01 Reinforced Co

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
186.6	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 4	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH78 DOWNSGROOM VALLEY PARK AV SOUNTY MH78 Tep Festory fattog S S Glock
		60 GIPEILEP Bettforeed Co 09:02 2017/08/01 185.5 FT
210.6	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BERGH COUNTY MERS DOURSTREEM URLLEY PARK AV SOUNTY MH77 Cap Factory Active 2 0° clock 2 0° clock Carcular Carcular Carforced Co 210.5 FT
239.8	Deposits Settled Other Position: 5 To 7 Severity: None Cont Defect: F01 Remarks:	HERMOSE ERTOR COUNTY MEYS Deposits Settled Other S To 7 0 clock 102 F01 50 Derosits Settled Other S To 7 0 clock 102 F01 50 Derosits Settled Other S To 7 0 clock

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSE BERGE COURSEPORD VALLEY PORK AV
239.8	Abandoned Survey Severity: None Remarks: DUE TO HEAVY AMOUNTS OF SAND	Abandoned Survey CUE TO HEAVY AMOUNTS OF SAND 50 09:18 Circular 20:47/03/01 Reinforced Co 20:47/03/01

Date: 3/1/2017 8:56:00 AM	Pipe Segment Reference:	Severity
Street: VALLEY PARK AV	Upstream MH: COUNTY MH78	Light
Length Surveyed: 239.8	Downstream MH: COUNTY MH77	Moderate
Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 2J0	00 Direction of Survey: Downstream	Average
Height (Diameter): 60	Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe	Heavy Severe
Street: VALLEY PARK AV		





Project Information						
Surveyor Name Owner	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer	U-805-3428			
Drainage Area		PO Number				
Pipe Segment Reference		Date	3/2/2017 05:45			
Street	EASEMENT	City	HERMOSA BEACH			
Comments						
	Man	hole				
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH79	Rim to Invert (U)				
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)				
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH77	Rim to Invert (D)				
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)				
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream			
	Pi	ре				
Height (Diameter)	51	Width				
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe			
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length				
Total Length		Length Surveyed	444.7			
Year Laid		Year Renewed				
	Mi	isc				
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label				
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category				
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned				
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of Way			
Additional Info		Location Details				
	Cus	stom				
Number of Taps	3	Number of Roots	0			
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0			
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0			
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6				
Custom7		Struct Grade				
OM Grade		Overall Grade				
	Pag	ср 6				
Bayaraa Catum ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0			
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0			
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0			
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH			
	Completed Yes					
Created with the TPDSMC report generator						

Date: 3/2/2017 5:45:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 444.7

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH79 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH77 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 51

Street: EASEMENT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH79	HERMOSA BEASE COUNTY MITS Downstream Manhole COUNTY MH77 Manhole COUNTY MH79 St DS:52 Circular 2017/03/02 BEASEMENT COUNTY MH77
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEAGH COUNTY MHTS Downstream Water Level EX St Sipsise Sipsise Signal or Reinforced So Sis FT
5.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 10 Severity: None Size: 8	HERMOSA BEASH COUNTY MH79 ECURSEPeam COUNTY MH77 EP Factory Retive 10 0'clock 51 05:53 Bircular Reinforced Co 5.7 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
41.9	Tap Break-in Position: 12 Severity: None Size: 8	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH79 Downstream COUNTY MH79 COUNTY COUN
422.9	Tap Break-in Position: 10 Severity: None Size: 8	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH79 Dounstercam Tap Breab-In 20 9'alock
444.7	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH77	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHTS DOUTSERCOM SOUNTY MHTS DOUTSERCOM Manbolg COUNTY MHTS St COUNTY MHTS St County MHTS County MHTS St County MHTS County MHTS St County MHTS County MHTS





Project Information						
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428			
Pipe Segment		Date	3/7/2017 09:46			
Street	14th ST	Citv	HERMOSA BEACH			
Comments		,				
	Man	hole				
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH81	Rim to Invert (U)				
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)				
Downstream MH	36in MAIN	Rim to Invert (D)				
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)				
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream			
	Pi	ре				
Height (Diameter)	24	Width				
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe			
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length				
Total Length		Length Surveyed	221.4			
Year Laid		Year Renewed				
	Μ	isc				
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label				
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category				
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned				
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway			
Additional Info		Location Details				
	Cus	stom				
Number of Taps	1	Number of Roots	0			
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0			
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0			
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6				
Custom7		Struct Grade				
OM Grade		Overall Grade				
	Pac	ср 6				
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0			
	T	Number	0			
Imperial Units (US)	Irue	Pressure value				
Work Order		Project	BEACH			
	Completed Yes					
Created with the TPDSMC report generator						

Date: 3/7/2017 9:46:00 AM Street: 14th ST Length Surveyed: 221.4

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH81 Downstream MH: 36in MAIN Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: 14th ST

Distance	Fault Observation		Picture	
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MHB1	Courstreen	14th ST ESUN MRIN
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH81			Manhole COUNTY MH81
		24 09:47	Clecular 2017/03/07	Reinforced Co D FT
		HERMOSA BEACH County MH81	Dourstroam	14th ST Bein Mein
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	RI		Cegar Lavel Cz
		24 09:47	Circular 2017/03/07	Reinforced Co D FT
		HERMOST DETER	Courstroom	1405 ST BBLD MAIN
212.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18		Tep	FEGGORY Cative E S'Glock
		24 09:51	61F6018F 2017/03/07	Reinforced Co 212.7 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSE BETCH SARD ST COUNTY MISE COUNSGROOM SSID MEIN
221.4	End of Pipe Severity: None Remarks: 36in MAIN	End of Pipe Son Main
		24 Girapler Reinforced Co 09:52 2017/08/07 221.4 FT







Project Information						
Surveyor Name Owner	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428			
Drainage Area	Sellin a willella	PO Number				
Pipe Segment		Date	2/22/2017 10:02			
Stroot	2rd STDEET	City	HEDMOSA DEACH			
Comments	JUSTREET	Oity	HERMOSA DEACH			
	Man	hole				
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH82	Rim to Invert (U)				
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)				
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH101	Rim to Invert (D)				
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)				
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream			
	Pi	De				
Height (Diameter)	30	Width				
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe			
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			
Total Length		Length Surveyed	294.8			
Year Laid		Year Renewed				
	Mi	isc				
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label				
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category				
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned				
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway			
Additional Info		Location Details				
	Cus	stom				
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0			
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0			
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0			
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6				
Custom7		Struct Grade				
OM Grade		Overall Grade				
	Pac	ср 6				
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0			
	•	Number	•			
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0			
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH			
	Completed Yes					
Created with the TPDSMC report generator						

Project: CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH Pipe Segment Reference:

Date: 2/22/2017 10:02:00 AM Street: 3rd STREET

Length Surveyed: 294.8

Upstream MH: COUNTY MH82 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH101 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 30

Street: 3rd STREET

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH82	HERMOSA BEASE COUNTY MISS DOMISSIOSE Manhole COUNTY MH82 30 10:03 Circular 2017/02/22 Circular Circular
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEASI COUNTY MILES DOMESTICON Redor Loval SZ 30 10:04 Circular 2017/02/22 Redoforeed 66 D FT
8.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH82 Downstream Petervy Relion BUNTY MH101 In Petervy Relion B D'alock B D'alock B D'alock B D'alock B D'alock B D'alock B D'alock B D'alock B D'alock B D'alock

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture		
54.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH SOUNTY MH22 DOURSTROOM SOUNTY MH101 Ter Persony Roting E. 0'61065		
		80 Circular Reinforced Co 10:05 2017/02/22 54 FT		
294.8	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH101	HERMOSA BEAGH COUNTY MISS Dounstream Manhole COUNTY MID1		
		30 Gircular Reinforced Co 10:10 2017/02/22 294.8 FT		

Project: CITY OF	HERMOSA BEACH	
Date: 2/22/2017 10:02:00 AM Street: 3rd STREET	Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH82	Severity
Length Surveyed: 294.8	Downstream MH: COUNTY MH101	Moderate Average
Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 000	D Direction of Survey: Downstream	Heavy
Height (Diameter): 30	Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe	Severe
Street: 3rd STREET		
	1.223	





Project Information					
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428		
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer			
Drainage Area		PO Number			
Pipe Segment		Dete	216120120251		
Reference		Date	3/6/2017 07:51		
Street	GOULD AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH		
Comments					
Manhole					
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH95	Rim to Invert (U)			
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)			
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH55	Rim to Invert (D)			
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)			
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream		
	P	ipe			
Height (Diameter)	24	Width			
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe		
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length			
Total Length		Length Surveyed	325 3		
Year Laid		Year Renewed			
	Μ	isc			
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label			
Purnose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category			
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned			
l lo olouinig			Main Highway -		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Suburban/Rural		
Additional Info		Location Details			
	Cus	stom			
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0		
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0		
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0		
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6			
Custom7		Struct Grade			
OM Grade		Overall Grade			
	Pac	ср 6			
Roverse Setun ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0		
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0		
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA		
			BEACH		
		Completed	Vac		
		Completed	1 68		
Created with the report generator					

Date: 3/6/2017 7:51:00 AM Street: GOULD AVE Length Surveyed: 325.3

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH95 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH55 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: GOULD AVE

Distance	Fault Observation		Picture	
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH55	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH95	Upstream	GOULD AVE COUNTY MH55 Manhole COUNTY MH55
		24 07:53	Circular 2017/03/06	Reinforced Co O FT
		HERMOST BETRE BOUNTY MILES	Upstreen	evil Clucs Sein Trucs
0.0	Water Level Severity: None			Weber Level Ez
		24 07:53	Circular 2017/03/05	Reisforded 33 S FT
182.2	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	NERACEA ERISA	Upstrom Tap	PEGGORY AGGING E O'GIOGS
		24 07:58	Circular 2017/03/06	Reinforced Co 182-2 FT

Distance	Fault Observation		Picture	
		HERMORA BEACH SCUNTY MINES	Upstream	COULD AVE COUNTY MASS
218.3	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18		Tap	Factory Active 8 0°clock
		86 07:59	Circular 2017/03/06	Reinforced Co 218.3 FT
		HERE RECENCED	Upstroen	GOULD AVE COUNTY MESS
325.3	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH95	24 DE: 51	Gircular 2017/03/06	Manhole COUNTY MH95 Reinforced Co 325.3 FT

Pipe

Date: 3/6/2017 7:51:00 AM Street: GOULD AVE Length Surveyed: 325.3

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH95 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH55 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Street: GOULD AVE

Height (Diameter): 24





Project Information			
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428
Pipe Segment		Date	3/1/2017 07:44
Street	POWER ST	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments		.,	
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH96	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH72	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	60	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	473.3
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	Μ	isc	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Light Highway
Additional Info		Location Details	
	Cus	stom	
Number of Taps	3	Number of Roots	0
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	3	Custom6	
Custom7		Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	cp 6	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0
		Number	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	
Work Order		Project	BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created v	vith the POS	M 🖻 report genera	itor

Date: 3/1/2017 7:44:00 AM Street: POWER ST Length Surveyed: 473.3

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH96 **Downstream MH:** COUNTY MH72 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 2700 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 60 Street: POWER ST

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH72	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH98 Downstream COUNTY MH72 Manhole COUNTY MH72
		60 Circular Reinforced Co 07:46 2017/03/01 0 FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH98 Downstream COUNTY MH72 Weter Level 52 83 Circular Reinforced Co D7:67 Level Level 52
129.6	Deposits Settled Compacted Position: 6 Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH95 Downstream BOUNTY MH72 Depos SE SESSIES Sompacted S 3ºclock 10% 60 07:49 CLIGITER BELEFORCED Co 2017/09/01 BELEFORCED Co

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
129.6	Picture Number: 2 Deposits Settled Compacted Position: 6	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MISS DOUTISTREED COUNTY MIT2
		07:45 2017/03/01 129:5 FT
241.1	Tap Factory Active Position: 10 Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH96 Downstream COUNTY MH72 Ter Factory Rative 12 Protock
	Size: 4	
		60 Circular Reinforced Co 07:52 2017/03/01 241.1 FT
		HERMOSA BEACH POWER ST COUNTY MH95 Downstream COUNTY MH72
268.8	Deposits Settled Other Position: 5 To 7 Severity: None Cont Defect: S01 Remarks:	Deposits Sobbled Schar 5 To 7 Staleds SEL 50 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH POWER ST COUNTY MH96 DownStream COUNTY MH72
299.4	Deposits Settled Other Position: 5 To 7 Severity: None Cont Defect: F01 Remarks:	Deposits Settled Other 5 To 7 D'Glock 10% FOI
		60Circular Reinforced Co 07:54 _ 2017/03/01 299.4 FT
		HERMOSA BEACH POWER ST COUNTY MH96 Downstream COUNTY MH72
386.1	Tap Factory Active Position: 10 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory Active 10 0°clock
		60 <u>Circular</u> Reinforced Co 07:56 2017/08/01 386.1 FT
		HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH96 Downstream COUNTY MH72
429.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 2 Severity: None Size: 18	Ter Fectory Active 2 0'elock
		60 61 CIRCULER Reinforced Co 07:57 2017/03/01 429.7 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEAGE POWER ST COUNTY MHEB BOURSEPSEE GOUNTY MH72
473.3	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH96	Manhole CQUNTY MH96
		60 Circular Reinforced Co 07:59 2017/03/01 473.3 FT
Pipe

Date: 3/1/2017 7:44:00 AM Street: POWER ST Length Surveyed: 473.3

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH96 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH72 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 2700 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete



Height (Diameter): 60

Street: POWER ST







Project Information				
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428	
Pipe Segment		Date	3/6/2017 09:40	
Street	GOULD AVE	Citv	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments	000221112	,		
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	COUNTY MH97	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH57	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	24	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	316.9	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Μ	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Main Highway - Urban	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	-	Holes / Collapse	•	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
Imporial Unite (US)	Trave	Number Brocoure Value	0	
imperial Units (05)	True	Pressure value	U CITV OF HEDMOSA	
Work Order		Project	BEACH	
		Completed	Yes	
Created with the TPDSMC report generator				

Date: 3/6/2017 9:40:00 AM Street: GOULD AVE Length Surveyed: 316.9

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH97 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH57 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: GOULD AVE

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH97	HERMOSA BEAGH COUNTY MIST BOURSGROED Manhole COUNTY MH97
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 09:42 2017/03/06 0 FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEAST COUNTY MIST Domestraem Meter Level 52 24 09:42 Circular 2017/03/06 Reinforced 60 0 FT
181.5	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	Intraces enset Bounstreen COULS AU Sounty Miley Bounstreen Sounty Miley Orar Factory Active 9 0°Glock 24 Circular Reinforced Co 24 2017/03/06 Reinforced Co

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
	Tap Factory Active	HERMOSA BERGE COUNTY MH97 BOURSERCON COUNTY MH57
305.1	Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory Action 3 0'GioGa
		24 Circular Reinforced Co 09:48 2017/03/06 305.1 FT
		HERMOSE ERGE GOULD AVE COUNTY MEST
316.9	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH57	Manhole COUNTY MH57
		24 Gircular Reinforced Co 03:48 2017/03/06 316.9 FT

Created with the TPDSM® report generator







Project Information				
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428	
Pipe Segment Reference		Date	2/27/2017 07:04	
Street Comments	EASEMENT	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
ooninients	Man	holo		
Linstroam MH	COUNTV MH00	Rim to Invert (II)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH68	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	45	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	309.1	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Μ	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of Way	
Additional Info		Location Details		
Custom				
Number of Taps	4	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks / Fractures	0	Number of Broken / Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group) Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH	
		Completed	Yes	
Created with the TPDSMC report generator				

Date: 2/27/2017 7:04:00 AM Street: EASEMENT Length Surveyed: 309.1

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: COUNTY MH99 Downstream MH: COUNTY MH68 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 45

Street: EASEMENT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH68	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH99 Upstream Manhole EOUNEY MH68 45 08:53 Circular 2017/02/27 Reinforced Co
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSE BERGI SOUNTY MISS Destroam Meter Lovei 32 45 08:55 Circular Beinforsed 30 D FI
15.1	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTY MH99 Upstream COUNTY MH68 Tap Factory Action 3 0°Clock 45 08:54 Strepler BainCorced Co 15.1 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture		
24.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 30	HERMOSA BEACH COUNTERNIESDLTAIDETream COUNTY MISS Tap Factory Rector B 2°GTOCK		
		45		
		HERMOSA BERGI COUNTY MHEE UPStream COUNTY MHEE		
40.6	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	Tap Factory Relive 9 0'elect		
		45 Offenler Settforsed Sc 09:08 2007/02/27 40.3 FT		
		HERMOSE BERGH COUNTY MILLS UPPErson BOUNTY MILLS		
309.1	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	Ter Beatery fattua - 8 0'alada		
		45 Circular Reinforced Co 09:12 2017/02/27 309.1 FT		

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH ERSEMENT COUNTY MH99 Upstream COUNTY MH68
309.1	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH99	Manhole COUNTY MH99
		45 Circular Reinforced Co 09:13 2017/02/27 309.1 FT

Created with the **PDSM** report generator





Created with the PDSMC report generator



	Project In	normation		
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428	
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer		
Drainage Area		PO Number		
Pipe Segment		Date	3/1/2017 09:47	
Reference		.		
Street	EASEMENT	City	HERMOSA BEACH	
Comments				
	Man	hole		
Upstream MH	INLET	Rim to Invert (U)		
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)		
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH139	Rim to Invert (D)		
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)		
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Upstream	
	Pi	ре		
Height (Diameter)	30	Width		
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length		
Total Length		Length Surveyed	275.8	
Year Laid		Year Renewed		
	Mi	isc		
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label		
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category		
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned		
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Easement/Right of Way	
Additional Info		Location Details		
	Cus	stom		
Number of Taps	2	Number of Roots	0	
Num Cracks /	0	Number of Broken /	0	
Fractures	0	Holes / Collapse	0	
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6		
Custom7		Struct Grade		
OM Grade		Overall Grade		
	Pac	ср 6		
	0	Sheet (Group)	0	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0	
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0	
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA	
work Order		Project	BEACH	
		Completed	Yes	
	~			
Created with the TPDSMIE report generator				

Date: 3/1/2017 9:47:00 AM Street: EASEMENT

Length Surveyed: 275.8

Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Direction of Survey: Upstream

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: INLET Downstream MH: COUNTY MH139 Direction of Survey: Upstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Height (Diameter): 30

Street: EASEMENT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY MH139	HERMOSA BEACH INLET UPSERGED GOUNTY MAILES Membolic COUNTY MAILES 30 09:49 Circular 2017/03/01 Reinforced Co
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSA BEACH INLET UPSCREAT Water Level 52 30 99:49 Circular 2017/03/01 Reinforced Co 0 F1
7.8	Tap Factory Capped Position: 11 Severity: None Size: 8	HERMOSA BERGH INLET DESGROEE DOUNTY MH139 DES Festers Sepred St O'slock 30 09:50 Streplar Reinforced Co 7.8 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
175.4	General Observation Severity: None Remarks: COUNTY IN20	HERMOSA BEIGH INLET DESCROET SOUNTY MH139 Centeral Subservation SOUNTY IN20 30 09:53 Chronier Sainforced Co 175.4 FT
184.8	Tap Factory Active Position: 10 Severity: None Size: 8	HERMOSA BEACH INLET UPStream COUNTY MH135 TEP Bactory Active 10 0°clock
		30 Circular Reinforced Co 09:54 2017/03/01 184.8 FT HERMOST BETON ERSEMENT INLET UPSCROEM OCUNTY MINES
275.8	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: INLET	EU BU BU BU BU BU BU BU BU BU BU BU BU BU

Created with the **PDSM** report generator

Date: 3/1/2017 9:47:00 AM	Pipe Segment Reference:	Severity
Street: EASEMENT	Upstream MH: INLET	Light
Length Surveyed: 275.8	Downstream MH: COUNTY MH139	Moderate
Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000	Direction of Survey: Upstream	Heavy
Height (Diameter): 30	Material: Reinforced Concrete	Severe
Street: EASEMENT		





Project Information			
Surveyor Name	JACKSON NGO (PPT)	Certificate Number	U-805-3428
Owner	SCHAAF & WHEELER	Customer	
Drainage Area		PO Number	
Pipe Segment		Data	2/12/2017 09.24
Reference		Date	5/15/2017 08.54
Street	PIER AVE	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments			
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	MH E/O HERMOSA AVE	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U)		Rim to Grade (U)	
Downstream MH	COUNTY MH51	Rim to Invert (D)	
Grade to Invert (D)		Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter)	18	Width	
Shape	Circular	Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method		Pipe Joint Length	
Total Length		Length Surveyed	131.3
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	M	ISC	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	
weather	Dry	Location Code	Main Highway - Urban
	0	Location Details	
	Cus	Stom Number of Boots	0
Number of Taps	5	Number of Roots	0
Num Gracks /	0	Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Denosite	0	Custom6	
Custom7	0	Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	cn 6	
		Sheet (Group)	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created with the TPDSM report generator			

Date: 3/13/2017 8:34:00 AM

Street: PIER AVE

Length Surveyed: 131.3 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Height (Diameter): 18 Street: PIER AVE

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: MH E/O HERMOSA AVE

Downstream MH: COUNTY MH51 **Direction of Survey:** Downstream **Material:** Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: MH E/O HERMOSA AVE	HERMOSA BEACH MH E/O HERMO Downstream COUNTY MHS1 Manhole Manhole MH E/O HERMOSA AVE
		18 Circular Reinforced Co 08:35 2017/03/13 0 FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOSE EINSH ME 1/2 HERMO Rounstreen County Misi Water Level 52
		18 Stroular Reinforced Co 08:35 2017/03/13 0 FT
25.5	General Observation Severity: None Remarks: MANHOLE EAST OF HERMOSA AVE (COUNTY IN 95)	HERMOSA BEACH MH E/O HERMO BOURSGREAD COUNTY MH51 General Observation

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
25.5	Shape or Size Change Severity: None Size: 24 Remarks: MAINLINE TIE IN	HERMOSA BERGH MH EVO LEITHO DOURSTROOM SOUNTY MH51 Shape of Size Ghange MRINLINE TIE IN
		18 Cincular Reinforced Co 08:45 2017/03/13 25.5 FT
27.2	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH MH E/O HERMO DOURSBROAM COUNTY MHS1 TEP FEGDORY Active B O'clock
		16 OFFEIEF Settforced Co 08:45 SCS7/SE/SE 27.2 FT
	Tan Factory Activo	HERMOST BEASH MI EVO HERMO DOURSTROEM SCUNTY MIST
43.2	Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 24	Tap Feetowy Cottog 2 D'eloca
		18 Gircular Goinforced Co 38:48 2017/05/18 48.2 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
58.3	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 21	HERMOSE BERGE MI E/O HERMO DOURSERCEM SOUNTY MISS TEP FERSORY ARSING E O'GLOCH
		18 Giraular Reinforced Co 08:51 2017/08/18 58.3 FT
	Tap Factory Active	HERMOSA BEACH MH E/O HERMO Downstream COUNTY MH51
81.4	Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 4	18 Clincular Reinforced Co
		08:52 2017/03/13 81.4 FT
87.7	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH MH EZO HERMO Downstream COUNTY MHS1 Tap Peakorw Catico E O'Glock
		18 Girevier Boinfored Go 08:52 SC17/08/18 DT.7 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH PIER AVE MH E/O HERMO Downstream COUNTY MH51
131.3	End of Pipe Severity: None Remarks: MAINLINE 48in	End of Pipe MAINLINE 48in
		18 Circular Reinforced Co 08:54 2017/03/13 131.3 FT

Created with the TPDSMC report generator

Date: 3/13/2017 8:34:00 AM

Street: PIER AVE

Length Surveyed: 131.3 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Height (Diameter): 18 Street: PIER AVE Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: MH E/O HERMOSA AVE

Downstream MH: COUNTY MH51 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe



	C		ID Number: MH E/O HERMOSA AVE
(0.0) - Manhole Remark: MH E/O HERMOSA AVE		1	
(0.0) - Water Level			
(25.5) - General Observation Remark: MANHOLE EAST OF HERMOSA AVE (COUNTY IN 95) (25.5) - Shape or Size Change Size: 24 Remark: MAINLINE TIE IN			
(27.2) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18			
			(43.2) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 9 Size: 24 (58.3) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 9 Size: 21
(81.4) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 4			
(87.7) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18			
(131.3) - End of Pipe Remark: MAINLINE 48in			
Total Distance: 131.3		0	ID Number: COUNTY MH51
Created with the	5	M	report generator



	Project In	formation	
Surveyor Name Owner Drainage Area	JACKSON NGO (PPT) SCHAAF & WHEELER	Certificate Number Customer PO Number	U-805-3428
Pipe Segment Reference		Date	3/7/2017 06:25
Street	PIER AVE & MONTEREY BLVD	City	HERMOSA BEACH
Comments			
	Man	hole	
Upstream MH	MH WEST ON MONTEREY	Rim to Invert (U)	
Grade to Invert (U) Downstream MH Grade to Invert (D)	COUNTY MH 51	Rim to Grade (U) Rim to Invert (D) Rim to Grade (D)	
Sewer Use	Stormwater	Direction of Survey	Downstream
	Pi	ре	
Height (Diameter) Shape	24 Circular	Width Material	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
Lining Method Total Length		Pipe Joint Length Length Surveyed	595.4
Year Laid		Year Renewed	
	M	SC	
Flow Control	Not Controlled	Media Label	
Purpose	Routine Assessment	Sewer Category	
Pre-Cleaning	No Pre-Cleaning	Date Cleaned	M · H · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1
Weather	Dry	Location Code	Main Highway - Urban
	Cur		
Number of Tape	o Cus	Number of Boots	0
Num Cracks / Fractures	8 0	Number of Broken / Holes / Collapse	0
Number of Deposits	0	Custom6	
Custom7	-	Struct Grade	
OM Grade		Overall Grade	
	Pac	ср 6	
Reverse Setup ID	0	Sheet (Group) Number	0
Imperial Units (US)	True	Pressure Value	0
Work Order		Project	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
		Completed	Yes
Created v	with the POS	M 🖻 report genera	ator

Date: 3/7/2017 6:25:00 AM

Street: PIER AVE & MONTEREY BLVD

Length Surveyed: 595.4 Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000 Height (Diameter): 24 Street: PIER AVE & MONTEREY BLVD Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: MH WEST ON MONTEREY Downstream MH: COUNTY MH 51 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
0.0	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: MH WEST ON MONTEREY	HERMOSA BEACH PIER AVE & MONTEREN MH WEST ON MO Downstream COUNTY MH 55 Manhole MH WEST ON MONTEREY 24 D5:36 Circular Reinforced Co 2017/03/07 D FT
0.0	Water Level Severity: None	HERMOST BETOM PIES AVE & MONTEREY MH WEET ON MO Bourstreem BOUNTY MH 51 Water Level 0% 24 D6:36 Gircular Reinforced Co 2017/03/07 Reinforced Co 0 FT
81.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 4 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH MH WEST ON MO Bounstream Bounstream Barcular Barforced Co 2017/03/07 Bi FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
191.5	Tap Factory Active Position: 8 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOBE BERSH PIER HVE-& MONTGREY ME MEET ON HO Bounstream COUNTY ME SI Tap Factory Active 0-0° clock 24 D5:39 Sircular 2017/05/01 Reinforced Co 191.5 F1
259.4	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH PIER AVE & MONTEREY MH WEST ON MO DOUTSGROOM COUNTY MH 51 Fep Factory Active 3 0'clock 24 D6:40 Birchlar Reinforced Co 2017/08/07 259.4 FT
320.0	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH MH WEST ON MO Downstream COUNTY MH 51 Tap Factory Active 3 0°clock 24 06:42 BIFGILBF ROLLFORCE Co 320 FT

Distance	Fault Observation		Picture
320.0	General Observation Severity: None Remarks: UNMARKED MANHOLE	HERMOSA BERGE MH WEST ON CO	PLES AVE & MONTEREY BOUNSCROEM COUNTY MH 51 General Observation UNMARKED MANHOLE BADEDIAS Respected Co
		06:42	2017/02/07 320 FT
468.2	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH MH WEST ON MC 24 D6:45	PIES AVE & MONTEREY Bounscheen Soundy MH 51 Tap Factory Active 9.0 clock
468.2	General Observation Severity: None Remarks: UNMARKED MANHOLE	HERMOSA BEACH MH WEST ON MO 24 06:47	PIER AVE & MONTEREY DOWNSERGAM COUNTY MH 51 General Observation UNMARKED MANHOLE Clicablar 2017/03/07 Reinforced Co 468.2 FT

Distance	Fault Observation		Picture
		HERMOSA BEACH MH WEST ON MO	PIER AVE & MONIEREY Downstroom COUNTY MH 51
533.4	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18	100	Tep Festery letter E C'eleck
		24 05948	Circular Reinforced Co 2017/02/07 SEE.4 FT
		HERMOSA BECOY MH WEST ON NO	PIER AVE & MONTEREY DOURSTROED COUNTY MH 51
533.5	General Observation Severity: None Remarks: UNMARKED MANHOLE		General Observation UNMARKED MANHOLE
		24 06:55	Circular Reinforced Co 2017/03/07 533.5 FT
		HERMOST BETON MH WEST ON RO	PIER AVE & MONTEREY Bounetroom Sounty MD 51
593.9	Tap Factory Active Position: 3 Severity: None Size: 18		Tap Pestory fettye B 0°slock
		24 05:57	Circular Reinforced Co 2017/03/07 593.9 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
593.9	Tap Factory Active Position: 9 Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BERGH PIEB RUB & MONTEREY MH WEST ON MO Downstream OCUNTY MH 51 Tap Featory Sation 2 0'elect
		2017/08/07 538.9 FT
593.9	General Observation Severity: None Remarks: UNMARKED MANHOLE	HERMOSA BERGH MH WEST ON MC COURSTREEM COUNTY MH 51 OCOURSTREEM COUNTY MH 51 OCOURSTREEM COUNTY MH 51
	06:57 2017/08/07 598.9 FT	
595.4	Shape or Size Change Severity: None Size: 18	HERMOSA BEACH MH WEST ON MC DOUDSCREET COUNTY MH 51 EMEPE or Size Change 24 07:13 Circular Reinforced Co 2017/03/07 S95.4 FT

Distance	Fault Observation	Picture
595.4	Manhole Severity: None Remarks: MANHOLE EAST OF HERMOSA AVE	HERMOSA BEACH PIER AVE & MONTEREY MH WEST ON MO Downstream COUNTY MH 51 Manbole MANNOLS ERST OF HERMOSA AVE
		24 01Ferlar Reinforced Co 07:13 2017/08/07 595.4 FT

Created with the TPOSM report generator

Date: 3/7/2017 6:25:00 AM

Length Surveyed: 595.4

Height (Diameter): 24

Street: PIER AVE & MONTEREY BLVD

Street: PIER AVE & MONTEREY BLVD

Pacp Quick Overall Rating: 0000

Pipe Segment Reference: Upstream MH: MH WEST ON MONTEREY

Downstream MH: COUNTY MH 51 Direction of Survey: Downstream Material: Reinforced Concrete Pipe



			ID Number: MH WEST ON MONTEREY
(0.0) - Manhole Remark: MH WEST ON MONTEREY			
(0.0) - Water Level			
(81.0) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 4 Size: 18			
			(191.5) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 8 Size: 18
(259.4) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18			
(320.0) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18		L	
(320.0) - General Observation Remark: UNMARKED MANHOLE			
(468.2) - General Observation Remark			(468.2) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 9 Size: 18
UNMARKED MANHOLE			
(533.4) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18		L .	
(533.5) - General Observation Remark: UNMARKED MANHOLE			
(593.9) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 3 Size: 18			(503.0) - Tap Factory Active - Position: 0 Size: 18
(593.9) - General Observation Remark: UNMARKED MANHOLE			(000.0) - Tap Tactory Active - FUSILION. $= 0126.10$
(595.4) - Shape or Size Change Size: 18	-		
(595.4) - Manhole Remark: MANHOLE EAST OF HERMOSA AVE			

Appendix C

FEMA Flood Hazard Map



118°22'30

FLOOD HAZARD INFORMATION

SEE FIS REPORT FOR ZONE DESCRIPTIONS AND INDEX MAP THE INFORMATION DEPICTED ON THIS MAP AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION ARE ALSO AVAILABLE IN DIGITAL FORMAT AT HTTP://MSC.FEMA.GOV



NOTES TO USERS

For information and questions about this Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), available products associated with this FIRM, including historic versions, the current map date for each FIRM panel, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) in general, please call the FEMA Map Information eXchange at 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website at http://msc.fema.gov. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or obtained directly from the website.

Communities annexing land on adjacent FIRM panels must obtain a current copy of the adjacent panel as well as the current FIRM Index. These may be ordered directly from the Map Service Center at the number listed

For community and countywide map dates refer to the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your Insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

Base map information shown on this FIRM was derived from digital orthophotography collected by the Coastal Service Center and U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Service Agency. Coastal Service Center imagery was flown in 2011 and was produced with a sub-meter ground sample distance. Department of Agriculture imagery was flown in 2014 and was produced with a 1-meter ground sample distance.

SCALE



PANEL LOCATOR





FEMA

NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX 060124 1907 G 060138 060150 1907 1907 G G 060165 1907 G

PRELIMINARY 10/28/2016

VERSION NUMBER 2.3.3.3 MAP NUMBER 06037C1907G

MAP REVISED